


FSD2653

Finnish National Election Study 2011

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish National Election Study 2011 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2022.

This codebook has been generated from the version 5.0 (13.9.2021) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2653 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Borg, Sami (University of Tampere) & Grönlund, Kimmo (Åbo Akademi University): Finnish National Election Study 2011 [dataset]. Version 5.0 (2021-09-13). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2653>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	4
1.4	Use of data	5
2	Variables	13
3	Indexes	263
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	263
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	286
A	Questionnaires in Finnish	309
B	Questionnaires in Swedish	347
C	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	385
D	Information on the weight variables in English	387

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish National Election Study 2011

Titles and data version in Finnish: Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2011

This codebook has been generated from the version 5.0 (13.9.2021) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Other material

Website of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES)¹

Authoring entity

Borg, Sami (University of Tampere. Finnish Social Science Data Archive)

Grönlund, Kimmo (Åbo Akademi University. Social Science Research Institute)

Following members of the election study consortium participated in questionnaire design: Åsa Bengtsson (Åbo Akademi University), Kimmo Elo (University of Turku), Anne Maria Holli (University of Helsinki), Tapio Häyhtiö (University of Turku. School of Economics), Lauri Karvonen (Åbo Akademi University), Mikko Mattila (University of Helsinki), Tom Moring (Swedish School of Social Science), Heikki Paloheimo (University of Tampere), Lauri Rapeli (University of Turku), Jarmo Rinne (University of Tampere), Kim Strandberg (Åbo Akademi University), Peter Söderlund (Åbo Akademi University) ja Hanna Wass (University of Helsinki).

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

¹<https://cses.org/>

Depositor

Borg, Sami (Finnish Social Science Data Archive)

Date of deposit

21.6.2011

Keywords

election campaigns; elections; Internet; parliamentary candidates; parliamentary elections; party identification; personality traits; political allegiance; political attitudes; political awareness; political influence; political interest; political leaders; political participation; political support; trust; voting; voting advice applications

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

CESSDA Classification: Elections; Political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish National Election Studies

Finnish National Election Studies are nationally representative surveys conducted in connection with parliamentary elections in Finland. Data have been collected by the Election Study Consortium from the year 2003 onwards. For further information, see Finnish National Election Study Consortium site.

The data, collected through face-to-face interviews and self-administered questionnaires, allow study of changes in public opinion and democracy over time. Some modules are repeated but each study also contains questions on current issues. Main themes include political participation, political attitudes, candidate and party choice, voting, and election campaigning.

Abstract

The survey focused on the 2011 parliamentary elections in Finland. Main themes included political participation, political attitudes, party support, candidate and party choice and voting behaviour. Data were collected after the elections through face-to-face interviews and a self-administered drop-off questionnaire. The interview data contain Finland's contribution to the international CSES study. The same CSES module was fielded in Finland in 2007 (see FSD2269).

First questions covered interest in politics, attention to media coverage of the elections (including social media), Internet use frequency, willingness to discuss politics with others, party identification and self-perceived social class. The respondents were asked to what extent they agreed with some statements relating to voting, democracy, holding referendums and tolerance of people with different values or views. Willingness to influence things by own activity (for instance, by participating in a demonstration or joining a consumer boycott) was charted. Further questions covered membership in a political party, participation in election campaign work and opinions on whom a MP should represent. The survey also carried a set of attitudinal statements on voting, politics, political parties, politicians and public political influence. For instance 'I have no say in what the government and parliament decide' or 'By voting people can have a say how things are run'.

The CSES module explored what issues had been important to the respondents in these elections, what they thought were the most important political problems facing Finland and whether it made a difference who was in power or who people voted for. Views were probed on government performance, political parties, political leaders and whether any party or political leader represented R's views well. The respondents were asked to place themselves, the parties and party leaders on a left-right axis. Questions also covered differences between parties, the extent to which the respondents had followed election campaigning, satisfaction with democracy in Finland and whether they felt close to any party. Voting behaviour was studied with questions on whether the respondents had voted, the candidate of which party they had voted for, whether they had considered voting for a candidate of any other party and if yes, which party, whether they had voted in the previous parliamentary elections and which party they voted for. Finally, the respondents' factual knowledge was tested with a few questions.

Non-voters were asked why they had not voted and how self-evident not voting had been to them. Those who had voted for the True Finns were asked to what extent a number of issues had influenced their decision to vote for a candidate of that party and what had been the main reason. All those who had voted were asked what had influenced their choice of party, to what extent various issues had influenced their candidate choice, whether they had voted for the same candidate before and when had they decided whom and which party to vote for. One question explored how the respondents wanted MPs to vote in Parliament in cases where there was a conflict of opinion between them, their party or their voters. One theme pertained to trust in government and other institutions, groups and people.

The self-administered questionnaire surveyed what issues had been decisive for the respondents' party choice in the elections, opinions on what kind of policies Finland should focus on and what kind of political decision-making the respondents would prefer. Views were probed on work-related immigration to Finland and the policies of different parties on the issue. One question focused on information sources used for making voting choice. Political activities carried out on the Internet and type of activities generally engaged in when using social media and the Internet were charted. Other topics covered online voting, views on the importance of the candidate's gender and how easy it had been for the respondents to find a suitable party and candidate. The respondents were also asked to what extent they agreed with a number of statements relating to Members of Parliament, the government and political decision-making. Opinions on Finland's membership in the EU and NATO were surveyed as well as whether it was more important to let the majority decide or protect the needs and rights of minorities. Other topics included views on own financial situation and the Finnish economy and employment situation, left-right scale of certain concepts (e.g. being pro-immigration) and influence of a number of actors on the Finnish society. The effects of the debate on election campaign

funding were charted as well as how the debate had influence the respondents' views of each political party. Finally, personality traits of the respondents were surveyed using the Ten-item personality inventory (TIPI).

Variables beginning with 'k' are national election study variables, 'q' denotes CSES variables, 'p' denotes variables from the self-administered questionnaire, 'a' denotes CSES administrative variables and 'd' denotes background variables.

Background variables included the respondent's year of birth, gender, basic and vocational education, marital status, trade union membership, daily television viewing, economic activity, occupational status, employer type, annual household income, number and ages of persons in the household, R's religiosity, religious affiliation, mother tongue, type of neighbourhood, housing tenure and constituency.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People living in Finland and entitled to vote in the Finnish parliamentary elections in 2011, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 18.4.2011 – 14.6.2011

Data collector(s): Taloustutkimus

Data producer(s): Election Study Consortium

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview, Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2011

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 492 variables and 1298 cases.

Sampling procedure: Non-probability: Quota

The sample of Finnish-speaking persons was drawn using quotas based on the age, gender and major region distributions of the target population. Interviews were conducted using the starting point method. The first interview was conducted at a randomly selected starting point, after which the interviewer proceeded to the next five households. In urban areas, interviewers were given the exact starting address whereas in rural areas they could choose the starting point themselves. Most interviews were conducted in the homes of interviewees, except for 178 interviews in Helsinki and 38 in Rovaniemi conducted in the premises of Taloustutkimus.

All interviews of Swedish-speaking respondents were conducted in their homes. The quotas for the Swedish-speaking sample were based on constituencies, not major regions, as interviews were conducted only in the constituencies where the number of Swedish-speaking people entitled to vote was significant (i.e. the constituencies of Helsinki, Uusimaa, Finland Proper, and Vaasa). In these constituencies, interviews were only conducted in those municipalities where

the proportion of Swedish-speaking residents was significant. The information on the percentage of Swedish-speaking residents in Finnish municipalities was taken from the Finlandssvenskarna 2009 report, compiled by The Swedish Assembly of Finland (Folktinget). In the first stage, a random sample of 1800 Swedish-speaking persons was drawn from the Finnish Population Register Centre. In the second stage, quotas were formed based on the age, gender and constituency-specific distribution in the random sample.

Altogether, 1,298 persons were interviewed (1,223 Finnish-speaking and 75 Swedish-speaking). 1,141 respondents consented to fill in the drop-off questionnaire (1,080 Finnish-speaking and 61 Swedish-speaking). In total, 806 adequately filled in questionnaires were returned (71%) of which 761 by the Finnish-speaking (71%) and 37 by the Swedish-speaking (61%). Taloustutkimus sent a Ässä lottery scratchcard to persons who had returned the questionnaire with their contact information. Face-to-face interviews of the Finnish-speaking respondents were conducted 18 April - 28 May 2011 and those of the Swedish-speaking respondents 5-24 April 2011. Deadline for returning the drop-off questionnaires was 14 June 2011.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Variables p29 ja p30_3 have been removed from the data.

The residence of municipality (d27) is removed in the version 4.0 for privacy reasons.

Related publications

Aarnio, Anna-Riikka (2017). Miten luottamus rakentuu? Tutkimus suomalaisten nuorten poliittisesta luottamuksesta. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:201710112597>

Ackermann, M. (2018). *Stealth Democracy in der Schweiz*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-22239-0

Arter, David & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2014). Measuring the Extent of Party Institutionalisation. The Case of a Populist Entrepreneur Party. *West European Politics* 37(5), 932-956. doi:10.1080/01402382.2014.911486

Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2013). Sosiaalinen pääoma ja poliittinen osallistuminen Suomessa. *Politiikka* 55(2), 59-72.

Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2014). Owing Protest But Sharing Distrust? Confidence in the Political System and Anti-Political-Establishment Party Choice in the Finnish 2011 Parliamentary Elections. *Research on Finnish Society* 7, 21-35.

Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Söderlund, Peter (2016). Suomalaisten poliittinen luottamus ja siihen vaikuttavat tekijät. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 379-397. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Bekkers, R. & Sandberg, B. (2018). Grading Generalized Trust Across Europe [online]. Paper presented at the 6th ESS workshop, March 16, 2018, The Hague. <https://osf.io/qntze/> [cited 7.1.2019].

Bengtsson, Å. & Christensen, H. S. (2016). Ideals and Action: Do Citizens' Patterns of Participation Correspond to their Conceptions of Democracy? *Government and Opposition* 51(2), 234-260. <https://doi.org/10.1017/gov.2014.29>

Bengtsson, Åsa (2012). Väljarnas kandidatval: rörlighet och motiv. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 139-155. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Christensen, Henrik Serup (2012). Medborgarnas förväntningar på politiskt beslutsfattande. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 255-274. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Bergman, Matthew (2016). No More Mr.Niche Guy: Multidimensional Issue Voting in Proportional Electoral Systems. Dissertation, University of California. San Diego

Borg, Sami (2012). Nuorten äänestysvalinnat, puoluekiinnittyminen ja edustautuminen. Teoksessa: Nuoret ja ääni - Nuoret eduskuntavaaleissa 2011 (toim. Ronkainen, Jussi), 15-27. Helsinki: Nuorisotutkimusseuran julkisuja; 125.

Borg, Sami (2012). Perussuomalaiset. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 191-210. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Borg, Sami (2012). Tärkeimmät asiakysymykset ja vakavimmat ongelmat. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 240-252. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Borg, Sami (2012). Valitsijoiden liikkuvuus eduskuntavaaleissa 2007-2011. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 126-138. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Borg, Sami & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Westinen, Jussi (2015). Demokratiaindikaattorit 2015. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Selvityksiä ja ohjeita 56/2015. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-483-9>

Borg, Sami & Koljonen, Kari (2020). Käyttöliittymä vaaleihin. Tutkimus vaalikoneista kansalaisten, ehdokkaiden ja journalismin näkökulmista. Tampere: Tampere University Press. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-359-026-7>

Christensen, H. S. (2012). Simply slacktivism? Internet participation in Finland. *JeDEM-eJournal of eDemocracy and Open Government* 4(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.29379/jedem.v4i1.93>

Demokratiaindikaattorit 2013. Toim. Borg, Sami. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Selvityksiä ja ohjeita 52/2013. URN:ISBN:978-952-259-334-4

Dudakova, Barbora & Havlik, Vlastimil (2013). Pravi Finove. Volebni uspech populistické Radikalni pravice ve Finsku. *Politologická revue*, 2013, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 7-33.

Elo, Kimmo & Rapeli, Lauri (2012). Poliittikattietämys ja poliittinen kiinnostus. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 275-291. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Fieschi, Catherine & Morris, Marley & Caballero, Lila (2012). *Recapturing the Reluctant Radical: how to win back Europe's populist vote*. London: Counterpoint. urn:isbn: 978-0-9568225-2-9

Garzia, D., De Angelis, A. & Pianzola, J. (2014). "The Impact of VAAs on Electoral Participation". In D. Garzia & S. Marschall (eds.), *Matching Voters with Parties and Candidates. Voting Advice Applications in a Comparative Perspective*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

Giger, Nathalie & Holli, Anne Maria & Lefkofridi, Zoe & Wass, Hanna (2014). The gender gap in same-gender voting. The role of context. In: *Electoral Studies* 35(3), 303-314.

Grönlund, Kimmo & Westinen, Jussi (2012). *Puoluevalinta*. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 156-188. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Han, Sung Min. 2015. Income inequality, electoral systems and party polarisation, *European Journal of Political Research* 54(3), 582-600. DOI: 10.1111/1475-6765.12098

Heinonen, Marja & Laaninen, Riikka & Paju, Reijo & Rapeli, Lauri (2013). Kyselytutkimuksen edustavuus Suomessa 1973-2011. Katsaus keskeisistä taustatekijöistä. *Politiikka: Valtiotieteellisen yhdistyksen julkaisu* 55 (2013):3, s. 192-199.

Herda, Daniel (2015). Beyond Innumeracy: Heuristic Decision-Making and Qualitative Misperceptions about Immigrants in Finland. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 38(9), 1627-1645. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0>

Ilkka, Sakari (2015). *Sosialidemokraattien sosiokulttuurinen asema. Puolueen ja äänestäjäkunnan vertailu*. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Johtamiskorkeakoulu. Kandidaatin tutkielma.

Intke, Maarit (2015). *Perussuomalaiset - suomalaiselle sopivin? Perussuomalaisten äänestäjien ja kansanedustajien sijainti vasemmisto-oikeisto- ja liberaali-konservatiivi -ulottuvuuksilla vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaleissa*. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Valtio-opin kandidaatintutkielma.

Intke, Maarit (2018): *Asiantuntijapäätöksenteko ja poliittinen epäluottamus: Häivedemokratian kannatus Suomessa vuosina 2007, 2011 ja 2015*. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma.

Isotalo, Veikko & Järvi, Theodora & von Schoultz, Åsa & Söderlund, Peter, Vaalitutkimuskonsortio (2019). *Suomalainen äänestäjä 2003-2019*. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. <http://hdl.handle.net/10138/3039>

Jansesberger, Viktoria Anna (2020). *Participation Patterns of People-Centered-Democrats*. Master's thesis in Political Science, University of Salzburg

Källman, Alex (2020). *Är proteströstandet orsaken till Sannfinländarnas framgång år 2011? En empirisk jämförelse om protest- och ideologiattityders förändring mellan 2007 och 2011*. Åbo: Åbo Akademi. Kandidatavhandling.

Karjalainen, Maija & Rapeli, Lauri (2014). Who will not deliberate? Attrition in a multi-stage citizen deliberation experiment. *Quality & Quantity* 49(1), 407-422.

Karvonen, Lauri (2012). Att välja parti och att välja person. I *Sphinx årsbok 2011-2012*, 41-54. Helsingfors: Finska Vetenskaps-Societeten.

Karvonen, Lauri (2012). *Ehdokasäänestäminen*. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 313-323. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Karvonen, Lauri (2014). *Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamental Societal Transformation*. Colchester. ECPR Press

Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2014). Puoluedemokratian haasteet Euroopassa: Syrjäyttävätkö uudet poliittisen osallistumisen muodot edustuksellisen demokratian? In Tuomas Forsberg & Tapio Raunio (eds): *Politiikan muutos*. Tampere: Vastapaino.

Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Söderlund, Peter (2014). Party, Leader or Candidate? Dissecting the Right-Wing Populist Vote in Finland. *European Political Science Review* 6(4), 641-62. doi:10.1017/S1755773913000283

Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Tiihonen, Aino & Westinen, Jussi (2018). Vääriä kysymyksiä vai vääriä vastauksia? Vasemmisto-oikeisto -ulottuvuus vuosien 2003-2015 vaalitutkimusten valossa. *Politiikka* 60:2, 92-111.

Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Vento, Isak (2019). Poliittinen luottamus. Käsitteet, teoriat ja mittaaminen. Teoksessa: *Poliittinen ja sosiaalinen luottamus. Polut, trendit ja kuilut* (toim. Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina), 18-34. Helsinki: Valtiovarainministeriö. Valtiovarainministeriön julkaisuja 2019:31. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-367-012-9>

Kestilä-Kekkonen, E., & Söderlund, P. (2016). Political Trust, Individual-level Characteristics and Institutional Performance: Evidence from Finland, 2004-13. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 39(2), 138-160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.12052>

Koivisto, Petra (2015). *Portfoliosukupolven luottamus presidentti-instituutioon*. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Johtamiskorkeakoulu. Valtio-opin kandidaatintutkielma.

Koivusalo, Katriina (2016). *Multinomiaalisen ja logistisen regression soveltaminen vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaliaineistoon*. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Tilastotieteen kandidaatintutkielma.

Lagus, I. (2016). University students' attitudes toward social media as an arena for political deliberation. A qualitative attitude approach. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Master's thesis in Media and Communication Studies. URN:NBN:fi:hulib-201703273406

Mattila, Mikko & Sundberg, Jan (2012). Vaalirahoitus ja vaalirahakohu. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 227-239. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Muutosvaalit 2011 (2012). Toim. Borg, Sami. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>

Mykkänen, Juri (2012). Uskonto ja äänestäminen. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 292-309. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Öberg, Susanne (2014) *Sambandet mellan personlighet och politiskt deltagande i Finland*. Pro gradu. Åbo Akademi, Statskunskap.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2012). Populismi puoluejärjestelmän vedenjakajana. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 324-346. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-22>.

Peltoniemi, J. (2015). Äänen pitkä matka: Ulkosuomalaisten matala äänestysaktiivisuus ja joustavien äänestysmenetelmien merkitsevyys. *Politiikka* 57(4), 208-225. URN:NBN:fi:ELE-1763264

Peltoniemi, J. (2016). Overseas Voters and Representational Deficit: Regional Representation Challenged by Emigration. *Representation* 52(4), 295-309. DOI:10.1080/00344893.2017.1300602

Peltoniemi, J. (2016). Parlamentissa vai ulkona? Ulkosuomalaisten poliittinen representaatio [verkkodokumentti]. *Politiikka* 58(2), 144-161. <http://elektra.helsinki.fi/se/p/politiikka/58/2/parlamen.pdf>

[viitattu 27.7.2020].

Pikkala, Sari (2016). Naiset ja miehet äänestäjinä. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 398-414. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Poliittinen ja sosiaalinen luottamus. Polut, trendit ja kuilut (2019). Toim. Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina. Helsinki: Valtiovarainministeriö. Valtiovarainministeriön julkaisu 2019:31. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-367-012-9>

Quinlan, Stephen (2012). The Conundrum of Youth Turnout. A Cross-national Examination of Generational Processes. Dublin, IE: University College Dublin, IE. Doctoral Dissertation.

Rapeli, L. (2016). Public support for expert decision-making: evidence from Finland. *Politics* 36(2), 142-152. doi:10.1111/1467-9256.12092

Rapeli, Lauri (2014). Eduskunta ja kansalaismielipide. Teoksessa: Eduskunta. Kansanvaltaa puolueiden ja hallituksen ehdoilla (toim. Raunio, Tapio & Wiberg, Matti), 51-65. Helsinki: Gaudeamus.

Rapeli, Lauri & Borg, Sami (2016). Kiinnostavaa mutta monimutkaista. Tiedot, osallistuminen ja suhtautuminen vaikuttamiseen. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 358-378. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Rapeli, L., & von Schoultz, Å. (2015). Citizen competence and different conceptions of democracy. ECPR Joint Sessions: University of Warsaw, Warsaw.

Rodon, Toni (2017). When the kingmaker stays home. Revisiting the ideological bias on turnout. *Party Politics* 23(2), 148-159. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1354068815576291>

Saarinen, Laura (2020). Yhteiskuntaluokan vastainen äänestäminen Suomessa. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi-fe202003057446>

Salovaara, Jaakko (2016). Eduskuntavaaliehdokkaiden näkemyksiä vapaan sivistystyön koulutuksesta. Oulu: Oulun yliopisto. Kasvatustieteiden pro gradu -tutkielma.

Sharkansky, Mattan (2015). Prime Ministers' Effect on Voting Behavior and on the Distribution of Resources. Rochester, N.Y.: University of Rochester. Political Science Ph.D. Theses. <http://hdl.handle.net/1802/30217>

Sivonen, Jukka (2017). Poliittisesti eriytyneet asiantuntijat? Ammattirakenteen ja poliittisen suuntautumisen yhteys jälkiteollisessa Suomessa. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Taloussosiologian pro gradu -tutkielma.

Smets, Kaat (2013). De opkomstkloof tussen jong volwassenen en ouderen in nationale verkiezingen. Een vergelijkend onderzoek (The Age Gap in Voter Turnout Between Young Adults and Older Citizens in National Elections. A Comparative Study). *Res Publica* 55(1), 11-36.

Söderlund, Peter (2016). Kannastaan epävarmat ja liikkuvat äänestäjät. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 343-356. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Söderlund, Peter & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2014). Economic Voting in Finland Before and After an Economic Crisis. *Acta Politica* 49, 395-412. doi:10.1057/ap.2014.11

Strandberg, Kim (2012). Sosiaalisen median vallankumous? Ehdokkaat, valitsijat ja sosiaalinen media vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaleissa. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 79-93. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Strandberg, Kim (2016). Ehdokkaiden ja kansalaisten internetin ja sosiaalisen median poliittinen käyttö vuosien 2003-2015 eduskuntavaaleissa. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 95-116. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Strandberg, Kim & Carlson, Tom (2017) Expanding the Online Political Demos but Maintaining the Status Quo? The Development of Finnish Voters. Use of the Internet and Social Media Prior to Parliamentary Elections 2003-2015. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 40(1), 82-106.

Strandberg, Kim & Carlson, Tom (2017). Expanding the Online Political Demos but Maintaining the Status Quo? The Development of Finnish Voters' Use of the Internet and Social Media Prior to Parliamentary Elections 2003-2015. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 40(1), 82-106. DOI:10.1111/1467-9477.12082

Tiihonen, Aino (2015). Etujärjestöt, puolueet ja yhteiskuntaluokat Suomessa 2000-luvulla. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Poliittikan tutkimuksen tutkinto-ohjelma. Pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:urn:nbn:fi:201511022409>

Tiihonen, Aino (2016). Etujärjestöjen, puolueiden ja äänestäjien väliset suhteet. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 321-342. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Tiihonen, Aino (2019). Yhteiskuntaluokat ja poliittinen luottamus. Teoksessa: Poliittinen ja sosiaalinen luottamus. Polut, trendit ja kuilut (toim. Bäck, Maria & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina), 156-173. Helsinki: Valtiovarainministeriö. Valtiovarainministeriön julkaisuja 2019:31. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-367-012-9>

Tiihonen, Aino & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Westinen, Jussi & Rapeli, Lauri (2016). Puoluekan-
nan periytyminen vanhemmilta lapsille. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskun-
tavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 298-320. Helsinki: Oikeusmin-
isteriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

von Schoultz, Åsa (2016). Puolueiden puheenjohtajien merkitys äänestyspäättöksen kannalta. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 159-176. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julka-
isu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

von Schoultz, Å. & Wass, H. (2016). Beating Issue Agreement: Congruence in the Representa-
tional Preferences of Candidates and Voters. *Parliamentary Affairs* 69(1), 136-158. doi:10.1093/pa/gsv001

Wanamo, Markus (2015). Prospektiva och retrospektiva partibedömningars inverkan på väljar-
rörlighet. En studie av Finlands riksdagsval 2007 och 2011. Åbo: Åbo Akademi. Statskunskap.
Pro Gradu.

Wass, Hanna & Borg, Sami (2012). Äänestysaktiivisuus. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim.
Borg, Sami), 97-115. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012.
Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Wass, Hanna & Söderlund, Peter & Rapeli, Lauri (2012). Äänestäneiden ja äänestämättä jättäneiden mielipideyhteneväisyys ja poliittinen edustus. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 116-125. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Westinen, Elina (2016). Multiscalarity and polycentricity in rap artists' social media communication: multisemiotic negotiations of otherness and integration. In: Downscaling Culture: Revisiting Intercultural Communication (eds. Singh, Jaspal & Kantara, Argyro & Cserzo, Dorottya). Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars.

Westinen, J. 2015. Cleavages - Dead and Gone? An Analysis of Cleavage Structure and Party Choice in Contemporary Finland. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 38:3, 277-300. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9477.12046

Westinen, Jussi (2012). Keskustan vaalitappio - menetetyn kannatuksen taustatekijät. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 211-226. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Westinen, Jussi (2016). Puoluevalinta Suomessa 2000-luvulla. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 249-272. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Westinen, Jussi & Borg, Sami (2016). Itä-Suomen vaaliipiiriudistuksen vaikutukset. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 200-223. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Westinen, Jussi & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2015). Perusduunarit, vihervasemmisto ja porvarit: Suomalaisen äänestäjäkunnan jakautuminen ideologisiin blokkeihin vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaleissa. *Politiikka* 57(2), 94-114.

Yrjölä, Oskar (2016). Politiskt deltagande i Finland. En överblick utgående från tre hypoteser. Kandidatavhandling. Svenska social- och kommunalhögskolan vid Helsingfors universitet.

Zur, Roi. (2019). Stuck in the middle: Ideology, valence and the electoral failures of centrist parties. *British Journal of Political Science*, 1-18. doi:10.1017/S0007123419000231

Zur, Roi (2019). The Empty Center Phenomenon Revisited: Ideology, Valence, and the Electoral Failures of Centrist Parties. PhD Dissertation, University of California, Davis.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2653?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

The data contain a weight variable 'painopu' matching the sample to the actual vote share of parties in the elections. The variable identifying which party's candidate the respondent had

1. Study description

voted for was used to form the weight.

In 2021, updated weight variables were added for the main and self-administered questionnaires to enable more detailed comparison between the Finnish National Election Studies. The researchers recommend using these new weight variables when analysing the data. More information on the weight variables can be found in the attached background information file.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	2653.00
maximum	2653.00
mean	2653.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	5.00
maximum	5.00
mean	5.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	1.00
maximum	1298.00
mean	649.50
standard deviation	374.85

[A2] Interviewer id

Question

Interviewer id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	228.00
maximum	5789.00
mean	3944.36
standard deviation	1682.58

[A3] Interviewer gender

Question

Interviewer gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	223	17.2	17.2
Female	2	1075	82.8	82.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[A4_1] Date of the interview: month**Question***Date of the interview: month***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	4.00
maximum	5.00
mean	4.73
standard deviation	0.44

[A4_2] Date of the interview: day**Question***Date of the interview: day***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	2.00
maximum	30.00
mean	14.01
standard deviation	9.03

[A6] Interview language**Question***Interview language***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1223	94.2	94.2
Swedish	2	75	5.8	5.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K1] How interested are you in politics?**Question***How interested are you in politics?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very interested	1	299	23.0	23.0
Somewhat interested	2	662	51.0	51.0
Not very interested	3	269	20.7	20.7
Not at all interested	4	68	5.2	5.2
Can't say (spontaneous)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_1] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews**Question***How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	206	15.9	15.9
A fair amount of attention	2	487	37.5	37.5
Only a little	3	442	34.1	34.1
Paid no attention at all	4	163	12.6	12.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_2] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes**Question***How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	299	23.0	23.0
A fair amount of attention	2	585	45.1	45.1
Only a little	3	330	25.4	25.4
Paid no attention at all	4	84	6.5	6.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_3] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	57	4.4	4.4
A fair amount of attention	2	233	18.0	18.0
Only a little	3	597	46.0	46.0
Paid no attention at all	4	409	31.5	31.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_4] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	59	4.5	4.5
A fair amount of attention	2	183	14.1	14.1
Only a little	3	434	33.4	33.4
Paid no attention at all	4	619	47.7	47.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_5] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	203	15.6	15.6
A fair amount of attention	2	501	38.6	38.6
Only a little	3	450	34.7	34.7
Paid no attention at all	4	143	11.0	11.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_6] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	39	3.0	3.0
A fair amount of attention	2	218	16.8	16.8
Only a little	3	648	49.9	49.9
Paid no attention at all	4	393	30.3	30.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_7] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	67	5.2	5.2
A fair amount of attention	2	343	26.4	26.4
Only a little	3	635	48.9	48.9
Paid no attention at all	4	252	19.4	19.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_8] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections e.g. newspaper websites

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections e.g. newspaper websites

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	109	8.4	8.4
A fair amount of attention	2	245	18.9	18.9
Only a little	3	302	23.3	23.3
Paid no attention at all	4	639	49.2	49.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_9] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Websites of the candidates and political parties

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Websites of the candidates and political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	26	2.0	2.0
A fair amount of attention	2	105	8.1	8.1
Only a little	3	288	22.2	22.2
Paid no attention at all	4	876	67.5	67.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_10] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	12	0.9	0.9
A fair amount of attention	2	57	4.4	4.4
Only a little	3	183	14.1	14.1
Paid no attention at all	4	1046	80.6	80.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_11] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	60	4.6	4.6
A fair amount of attention	2	204	15.7	15.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only a little	3	294	22.7	22.7
Paid no attention at all	4	739	56.9	56.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_12] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Social media, e.g. Facebook, Twitter

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Social media, e.g. Facebook, Twitter

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	36	2.8	2.8
A fair amount of attention	2	80	6.2	6.2
Only a little	3	160	12.3	12.3
Paid no attention at all	4	1021	78.7	78.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K2_13] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web videos of the candidates or political parties, e.g. YouTube

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web videos of the candidates or political parties, e.g. YouTube

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	12	0.9	0.9
A fair amount of attention	2	29	2.2	2.2
Only a little	3	162	12.5	12.5
Paid no attention at all	4	1095	84.4	84.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K3] How often do you use the Internet?

Question

How often do you use the Internet?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily, over 2 hours per day	1	440	33.9	33.9
Daily, less than 2 hours per day	2	423	32.6	32.6
A few times a week	3	135	10.4	10.4
About once a week	4	27	2.1	2.1
More seldom	5	29	2.2	2.2
Not at all	6	244	18.8	18.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K4] Generally, that is, not only during elections, how often do you discuss politics and other social issues with other people?

Question

Generally, that is, not only during elections, how often do you discuss politics and other social issues with other people?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily or almost daily	1	225	17.3	17.3
Often	2	319	24.6	24.6
Sometimes	3	393	30.3	30.3
Rarely	4	314	24.2	24.2
Never	5	47	3.6	3.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	6	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K5] How stable is your political party identification?

Question

How stable is your political party identification?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely stable	1	410	31.6	31.6
Fairly stable	2	457	35.2	35.2
Not very stable	3	289	22.3	22.3
Not at all stable	4	137	10.6	10.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K6] Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?

Question

Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finns in general	1	856	65.9	65.9
Finnish-speaking Finns	2	337	26.0	26.0
Swedish-speaking Finns	3	90	6.9	6.9
Other ethnic or linguistic group	4	7	0.5	0.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	8	0.6	0.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K7] Which social class would you say you belong to?

Question

Which social class would you say you belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Working class	1	375	28.9	28.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lower middle class	2	160	12.3	12.3
Middle class	3	503	38.8	38.8
Upper middle class	4	141	10.9	10.9
Upper class	5	14	1.1	1.1
Not to any class	6	93	7.2	7.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	12	0.9	0.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K8] How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far?

Question

How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have always voted for candidates of one party	1	423	32.6	32.6
Have voted for candidates of two or three different parties	2	703	54.2	54.2
Have voted for candidates of four or more parties	3	101	7.8	7.8
Have never voted	4	62	4.8	4.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	9	0.7	0.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_1] It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not

Question

It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	750	57.8	57.8
Agree	2	292	22.5	22.5
Disagree	3	171	13.2	13.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	4	79	6.1	6.1
Can't say	5	6	0.5	0.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_2] Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government

Question

Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	826	63.6	63.6
Agree	2	362	27.9	27.9
Disagree	3	63	4.9	4.9
Strongly disagree	4	10	0.8	0.8
Can't say	5	37	2.9	2.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_3] Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum

Question

Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	429	33.1	33.1
Agree	2	419	32.3	32.3
Disagree	3	305	23.5	23.5
Strongly disagree	4	127	9.8	9.8
Can't say	5	18	1.4	1.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_4] I prefer to discuss politics and social issues with people who agree with my opinions

Question

I prefer to discuss politics and social issues with people who agree with my opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	96	7.4	7.4
Agree	2	321	24.7	24.7
Disagree	3	522	40.2	40.2
Strongly disagree	4	331	25.5	25.5
Can't say	5	28	2.2	2.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_5] To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people

Question

To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	341	26.3	26.3
Agree	2	591	45.5	45.5
Disagree	3	214	16.5	16.5
Strongly disagree	4	92	7.1	7.1
Can't say	5	60	4.6	4.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_6] I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people

Question

I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	184	14.2	14.2
Agree	2	343	26.4	26.4
Disagree	3	330	25.4	25.4
Strongly disagree	4	426	32.8	32.8
Can't say	5	15	1.2	1.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_7] I avoid people whose values, attitudes or opinions differ from my own

Question

I avoid people whose values, attitudes or opinions differ from my own

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	43	3.3	3.3
Agree	2	181	13.9	13.9
Disagree	3	424	32.7	32.7
Strongly disagree	4	634	48.8	48.8
Can't say	5	16	1.2	1.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_8] It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to the parliament

Question

It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to the parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	372	28.7	28.7
Agree	2	416	32.0	32.0
Disagree	3	331	25.5	25.5
Strongly disagree	4	155	11.9	11.9
Can't say	5	24	1.8	1.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_9] I think voting is a civic duty

Question

I think voting is a civic duty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	960	74.0	74.0
Agree	2	202	15.6	15.6
Disagree	3	76	5.9	5.9
Strongly disagree	4	56	4.3	4.3
Can't say	5	4	0.3	0.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_10] In my opinion, the current Finnish electoral system works and there is no need to change it

Question

In my opinion, the current Finnish electoral system works and there is no need to change it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	519	40.0	40.0
Agree	2	439	33.8	33.8
Disagree	3	234	18.0	18.0
Strongly disagree	4	56	4.3	4.3
Can't say	5	50	3.9	3.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K9_11] If a voter so wished, he/she should be able to vote in parliamentary elections merely for a party without having to choose a candidate

Question

If a voter so wished, he/she should be able to vote in parliamentary elections merely for a party without having to choose a candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	168	12.9	12.9
Agree	2	277	21.3	21.3
Disagree	3	349	26.9	26.9
Strongly disagree	4	452	34.8	34.8
Can't say	5	52	4.0	4.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_1] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	206	15.9	15.9
Have not done but might do	2	716	55.2	55.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	370	28.5	28.5
Can't say	4	6	0.5	0.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_2] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	287	22.1	22.1
Have not done but might do	2	753	58.0	58.0
Would not do under any circumstances	3	255	19.6	19.6
Can't say	4	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_3] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	616	47.5	47.5
Have not done but might do	2	527	40.6	40.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	147	11.3	11.3
Can't say	4	8	0.6	0.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_4] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	143	11.0	11.0
Have not done but might do	2	539	41.5	41.5
Would not do under any circumstances	3	604	46.5	46.5
Can't say	4	12	0.9	0.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_5] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	530	40.8	40.8
Have not done but might do	2	536	41.3	41.3
Would not do under any circumstances	3	222	17.1	17.1
Can't say	4	10	0.8	0.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_6] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	812	62.6	62.6
Have not done but might do	2	384	29.6	29.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	87	6.7	6.7
Can't say	4	15	1.2	1.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_7] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	502	38.7	38.7
Have not done but might do	2	564	43.5	43.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would not do under any circumstances	3	194	14.9	14.9
Can't say	4	38	2.9	2.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_8] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	246	19.0	19.0
Have not done but might do	2	631	48.6	48.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	399	30.7	30.7
Can't say	4	22	1.7	1.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_9] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	116	8.9	8.9
Have not done but might do	2	577	44.5	44.5
Would not do under any circumstances	3	593	45.7	45.7
Can't say	4	12	0.9	0.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_10] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	35	2.7	2.7
Have not done but might do	2	235	18.1	18.1
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1021	78.7	78.7
Can't say	4	7	0.5	0.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_11] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	4	0.3	0.3
Have not done but might do	2	86	6.6	6.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1204	92.8	92.8
Can't say	4	4	0.3	0.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K10_12] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	0	0.0	0.0
Have not done but might do	2	23	1.8	1.8
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1273	98.1	98.1
Can't say	4	2	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K11] Are you a member of any political party?**Question**

Are you a member of any political party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	136	10.5	10.5
No but have been previously	2	156	12.0	12.0
Have never been a member of any party	3	1006	77.5	77.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K12] During the last election campaign, were you contacted by a candidate or anyone from a party to persuade you to vote for them?**Question**

During the last election campaign, were you contacted by a candidate or anyone from a party to persuade you to vote for them?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	326	25.1	25.1
No	2	966	74.4	74.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	3	6	0.5	0.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K13] Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?

Question

Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, in these elections	1	59	4.5	4.5
Not in these elections but have previously	2	107	8.2	8.2
No, never	3	1132	87.2	87.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K14_1] In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 1st most important

Question

In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 1st most important

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Own voters	1	243	18.7	18.7
All voters in his/her constituency	2	160	12.3	12.3
Voters of his/her political party	3	123	9.5	9.5
Finnish citizens in general	4	750	57.8	57.8
Members of a social group	5	22	1.7	1.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K14_2] In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 2nd most important

Question

In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 2nd most important

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Own voters	1	266	20.5	20.5
All voters in his/her constituency	2	360	27.7	27.7
Voters of his/her political party	3	374	28.8	28.8
Finnish citizens in general	4	175	13.5	13.5
Members of a social group	5	123	9.5	9.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K14_3] In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 3rd most important

Question

In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 3rd most important

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Own voters	1	297	22.9	22.9
All voters in his/her constituency	2	334	25.7	25.7
Voters of his/her political party	3	356	27.4	27.4
Finnish citizens in general	4	168	12.9	12.9
Members of a social group	5	143	11.0	11.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K14_4] In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 4th most important

Question

In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 4th most important

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Own voters	1	326	25.1	25.1
All voters in his/her constituency	2	328	25.3	25.3
Voters of his/her political party	3	315	24.3	24.3
Finnish citizens in general	4	140	10.8	10.8
Members of a social group	5	189	14.6	14.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K14_5] In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 5th most important

Question

In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 5th most important

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Own voters	1	166	12.8	12.8
All voters in his/her constituency	2	116	8.9	8.9
Voters of his/her political party	3	130	10.0	10.0
Finnish citizens in general	4	65	5.0	5.0
Members of a social group	5	821	63.3	63.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_1] Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run

Question

Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	437	33.7	33.7
Agree	2	436	33.6	33.6
Disagree	3	295	22.7	22.7
Strongly disagree	4	127	9.8	9.8
Can't say	5	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_2] Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with the problems of ordinary people

Question

Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with the problems of ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	506	39.0	39.0
Agree	2	561	43.2	43.2
Disagree	3	179	13.8	13.8
Strongly disagree	4	31	2.4	2.4
Can't say	5	21	1.6	1.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_3] Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people

Question

Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	230	17.7	17.7
Agree	2	499	38.4	38.4
Disagree	3	458	35.3	35.3
Strongly disagree	4	100	7.7	7.7
Can't say	5	11	0.8	0.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_4] I have no say in what the government and parliament decide

Question

I have no say in what the government and parliament decide

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	421	32.4	32.4
Agree	2	403	31.0	31.0
Disagree	3	356	27.4	27.4
Strongly disagree	4	100	7.7	7.7
Can't say	5	18	1.4	1.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_5] Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Question

Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	194	14.9	14.9
Agree	2	688	53.0	53.0
Disagree	3	337	26.0	26.0
Strongly disagree	4	63	4.9	4.9
Can't say	5	16	1.2	1.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_6] Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Question

Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	265	20.4	20.4
Agree	2	453	34.9	34.9
Disagree	3	445	34.3	34.3
Strongly disagree	4	116	8.9	8.9
Can't say	5	19	1.5	1.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_7] Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on

Question

Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	407	31.4	31.4
Agree	2	484	37.3	37.3
Disagree	3	269	20.7	20.7
Strongly disagree	4	128	9.9	9.9
Can't say	5	10	0.8	0.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_8] By voting people can have a say in how things are run

Question

By voting people can have a say in how things are run

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	599	46.1	46.1
Agree	2	548	42.2	42.2
Disagree	3	115	8.9	8.9
Strongly disagree	4	27	2.1	2.1
Can't say	5	9	0.7	0.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_9] It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same

Question

It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	141	10.9	10.9
Agree	2	262	20.2	20.2
Disagree	3	508	39.1	39.1
Strongly disagree	4	358	27.6	27.6
Can't say	5	29	2.2	2.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K15_10] Parties should announce clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with

Question

Parties should announce clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	309	23.8	23.8
Agree	2	458	35.3	35.3
Disagree	3	321	24.7	24.7
Strongly disagree	4	153	11.8	11.8
Can't say	5	57	4.4	4.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q1A] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended)

[Q1AL] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

2. Variables

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1136
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	54.48
standard deviation	166.38

[Q1B] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended)

[Q1BL] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1010
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	63.07
standard deviation	192.81

[Q2A] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)

[Q2AL] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1231
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	28.38
standard deviation	108.49

[Q2B] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)**Question**

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)

[Q2BL] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)**Question**

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1126
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	34.74
standard deviation	116.71

[Q3A] Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?**Question**

Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	300	23.1	23.1
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	86	6.6	6.6
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	193	14.9	14.9
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	40	3.1	3.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	31	2.4	2.4
VIHR (Green League)	6	38	2.9	2.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	95	7.3	7.3
PS (True Finns)	8	187	14.4	14.4
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	5	0.4	0.4
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	4	0.3	0.3
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	0.1
For the Poor	14	3	0.2	0.2
Pirate Party of Finland	15	5	0.4	0.4
Change 2011	16	5	0.4	0.4
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	93	7.2	7.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	17	1.3	1.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	195	15.0	15.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q3B] Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Question

Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	260	20.0	20.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	90	6.9	6.9
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	189	14.6	14.6
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	37	2.9	2.9
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	36	2.8	2.8
VIHR (Green League)	6	60	4.6	4.6
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	79	6.1	6.1
PS (True Finns)	8	180	13.9	13.9
The Communist Party of Finland	9	3	0.2	0.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	3	0.2	0.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	4	0.3	0.3
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	0.2
For the Poor	14	5	0.4	0.4
Pirate Party of Finland	15	6	0.5	0.5
Change 2011	16	2	0.2	0.2
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	0.2
None of the parties	96	89	6.9	6.9
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	14	1.1	1.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	237	18.3	18.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?

Question

Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It doesn't make any difference who is in power	1	52	4.0	4.0
2	2	50	3.9	3.9
3	3	216	16.6	16.6
4	4	367	28.3	28.3
It makes a big difference who is in power	5	605	46.6	46.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	8	0.6	0.6
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q5] Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it will not make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?

Question

Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it will not make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Who people vote for won't make any difference	1	32	2.5	2.5
2	2	69	5.3	5.3
3	3	254	19.6	19.6
4	4	409	31.5	31.5
Who people vote for can make a big difference	5	522	40.2	40.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS))	8	12	0.9	0.9
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Thinking about the general performance of the Government, first under Matti Vanhanen and then under Mari Kiviniemi, how good or bad a job has it done over the past four years?

Question

Thinking about the general performance of the Government, first under Matti Vanhanen and then under Mari Kiviniemi, how good or bad a job has it done over the past four years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very good job	1	29	2.2	2.2
Good job	2	579	44.6	44.6
Bad job	3	476	36.7	36.7
Very bad job	4	144	11.1	11.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	4	0.3	0.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	66	5.1	5.1
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q7] Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?

Question

Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	929	71.6	71.6
No	2	291	22.4	22.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	7	0.5	0.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	71	5.5	5.5
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q7A] Which party represents your views best?

Question

Which party represents your views best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	207	15.9	15.9
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	101	7.8	7.8
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	158	12.2	12.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	54	4.2	4.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	34	2.6	2.6
VIHR (Green League)	6	68	5.2	5.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	89	6.9	6.9
PS (True Finns)	8	164	12.6	12.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	0.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	0.2
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	0.2
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	0.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	9	0.7	0.7
Change 2011	16	4	0.3	0.3
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	24	1.8	1.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	10	0.8	0.8
Missing	99	369	28.4	28.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q8] Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?

Question

Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	733	56.5	56.5
No	2	416	32.0	32.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	6	0.5	0.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	143	11.0	11.0
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q8A] Which party leader represents your views best?

Question

Which party leader represents your views best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Jutta Urpilainen, SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	134	10.3	10.3
Mari Kiviniemi, KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	56	4.3	4.3
Jyrki Katainen, KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	156	12.0	12.0
Stefan Wallin, RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	52	4.0	4.0
Päivi Räsänen, KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	25	1.9	1.9
Anni Sinnemäki, VIHR (Green League)	6	36	2.8	2.8
Paavo Arhinmäki, VAS (Left Alliance)	7	82	6.3	6.3
Timo Soini, PS (True Finns)	8	160	12.3	12.3
Yrjö Hakanen, The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Heikki Silván, Finnish Senior Citizens	10	2	0.2	0.2
Hannu Harju, For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers	11	0	0.0	0.0
Juhani Tanski, The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	0.2
Antti Pesonen, The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
Terttu Savola, For the Poor	14	1	0.1	0.1
Pasi Palmulehto, Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	0.1
Jiri Keronen, Change 2011	16	1	0.1	0.1
Kalevi Helo, Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	13	1.0	1.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	12	0.9	0.9
Missing	99	565	43.5	43.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9A] Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	104	8.0	8.0
1	1	53	4.1	4.1
2	2	115	8.9	8.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
3	3	129	9.9	9.9
4	4	154	11.9	11.9
5	5	230	17.7	17.7
6	6	126	9.7	9.7
7	7	153	11.8	11.8
8	8	114	8.8	8.8
9	9	31	2.4	2.4
Strongly like	10	25	1.9	1.9
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	22	1.7	1.7
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	42	3.2	3.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9B] Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	99	7.6	7.6
1	1	72	5.5	5.5
2	2	95	7.3	7.3
3	3	124	9.6	9.6
4	4	111	8.6	8.6
5	5	142	10.9	10.9
6	6	124	9.6	9.6
7	7	159	12.2	12.2
8	8	169	13.0	13.0
9	9	96	7.4	7.4
Strongly like	10	46	3.5	3.5
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	40	3.1	3.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9C] Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)**Question***Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	26	2.0	2.0
1	1	35	2.7	2.7
2	2	68	5.2	5.2
3	3	101	7.8	7.8
4	4	125	9.6	9.6
5	5	197	15.2	15.2
6	6	179	13.8	13.8
7	7	201	15.5	15.5
8	8	184	14.2	14.2
9	9	69	5.3	5.3
Strongly like	10	52	4.0	4.0
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	40	3.1	3.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9D] Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)**Question***Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	91	7.0	7.0
1	1	112	8.6	8.6
2	2	129	9.9	9.9
3	3	143	11.0	11.0
4	4	176	13.6	13.6
5	5	148	11.4	11.4
6	6	133	10.2	10.2
7	7	126	9.7	9.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
8	8	79	6.1	6.1
9	9	56	4.3	4.3
Strongly like	10	33	2.5	2.5
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	51	3.9	3.9
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9E] Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	117	9.0	9.0
1	1	95	7.3	7.3
2	2	103	7.9	7.9
3	3	130	10.0	10.0
4	4	163	12.6	12.6
5	5	167	12.9	12.9
6	6	153	11.8	11.8
7	7	135	10.4	10.4
8	8	96	7.4	7.4
9	9	63	4.9	4.9
Strongly like	10	18	1.4	1.4
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	37	2.9	2.9
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9F] Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	143	11.0	11.0
1	1	131	10.1	10.1
2	2	130	10.0	10.0
3	3	120	9.2	9.2
4	4	139	10.7	10.7
5	5	182	14.0	14.0
6	6	114	8.8	8.8
7	7	107	8.2	8.2
8	8	75	5.8	5.8
9	9	32	2.5	2.5
Strongly like	10	19	1.5	1.5
Haven't heard of the party	96	2	0.2	0.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	22	1.7	1.7
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	82	6.3	6.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9G] Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	177	13.6	13.6
1	1	110	8.5	8.5
2	2	156	12.0	12.0
3	3	151	11.6	11.6
4	4	172	13.3	13.3
5	5	153	11.8	11.8
6	6	105	8.1	8.1
7	7	99	7.6	7.6
8	8	56	4.3	4.3
9	9	23	1.8	1.8
Strongly like	10	17	1.3	1.3
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	58	4.5	4.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q9H] Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)**Question**

Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	175	13.5	13.5
1	1	102	7.9	7.9
2	2	101	7.8	7.8
3	3	112	8.6	8.6
4	4	109	8.4	8.4
5	5	143	11.0	11.0
6	6	121	9.3	9.3
7	7	102	7.9	7.9
8	8	121	9.3	9.3
9	9	78	6.0	6.0
Strongly like	10	60	4.6	4.6
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	24	1.8	1.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	50	3.9	3.9
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10A] Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or feel you do not know enough about him/her: Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)

Question

Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or feel you do not know enough about him/her: Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	107	8.2	8.2
1	1	66	5.1	5.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
2	2	94	7.2	7.2
3	3	119	9.2	9.2
4	4	129	9.9	9.9
5	5	197	15.2	15.2
6	6	143	11.0	11.0
7	7	173	13.3	13.3
8	8	128	9.9	9.9
9	9	48	3.7	3.7
Strongly like	10	28	2.2	2.2
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	4	0.3	0.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	41	3.2	3.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10B] Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	79	6.1	6.1
1	1	57	4.4	4.4
2	2	56	4.3	4.3
3	3	93	7.2	7.2
4	4	112	8.6	8.6
5	5	161	12.4	12.4
6	6	124	9.6	9.6
7	7	180	13.9	13.9
8	8	198	15.3	15.3
9	9	117	9.0	9.0
Strongly like	10	56	4.3	4.3
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	3	0.2	0.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	21	1.6	1.6
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	41	3.2	3.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10C] Opinion on party leaders: Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	34	2.6	2.6
1	1	45	3.5	3.5
2	2	78	6.0	6.0
3	3	108	8.3	8.3
4	4	129	9.9	9.9
5	5	215	16.6	16.6
6	6	179	13.8	13.8
7	7	206	15.9	15.9
8	8	159	12.2	12.2
9	9	53	4.1	4.1
Strongly like	10	27	2.1	2.1
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	4	0.3	0.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	16	1.2	1.2
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	45	3.5	3.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10D] Opinion on party leaders: Paavo Arhinmäki (VAS)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Paavo Arhinmäki (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	56	4.3	4.3
1	1	60	4.6	4.6
2	2	90	6.9	6.9
3	3	120	9.2	9.2
4	4	153	11.8	11.8
5	5	174	13.4	13.4
6	6	132	10.2	10.2
7	7	159	12.2	12.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
8	8	118	9.1	9.1
9	9	52	4.0	4.0
Strongly like	10	30	2.3	2.3
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	16	1.2	1.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	19	1.5	1.5
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	119	9.2	9.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10E] Opinion on party leaders: Anni Sinnemäki (VIHR)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Anni Sinnemäki (VIHR)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	107	8.2	8.2
1	1	103	7.9	7.9
2	2	145	11.2	11.2
3	3	162	12.5	12.5
4	4	148	11.4	11.4
5	5	181	13.9	13.9
6	6	147	11.3	11.3
7	7	119	9.2	9.2
8	8	62	4.8	4.8
9	9	23	1.8	1.8
Strongly like	10	9	0.7	0.7
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	5	0.4	0.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	19	1.5	1.5
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	68	5.2	5.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10F] Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	66	5.1	5.1
1	1	89	6.9	6.9
2	2	105	8.1	8.1
3	3	126	9.7	9.7
4	4	133	10.2	10.2
5	5	193	14.9	14.9
6	6	144	11.1	11.1
7	7	144	11.1	11.1
8	8	108	8.3	8.3
9	9	43	3.3	3.3
Strongly like	10	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	13	1.0	1.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	20	1.5	1.5
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	100	7.7	7.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10G] Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)

Question

Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	164	12.6	12.6
1	1	134	10.3	10.3
2	2	145	11.2	11.2
3	3	135	10.4	10.4
4	4	136	10.5	10.5
5	5	166	12.8	12.8
6	6	122	9.4	9.4
7	7	107	8.2	8.2
8	8	55	4.2	4.2
9	9	30	2.3	2.3
Strongly like	10	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	7	0.5	0.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	18	1.4	1.4
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	65	5.0	5.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q10H] Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)**Question**

Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	135	10.4	10.4
1	1	93	7.2	7.2
2	2	85	6.5	6.5
3	3	82	6.3	6.3
4	4	103	7.9	7.9
5	5	170	13.1	13.1
6	6	125	9.6	9.6
7	7	142	10.9	10.9
8	8	154	11.9	11.9
9	9	87	6.7	6.7
Strongly like	10	61	4.7	4.7
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	20	1.5	1.5
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	40	3.1	3.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11A] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	3	0.2	0.2
1	1	4	0.3	0.3
2	2	8	0.6	0.6
3	3	34	2.6	2.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
4	4	65	5.0	5.0
5	5	281	21.6	21.6
6	6	233	18.0	18.0
7	7	312	24.0	24.0
8	8	186	14.3	14.3
9	9	53	4.1	4.1
Right	10	36	2.8	2.8
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	12	0.9	0.9
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	10	0.8	0.8
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	61	4.7	4.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11B] Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	0	0.0	0.0
1	1	3	0.2	0.2
2	2	9	0.7	0.7
3	3	18	1.4	1.4
4	4	35	2.7	2.7
5	5	71	5.5	5.5
6	6	71	5.5	5.5
7	7	128	9.9	9.9
8	8	247	19.0	19.0
9	9	309	23.8	23.8
Right	10	322	24.8	24.8
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	12	0.9	0.9
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	59	4.5	4.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11C] Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	16	1.2	1.2
1	1	46	3.5	3.5
2	2	121	9.3	9.3
3	3	221	17.0	17.0
4	4	271	20.9	20.9
5	5	253	19.5	19.5
6	6	152	11.7	11.7
7	7	79	6.1	6.1
8	8	32	2.5	2.5
9	9	11	0.8	0.8
Right	10	7	0.5	0.5
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	11	0.8	0.8
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	64	4.9	4.9
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11D] Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	251	19.3	19.3
1	1	309	23.8	23.8
2	2	272	21.0	21.0
3	3	201	15.5	15.5
4	4	104	8.0	8.0
5	5	50	3.9	3.9
6	6	12	0.9	0.9
7	7	7	0.5	0.5
8	8	2	0.2	0.2
9	9	2	0.2	0.2
Right	10	1	0.1	0.1
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	12	0.9	0.9
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	14	1.1	1.1
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	61	4.7	4.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11E] Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	16	1.2	1.2
1	1	33	2.5	2.5
2	2	62	4.8	4.8
3	3	140	10.8	10.8
4	4	217	16.7	16.7
5	5	321	24.7	24.7
6	6	183	14.1	14.1
7	7	119	9.2	9.2
8	8	63	4.9	4.9
9	9	22	1.7	1.7
Right	10	7	0.5	0.5
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	13	1.0	1.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	88	6.8	6.8
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11F] Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	5	0.4	0.4
1	1	14	1.1	1.1
2	2	22	1.7	1.7
3	3	34	2.6	2.6
4	4	50	3.9	3.9
5	5	152	11.7	11.7
6	6	142	10.9	10.9
7	7	260	20.0	20.0
8	8	266	20.5	20.5
9	9	159	12.2	12.2
Right	10	68	5.2	5.2
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	12	0.9	0.9
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	13	1.0	1.0
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	100	7.7	7.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11G] Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	9	0.7	0.7
1	1	16	1.2	1.2
2	2	23	1.8	1.8
3	3	39	3.0	3.0
4	4	100	7.7	7.7
5	5	277	21.3	21.3
6	6	233	18.0	18.0
7	7	238	18.3	18.3
8	8	138	10.6	10.6
9	9	60	4.6	4.6
Right	10	39	3.0	3.0
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	15	1.2	1.2
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	14	1.1	1.1
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	97	7.5	7.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q11H] Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	17	1.3	1.3
1	1	33	2.5	2.5
2	2	66	5.1	5.1
3	3	82	6.3	6.3
4	4	137	10.6	10.6
5	5	287	22.1	22.1
6	6	149	11.5	11.5
7	7	150	11.6	11.6
8	8	111	8.6	8.6
9	9	68	5.2	5.2
Right	10	50	3.9	3.9
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	14	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	16	1.2	1.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	118	9.1	9.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q12A] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	5	0.4	0.4
1	1	4	0.3	0.3
2	2	17	1.3	1.3
3	3	30	2.3	2.3
4	4	50	3.9	3.9
5	5	234	18.0	18.0
6	6	206	15.9	15.9
7	7	289	22.3	22.3
8	8	222	17.1	17.1
9	9	76	5.9	5.9
Right	10	44	3.4	3.4
Haven't heard of the left-right scale	95	11	0.8	0.8
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	14	1.1	1.1
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	95	7.3	7.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q12B] Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	7	0.5	0.5
1	1	6	0.5	0.5
2	2	11	0.8	0.8
3	3	7	0.5	0.5
4	4	16	1.2	1.2
5	5	64	4.9	4.9
6	6	77	5.9	5.9
7	7	116	8.9	8.9
8	8	264	20.3	20.3
9	9	317	24.4	24.4
Right	10	303	23.3	23.3
Haven't heard of the left-right scale	95	11	0.8	0.8
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	13	1.0	1.0
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	85	6.5	6.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q12C] Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	19	1.5	1.5
1	1	43	3.3	3.3
2	2	99	7.6	7.6
3	3	188	14.5	14.5
4	4	265	20.4	20.4
5	5	249	19.2	19.2
6	6	152	11.7	11.7
7	7	108	8.3	8.3
8	8	45	3.5	3.5
9	9	7	0.5	0.5
Right	10	5	0.4	0.4
Haven't heard of the left-right scale	95	11	0.8	0.8
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	2	0.2	0.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	13	1.0	1.0
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	92	7.1	7.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q12D] Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Timo Soini (PS)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Timo Soini (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	22	1.7	1.7
1	1	30	2.3	2.3
2	2	54	4.2	4.2
3	3	88	6.8	6.8
4	4	145	11.2	11.2
5	5	271	20.9	20.9
6	6	165	12.7	12.7
7	7	175	13.5	13.5
8	8	106	8.2	8.2
9	9	56	4.3	4.3
Right	10	45	3.5	3.5
Haven't heard of the left-right scale	95	11	0.8	0.8
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	17	1.3	1.3
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	112	8.6	8.6
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?

Question

Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	30	2.3	2.3
1	1	37	2.9	2.9
2	2	83	6.4	6.4
3	3	117	9.0	9.0
4	4	92	7.1	7.1
5	5	295	22.7	22.7
6	6	145	11.2	11.2
7	7	194	14.9	14.9
8	8	138	10.6	10.6
9	9	45	3.5	3.5
Right	10	29	2.2	2.2
Have never heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	6	0.5	0.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	28	2.2	2.2
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	59	4.5	4.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q17] During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties?

Question

During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
There were major differences	1	488	37.6	37.6
There were minor differences	2	701	54.0	54.0
There were no differences at all	3	62	4.8	4.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	46	3.5	3.5
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q18] How closely did you follow the election campaigning?

Question

How closely did you follow the election campaigning?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very closely	1	170	13.1	13.1
Fairly closely	2	625	48.2	48.2
Not very closely	3	400	30.8	30.8
Not closely at all	4	100	7.7	7.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	3	0.2	0.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q19] How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?

Question

How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	76	5.9	5.9
Fairly satisfied	2	897	69.1	69.1
Not very satisfied	3	264	20.3	20.3
Not at all satisfied	4	41	3.2	3.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	20	1.5	1.5
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q20] Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Question

Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	698	53.8	53.8
No	5	569	43.8	43.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	28	2.2	2.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q20A] Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

Question

Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	325	25.0	25.0
No	5	252	19.4	19.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	20	1.5	1.5
Missing	9	698	53.8	53.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q20B] (IF q20 OR q20a = YES) Which party do you feel closest to?

Question

(IF q20 OR q20a = YES) Which party do you feel closest to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	211	16.3	16.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	127	9.8	9.8
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	171	13.2	13.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	67	5.2	5.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	37	2.9	2.9
VIHR (Green League)	6	103	7.9	7.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	100	7.7	7.7
PS (True Finns)	8	144	11.1	11.1
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	3	0.2	0.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	0.1
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	0.2
For the Poor	14	4	0.3	0.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	9	0.7	0.7
Change 2011	16	3	0.2	0.2
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	29	2.2	2.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	11	0.8	0.8
Missing	99	275	21.2	21.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q20C] (IF MENTIONED A PARTY) Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

Question

(IF MENTIONED A PARTY) Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very close	1	143	11.0	11.0
Somewhat close	2	648	49.9	49.9
Not very close	3	190	14.6	14.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	2	0.2	0.2
Missing	9	315	24.3	24.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21] Nowadays many people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?

Question

Nowadays many people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Didn't vote this time	1	160	12.3	12.3
Voted in advance (early voting before election day)	2	558	43.0	43.0
Voted on the election day	3	567	43.7	43.7
No right to vote (no franchise)	6	9	0.7	0.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	3	0.2	0.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_1] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (1st mention)

Question

*(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?
(1st mention)*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	24	1.8	1.8
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	15	1.2	1.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	12	0.9	0.9
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	6	0.5	0.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	3	0.2	0.2
VIHR (Green League)	6	10	0.8	0.8
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	8	0.6	0.6
PS (True Finns)	8	38	2.9	2.9
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	0.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	0.1
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	0.1
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	9	0.7	0.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	10	0.8	0.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	21	1.6	1.6
Missing	99	1138	87.7	87.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_2] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (2nd mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (2nd mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	11	0.8	14.5
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	13	1.0	17.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	11	0.8	14.5
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	2.6
VIHR (Green League)	6	10	0.8	13.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	8	0.6	10.5
PS (True Finns)	8	15	1.2	19.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	1.3
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	2	0.2	2.6
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	1.3
Change 2011	16	2	0.2	2.6
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1222	94.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_3] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (3rd mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (3rd mention)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	10	0.8	25.6
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	7	0.5	17.9
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	4	0.3	10.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	5.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	4	0.3	10.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	4	0.3	10.3
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	2.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	2.6
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	5.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	3	0.2	7.7
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	2.6
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1259	97.0	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_4] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (4th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (4th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	4.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	4	0.3	17.4
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	4	0.3	17.4
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	4.3
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	8.7
VIHR (Green League)	6	6	0.5	26.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	4.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	4.3
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	4.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	4.3
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	4.3
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1275	98.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_5] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (5th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (5th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	3	0.2	16.7
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	2	0.2	11.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	3	0.2	16.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	5.6
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	5.6
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	5.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	2	0.2	11.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	5.6
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	11.1
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	5.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	5.6
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1280	98.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_6] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (6th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (6th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	2	0.2	13.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	2	0.2	13.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	6.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	2	0.2	13.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	6.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	3	0.2	20.0
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	6.7
For the Poor	14	3	0.2	20.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_7] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (7th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (7th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	7.7
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	7.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	3	0.2	23.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	7.7
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	7.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	7.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	7.7
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	7.7
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	7.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	7.7
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	7.7
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1285	99.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_8] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (8th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (8th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	2	0.2	16.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	8.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	8.3
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	2	0.2	16.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	16.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	8.3
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	16.7
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	8.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1286	99.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_9] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (9th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (9th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	9.1
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	9.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	9.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	9.1
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	9.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	9.1
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	9.1
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	9.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	9.1
Change 2011	16	2	0.2	18.2
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_10] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (10th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (10th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	9.1
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	9.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	18.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	9.1
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	9.1
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	9.1
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	2	0.2	18.2
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	18.2
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_11] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (11th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (11th mention)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	11
minimum	10.00
maximum	17.00
mean	12.55
standard deviation	2.42

[Q21A_12] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (12th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (12th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	9.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	9.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	3	0.2	27.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	18.2
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	3	0.2	27.3
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	9.1
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_13] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (13th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (13th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	9.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	9.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	9.1
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	9.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	9.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	18.2
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	3	0.2	27.3
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	9.1
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_14] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (14th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (14th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	2	0.2	18.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	9.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	9.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	9.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	9.1
For the Poor	14	3	0.2	27.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	18.2
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_15] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (15th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (15th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	9.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	3	0.2	27.3
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	9.1
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	9.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	5	0.4	45.5
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_16] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (16th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (16th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	9.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	9.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	9.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	9.1
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	9.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	2	0.2	18.2
Change 2011	16	4	0.3	36.4
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1287	99.2	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_17] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (17th mention)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (17th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	10.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	10.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	20.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	10.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	10.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	4	0.3	40.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1288	99.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q21C] (IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?

Question

(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	218	16.8	16.8
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	133	10.2	10.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	168	12.9	12.9
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	72	5.5	5.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	40	3.1	3.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	96	7.4	7.4
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	93	7.2	7.2
PS (True Finns)	8	184	14.2	14.2
The Communist Party of Finland	9	3	0.2	0.2
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	0.1
The Independence Party	13	3	0.2	0.2
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	6	0.5	0.5
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	4	0.3	0.3
Cast an invalid ballot	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	96	7.4	7.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	8	0.6	0.6
Missing	99	173	13.3	13.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22A] Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?

Question

Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	598	46.1	46.1
No	5	515	39.7	39.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	6	0.5	0.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	6	0.5	0.5
Missing	9	173	13.3	13.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_1] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	112	8.6	8.6
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	55	4.2	4.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	77	5.9	5.9
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	19	1.5	1.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	21	1.6	1.6
VIHR (Green League)	6	72	5.5	5.5
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	66	5.1	5.1
PS (True Finns)	8	102	7.9	7.9
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	3	0.2	0.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	0.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	4	0.3	0.3
Change 2011	16	6	0.5	0.5
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	40	3.1	3.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	19	1.5	1.5
Missing	99	700	53.9	53.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_2] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)**Question**

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	44	3.4	17.7
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	26	2.0	10.5
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	38	2.9	15.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	10	0.8	4.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	18	1.4	7.3
VIHR (Green League)	6	30	2.3	12.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	18	1.4	7.3
PS (True Finns)	8	45	3.5	18.1
The Communist Party of Finland	9	5	0.4	2.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	0.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	0.8
For the Poor	14	2	0.2	0.8
Pirate Party of Finland	15	5	0.4	2.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	0.4
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	0.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1050	80.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_3] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	12	0.9	15.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	8	0.6	10.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	7	0.5	8.8
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	5	0.4	6.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	5	0.4	6.2
VIHR (Green League)	6	14	1.1	17.5
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	9	0.7	11.2
PS (True Finns)	8	5	0.4	6.2
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	1.2
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	4	0.3	5.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	2.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	2.5
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	1.2
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	1.2
Pirate Party of Finland	15	4	0.3	5.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1218	93.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_4] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)**Question***(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	4	0.3	11.4
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	2	0.2	5.7
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	5	0.4	14.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	6	0.5	17.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	4	0.3	11.4
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	2.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	3	0.2	8.6
PS (True Finns)	8	2	0.2	5.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	2.9
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	2.9
For the Poor	14	5	0.4	14.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	2.9
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1263	97.3	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_5] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)**Question***(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	3.8
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	2	0.2	7.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	3.8
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	7.7
VIHR (Green League)	6	5	0.4	19.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	3.8
PS (True Finns)	8	4	0.3	15.4
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	3.8
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	3.8
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	3.8
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	7.7
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	3.8
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	3.8
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	3.8
Change 2011	16	2	0.2	7.7
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1272	98.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_6] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)**Question**

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	4.5
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	4.5
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	2	0.2	9.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	4.5
VIHR (Green League)	6	2	0.2	9.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	5	0.4	22.7
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	4.5
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	4.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	9.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	4.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	9.1
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	4.5
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	4.5
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	4.5
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1276	98.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_7] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)**Question***(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	3	0.2	13.6
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	4.5
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	4.5
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	4.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	9.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	4.5
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	2	0.2	9.1
PS (True Finns)	8	4	0.3	18.2
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	4.5
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	3	0.2	13.6
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	4.5
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	4.5
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	4.5
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1276	98.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_8] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	2	0.2	11.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	4	0.3	22.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	2	0.2	11.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	2	0.2	11.1
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	5.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	5.6
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	3	0.2	16.7
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	5.6
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	5.6
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	5.6
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1280	98.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_9] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	2	0.2	13.3
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	3	0.2	20.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	2	0.2	13.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	4	0.3	26.7
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	13.3
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	6.7
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_10] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)**Question**

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	6.7
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	6.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	13.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	3	0.2	20.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	3	0.2	20.0
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	6.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	2	0.2	13.3
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	6.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_11] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	6.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	6.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	6.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	6.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	13.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	4	0.3	26.7
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	6.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	6.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	13.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_12] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	2	0.2	13.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	13.3
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	2	0.2	13.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	13.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	6.7
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	6.7
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	6.7
For the Poor	14	2	0.2	13.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	6.7
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_13] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)**Question***(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	6.7
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	6.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	6.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	6.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	6.7
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	2	0.2	13.3
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	6.7
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	6.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	6.7
Change 2011	16	3	0.2	20.0
Freedom Party	17	2	0.2	13.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_14] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)**Question***(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	6.7
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	1	0.1	6.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	2	0.2	13.3
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	1	0.1	6.7
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	6.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	6.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	13.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	3	0.2	20.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	1	0.1	6.7
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	6.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_15] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	6.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	6.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	4	0.3	26.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	6.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	6.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	6.7
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	6.7
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	3	0.2	20.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	6.7
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	6.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_16] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	6.7
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	2	0.2	13.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	1	0.1	6.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	1	0.1	6.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	6.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	6.7
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	6.7
For the Poor	14	1	0.1	6.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	2	0.2	13.3
Change 2011	16	3	0.2	20.0
Freedom Party	17	1	0.1	6.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1283	98.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_17] (IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (17th mention)

Question

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (17th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1	0.1	7.7
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	7.7
VIHR (Green League)	6	1	0.1	7.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	7.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	7.7
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	2	0.2	15.4
Change 2011	16	0	0.0	0.0
Freedom Party	17	6	0.5	46.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1285	99.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22C] And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?**Question***And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	981	75.6	75.6
No	5	110	8.5	8.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	10	0.8	0.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	24	1.8	1.8
Missing	9	173	13.3	13.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_1] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	28	2.2	2.2
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	67	5.2	5.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	126	9.7	9.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	51	3.9	3.9
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	65	5.0	5.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	66	5.1	5.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	110	8.5	8.5
PS (True Finns)	8	228	17.6	17.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	44	3.4	3.4
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	8	0.6	0.6
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	34	2.6	2.6
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	4	0.3	0.3
The Independence Party	13	6	0.5	0.5
For the Poor	14	2	0.2	0.2
Pirate Party of Finland	15	60	4.6	4.6
Change 2011	16	5	0.4	0.4
Freedom Party	17	45	3.5	3.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	28	2.2	2.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	4	0.3	0.3
Missing	99	317	24.4	24.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_2] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	26	2.0	3.1
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	60	4.6	7.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	77	5.9	9.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	86	6.6	10.4
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	99	7.6	11.9
VIHR (Green League)	6	60	4.6	7.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	84	6.5	10.1
PS (True Finns)	8	52	4.0	6.3
The Communist Party of Finland	9	61	4.7	7.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	13	1.0	1.6
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	52	4.0	6.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	14	1.1	1.7
The Independence Party	13	12	0.9	1.4
For the Poor	14	12	0.9	1.4
Pirate Party of Finland	15	52	4.0	6.3
Change 2011	16	43	3.3	5.2
Freedom Party	17	27	2.1	3.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	468	36.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_3] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	23	1.8	3.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	35	2.7	5.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	27	2.1	3.8
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	75	5.8	10.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	60	4.6	8.5
VIHR (Green League)	6	45	3.5	6.4
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	44	3.4	6.3
PS (True Finns)	8	33	2.5	4.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	63	4.9	9.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	28	2.2	4.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	60	4.6	8.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	27	2.1	3.8
The Independence Party	13	29	2.2	4.1
For the Poor	14	19	1.5	2.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	62	4.8	8.8
Change 2011	16	47	3.6	6.7
Freedom Party	17	26	2.0	3.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	595	45.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_4] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)**Question**

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	7	0.5	1.2
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	19	1.5	3.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	13	1.0	2.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	35	2.7	6.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	47	3.6	8.2
VIHR (Green League)	6	30	2.3	5.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	24	1.8	4.2
PS (True Finns)	8	19	1.5	3.3
The Communist Party of Finland	9	63	4.9	10.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	42	3.2	7.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	49	3.8	8.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	32	2.5	5.6
The Independence Party	13	28	2.2	4.9
For the Poor	14	49	3.8	8.5
Pirate Party of Finland	15	48	3.7	8.3
Change 2011	16	34	2.6	5.9
Freedom Party	17	37	2.9	6.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	722	55.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_5] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	7	0.5	1.4
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	12	0.9	2.4
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	4	0.3	0.8
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	15	1.2	3.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	21	1.6	4.2
VIHR (Green League)	6	19	1.5	3.8
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	14	1.1	2.8
PS (True Finns)	8	18	1.4	3.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	38	2.9	7.6
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	38	2.9	7.6
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	66	5.1	13.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	35	2.7	7.0
The Independence Party	13	59	4.5	11.8
For the Poor	14	37	2.9	7.4
Pirate Party of Finland	15	43	3.3	8.6
Change 2011	16	38	2.9	7.6
Freedom Party	17	34	2.6	6.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	800	61.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_6] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	9	0.7	2.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	10	0.8	2.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	5	0.4	1.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	3	0.2	0.7
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	5	0.4	1.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	12	0.9	2.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	10	0.8	2.3
PS (True Finns)	8	7	0.5	1.6
The Communist Party of Finland	9	41	3.2	9.2
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	33	2.5	7.4
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	42	3.2	9.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	67	5.2	15.1
The Independence Party	13	58	4.5	13.1
For the Poor	14	34	2.6	7.7
Pirate Party of Finland	15	42	3.2	9.5
Change 2011	16	34	2.6	7.7
Freedom Party	17	32	2.5	7.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	854	65.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_7] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	1	0.1	0.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	7	0.5	1.8
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	8	0.6	2.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	6	0.5	1.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	9	0.7	2.3
VIHR (Green League)	6	11	0.8	2.8
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	9	0.7	2.3
PS (True Finns)	8	8	0.6	2.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	89	6.9	22.8
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	29	2.2	7.4
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	27	2.1	6.9
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	25	1.9	6.4
The Independence Party	13	37	2.9	9.5
For the Poor	14	31	2.4	7.9
Pirate Party of Finland	15	29	2.2	7.4
Change 2011	16	34	2.6	8.7
Freedom Party	17	31	2.4	7.9
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	907	69.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_8] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)**Question**

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	8	0.6	2.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	9	0.7	2.6
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	4	0.3	1.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	18	1.4	5.3
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	15	1.2	4.4
VIHR (Green League)	6	6	0.5	1.8
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	18	1.4	5.3
PS (True Finns)	8	16	1.2	4.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	25	1.9	7.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	12	0.9	3.5
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	28	2.2	8.2
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	26	2.0	7.6
The Independence Party	13	18	1.4	5.3
For the Poor	14	39	3.0	11.4
Pirate Party of Finland	15	33	2.5	9.7
Change 2011	16	32	2.5	9.4
Freedom Party	17	34	2.6	10.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	957	73.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_9] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	12	0.9	4.0
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	10	0.8	3.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	7	0.5	2.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	12	0.9	4.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	11	0.8	3.6
VIHR (Green League)	6	21	1.6	6.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	21	1.6	6.9
PS (True Finns)	8	10	0.8	3.3
The Communist Party of Finland	9	12	0.9	4.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	7	0.5	2.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	10	0.8	3.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	27	2.1	8.9
The Independence Party	13	25	1.9	8.3
For the Poor	14	22	1.7	7.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	25	1.9	8.3
Change 2011	16	37	2.9	12.2
Freedom Party	17	34	2.6	11.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	995	76.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_10] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	9	0.7	3.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	11	0.8	4.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	7	0.5	2.6
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	23	1.8	8.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	21	1.6	7.7
VIHR (Green League)	6	15	1.2	5.5
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	11	0.8	4.0
PS (True Finns)	8	12	0.9	4.4
The Communist Party of Finland	9	12	0.9	4.4
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	3	0.2	1.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	4	0.3	1.5
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	13	1.0	4.8
The Independence Party	13	30	2.3	11.0
For the Poor	14	22	1.7	8.1
Pirate Party of Finland	15	24	1.8	8.8
Change 2011	16	22	1.7	8.1
Freedom Party	17	33	2.5	12.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1026	79.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_11] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	12	0.9	5.1
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	14	1.1	6.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	13	1.0	5.5
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	22	1.7	9.4
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	20	1.5	8.5
VIHR (Green League)	6	9	0.7	3.8
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	10	0.8	4.3
PS (True Finns)	8	10	0.8	4.3
The Communist Party of Finland	9	6	0.5	2.6
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	0.9
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	0.4
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	4	0.3	1.7
The Independence Party	13	11	0.8	4.7
For the Poor	14	35	2.7	14.9
Pirate Party of Finland	15	21	1.6	8.9
Change 2011	16	23	1.8	9.8
Freedom Party	17	22	1.7	9.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1063	81.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_12] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	17	1.3	8.5
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	13	1.0	6.5
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	8	0.6	4.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	16	1.2	8.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	19	1.5	9.5
VIHR (Green League)	6	10	0.8	5.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	12	0.9	6.0
PS (True Finns)	8	9	0.7	4.5
The Communist Party of Finland	9	2	0.2	1.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	1.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	1.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	6	0.5	3.0
The Independence Party	13	8	0.6	4.0
For the Poor	14	12	0.9	6.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	23	1.8	11.6
Change 2011	16	18	1.4	9.0
Freedom Party	17	22	1.7	11.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1099	84.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_13] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	20	1.5	11.4
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	13	1.0	7.4
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	16	1.2	9.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	12	0.9	6.9
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	7	0.5	4.0
VIHR (Green League)	6	17	1.3	9.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	10	0.8	5.7
PS (True Finns)	8	10	0.8	5.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	3	0.2	1.7
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	1	0.1	0.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	0.6
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	5	0.4	2.9
The Independence Party	13	5	0.4	2.9
For the Poor	14	5	0.4	2.9
Pirate Party of Finland	15	11	0.8	6.3
Change 2011	16	23	1.8	13.1
Freedom Party	17	16	1.2	9.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1123	86.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_14] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	12	0.9	8.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	22	1.7	15.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	12	0.9	8.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	6	0.5	4.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	10	0.8	6.9
VIHR (Green League)	6	8	0.6	5.5
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	11	0.8	7.6
PS (True Finns)	8	13	1.0	9.0
The Communist Party of Finland	9	3	0.2	2.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	2	0.2	1.4
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	4	0.3	2.8
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	8	0.6	5.5
The Independence Party	13	1	0.1	0.7
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	4	0.3	2.8
Change 2011	16	7	0.5	4.8
Freedom Party	17	22	1.7	15.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1153	88.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_15] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)

Question

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	15	1.2	16.3
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	6	0.5	6.5
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	15	1.2	16.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	7	0.5	7.6
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	6	0.5	6.5
VIHR (Green League)	6	4	0.3	4.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	3	0.2	3.3
PS (True Finns)	8	6	0.5	6.5
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	1.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	4	0.3	4.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	2	0.2	2.2
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	3	0.2	3.3
The Independence Party	13	2	0.2	2.2
For the Poor	14	3	0.2	3.3
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	8	0.6	8.7
Freedom Party	17	7	0.5	7.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1206	92.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_16] (IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)**Question***(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	1	12	0.9	21.4
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	9	0.7	16.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	6	0.5	10.7
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	4	4	0.3	7.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	5	1	0.1	1.8
VIHR (Green League)	6	5	0.4	8.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	7	4	0.3	7.1
PS (True Finns)	8	6	0.5	10.7
The Communist Party of Finland	9	1	0.1	1.8
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	11	1	0.1	1.8
The Finnish Workers' Party	12	1	0.1	1.8
The Independence Party	13	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	14	0	0.0	0.0
Pirate Party of Finland	15	0	0.0	0.0
Change 2011	16	1	0.1	1.8
Freedom Party	17	5	0.4	8.9
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1242	95.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q23] Did you vote four years ago, in the 2007 parliamentary elections?**Question***Did you vote four years ago, in the 2007 parliamentary elections?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1070	82.4	82.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No	5	154	11.9	11.9
No right to vote (no franchise)	6	62	4.8	4.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	11	0.8	0.8
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q23B] (IF q23 = YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?

Question

(IF q23 = YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	176	13.6	13.6
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	238	18.3	18.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	178	13.7	13.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	80	6.2	6.2
VIHR (Green League)	5	106	8.2	8.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	72	5.5	5.5
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	35	2.7	2.7
PS (True Finns)	8	28	2.2	2.2
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	2	0.2	0.2
The Independence Party	10	1	0.1	0.1
Liberals	11	1	0.1	0.1
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	1	0.1	0.1
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	1	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	2	0.2	0.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of these parties (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	76	5.9	5.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	72	5.5	5.5
Missing	99	228	17.6	17.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24A] Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2010?**Question**

Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2010?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Erkki Tuomioja	1	34	2.6	2.6
Astrid Thors	2	5	0.4	0.4
Olli Rehn	3	9	0.7	0.7
Alexander Stubb	4	1188	91.5	91.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	59	4.5	4.5
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24B] Which of the following parties has the fourth largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?**Question**

Which of the following parties has the fourth largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK (National Coalition Party)	1	40	3.1	3.1
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	2	1001	77.1	77.1
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	3	59	4.5	4.5
PS (True Finns)	4	88	6.8	6.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	107	8.2	8.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24C] Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?**Question**

Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Over 18 yo Finnish citizens living in Finland	1	340	26.2	26.2
Over 18 yo Finnish citizens regardless of country of residence	2	858	66.1	66.1
Besides Finns, over 18 yo EU citizens living in Finland	3	16	1.2	1.2
Over 18 Finnish citizens who haven't lost the right due to crime	4	78	6.0	6.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	5	0.4	0.4
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24D] What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?**Question**

What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Government by the people	1	243	18.7	18.7
That Parliament has the confidence of the Government	2	177	13.6	13.6
That the Government has the confidence of Parliament	3	726	55.9	55.9
That the Government has the confidence of the President	4	29	2.2	2.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	12	0.9	0.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	111	8.6	8.6
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24E] What percentage of people living in Finland are foreign nationals?**Question**

What percentage of people living in Finland are foreign nationals?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less than 1 percent	1	130	10.0	10.0
About 3 percent	2	635	48.9	48.9
About 8 percent	3	391	30.1	30.1
About 13 percent	4	69	5.3	5.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	72	5.5	5.5
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24F] Which of the following is the largest group of foreign nationals residing in Finland?

Question

Which of the following is the largest group of foreign nationals residing in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Vietnamese	1	25	1.9	1.9
Russians	2	988	76.1	76.1
Somali	3	247	19.0	19.0
Germans	4	4	0.3	0.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	31	2.4	2.4
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[Q24G] What is the European Union (EU) treaty that came into force at the end of the year 2009 called?

Question

What is the European Union (EU) treaty that came into force at the end of the year 2009 called?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Geneva Convention	1	109	8.4	8.4
Schengen Agreement	2	377	29.0	29.0
Lissabon Treaty	3	474	36.5	36.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Maastricht Treaty	4	163	12.6	12.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	11	0.8	0.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	164	12.6	12.6
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_1] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Difficult to find a suitable party**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	17	1.3	10.6
Had some importance	2	35	2.7	21.9
Had no importance	3	105	8.1	65.6
Can't say	4	3	0.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_2] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Difficult to find a suitable candidate**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	28	2.2	17.5
Had some importance	2	38	2.9	23.8
Had no importance	3	91	7.0	56.9
Can't say	4	3	0.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K16_3] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job or travel prevented me from voting

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job or travel prevented me from voting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	27	2.1	16.9
Had some importance	2	14	1.1	8.8
Had no importance	3	117	9.0	73.1
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K16_4] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	15	1.2	9.4
Had some importance	2	4	0.3	2.5
Had no importance	3	139	10.7	86.9
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_5] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Didn't remember to vote**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	5	0.4	3.1
Had some importance	2	10	0.8	6.2
Had no importance	3	141	10.9	88.1
Can't say	4	4	0.3	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_6] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Simply couldn't be bothered to vote**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	39	3.0	24.4
Had some importance	2	39	3.0	24.4
Had no importance	3	80	6.2	50.0
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_7] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I
do not profit in any way from voting**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	39	3.0	24.4
Had some importance	2	49	3.8	30.6
Had no importance	3	69	5.3	43.1
Can't say	4	3	0.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K16_8] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	31	2.4	19.4
Had some importance	2	43	3.3	26.9
Had no importance	3	82	6.3	51.2
Can't say	4	4	0.3	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K16_9] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	41	3.2	25.6
Had some importance	2	55	4.2	34.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Had no importance	3	62	4.8	38.8
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_10] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
As a protest against politics and politicians**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	26	2.0	16.2
Had some importance	2	19	1.5	11.9
Had no importance	3	112	8.6	70.0
Can't say	4	3	0.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

**[K16_11] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	33	2.5	20.6
Had some importance	2	42	3.2	26.2
Had no importance	3	81	6.2	50.6
Can't say	4	4	0.3	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K16_12] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Campaign funding scandal of the parliamentary elections in 2007

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Campaign funding scandal of the parliamentary elections in 2007

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	13	1.0	8.1
Had some importance	2	29	2.2	18.1
Had no importance	3	116	8.9	72.5
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K17] (IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It was self-evident that I would not vote	1	44	3.4	27.5
Considered voting but not seriously	2	50	3.9	31.2
Considered voting seriously	3	65	5.0	40.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	1	0.1	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1138	87.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K18] (IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?

Question

(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Party more important	1	625	48.2	55.6
Candidate more important	2	489	37.7	43.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	3	11	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_1] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	70	5.4	6.2
Rather a lot	2	258	19.9	22.9
Somewhat	3	357	27.5	31.7
Not at all	4	434	33.4	38.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	6	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_2] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	160	12.3	14.2
Rather a lot	2	329	25.3	29.2
Somewhat	3	309	23.8	27.5
Not at all	4	316	24.3	28.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	11	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_3] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader

Question

*(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections:
Good party leader*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	231	17.8	20.5
Rather a lot	2	396	30.5	35.2
Somewhat	3	318	24.5	28.3
Not at all	4	173	13.3	15.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	7	0.5	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_4] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Values of the party

Question

*(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections:
Values of the party*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	488	37.6	43.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	462	35.6	41.1
Somewhat	3	133	10.2	11.8
Not at all	4	35	2.7	3.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	7	0.5	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_5] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	328	25.3	29.2
Rather a lot	2	559	43.1	49.7
Somewhat	3	181	13.9	16.1
Not at all	4	45	3.5	4.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.9	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_6] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to conduct policies

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to conduct policies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	327	25.2	29.1
Rather a lot	2	541	41.7	48.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	3	195	15.0	17.3
Not at all	4	44	3.4	3.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	18	1.4	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_7] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	141	10.9	12.5
Rather a lot	2	461	35.5	41.0
Somewhat	3	352	27.1	31.3
Not at all	4	158	12.2	14.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	13	1.0	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_8] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party's successful election campaign

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party's successful election campaign

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	114	8.8	10.1
Rather a lot	2	308	23.7	27.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	3	404	31.1	35.9
Not at all	4	287	22.1	25.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.9	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_9] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	188	14.5	16.7
Rather a lot	2	288	22.2	25.6
Somewhat	3	282	21.7	25.1
Not at all	4	343	26.4	30.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	24	1.8	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K19_10] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	271	20.9	24.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	415	32.0	36.9
Somewhat	3	269	20.7	23.9
Not at all	4	161	12.4	14.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	9	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_1] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Campaign funding scandal during the past electoral term

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Campaign funding scandal during the past electoral term

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	21	1.6	11.4
Rather a lot	2	49	3.8	26.6
Somewhat	3	51	3.9	27.7
Not at all	4	63	4.9	34.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_2] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a cand. of the True Finns: Election poll success of the True Finns and confidence that candidates of the party would win seats in Parliament

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a cand. of the True Finns: Election poll success of the True Finns and confidence that candidates of the party would win seats in Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	23	1.8	12.5
Rather a lot	2	51	3.9	27.7
Somewhat	3	53	4.1	28.8
Not at all	4	56	4.3	30.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_3] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of party leader Timo Soini

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of party leader Timo Soini

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	53	4.1	28.8
Rather a lot	2	75	5.8	40.8
Somewhat	3	36	2.8	19.6
Not at all	4	18	1.4	9.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_4] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of the candidate I voted for

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of the candidate I voted for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	52	4.0	28.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	73	5.6	39.7
Somewhat	3	42	3.2	22.8
Not at all	4	15	1.2	8.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_5] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to make a protest vote in these parliamentary elections

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to make a protest vote in these parliamentary elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	43	3.3	23.4
Rather a lot	2	36	2.8	19.6
Somewhat	3	32	2.5	17.4
Not at all	4	71	5.5	38.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_6] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the political party you previously voted for

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the political party you previously voted for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	31	2.4	16.8
Rather a lot	2	39	3.0	21.2
Somewhat	3	42	3.2	22.8
Not at all	4	68	5.2	37.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_7] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the candidate you previously voted for

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the candidate you previously voted for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	14	1.1	7.6
Rather a lot	2	20	1.5	10.9
Somewhat	3	30	2.3	16.3
Not at all	4	114	8.8	62.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	6	0.5	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_8] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to stir the stagnant party system

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to stir the stagnant party system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	100	7.7	54.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	47	3.6	25.5
Somewhat	3	28	2.2	15.2
Not at all	4	8	0.6	4.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_9] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to tighten the Finnish immigration system and immigrant benefits

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to tighten the Finnish immigration system and immigrant benefits

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	71	5.5	38.6
Rather a lot	2	47	3.6	25.5
Somewhat	3	36	2.8	19.6
Not at all	4	27	2.1	14.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_10] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to decrease Finland's commitment to the European Union and its membership obligations

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to decrease Finland's commitment to the European Union and its membership obligations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	69	5.3	37.5
Rather a lot	2	56	4.3	30.4
Somewhat	3	40	3.1	21.7
Not at all	4	18	1.4	9.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_11] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Opposing mandatory teaching of Swedish in Finnish schools

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Opposing mandatory teaching of Swedish in Finnish schools

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	22	1.7	12.0
Rather a lot	2	38	2.9	20.7
Somewhat	3	39	3.0	21.2
Not at all	4	83	6.4	45.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_12] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to reinforce traditional values in the Finnish society

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to reinforce traditional values in the Finnish society

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	49	3.8	26.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	74	5.7	40.2
Somewhat	3	45	3.5	24.5
Not at all	4	16	1.2	8.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20_13] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Promoting other political objectives of the True Finns

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Promoting other political objectives of the True Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	40	3.1	21.7
Rather a lot	2	73	5.6	39.7
Somewhat	3	55	4.2	29.9
Not at all	4	14	1.1	7.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K20B] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Open-ended)

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Open-ended)

[K20BL] (IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Classified)

Question

(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Change, protest, regime change, opportunity to influence	1	51	3.9	27.7
Immigration policy, curbing immigration	2	26	2.0	14.1
Portugal bailout, opposing financ. support to EU countries	3	9	0.7	4.9
Growth of social inequality, poverty, for the poor	4	6	0.5	3.3
Timo Soini, party leader	5	10	0.8	5.4
Trustworthy, honest, listens, lost confidence in former party	6	6	0.5	3.3
Gen. issues and objectives of the party, shared views	7	6	0.5	3.3
Trad. values, maintaining values, patriotism, conservatism	8	8	0.6	4.3
Generally a good party, shows competence	9	2	0.2	1.1
Understandable message, outspokenness, clarity	10	5	0.4	2.7
Familiar/particular/good candidate	11	15	1.2	8.2
General EU policy, opposing the power of the EU	12	10	0.8	5.4
Unemployment and youth unemployment policies	13	4	0.3	2.2
Campaign funding scandal, wrongdoings	14	1	0.1	0.5
Acts for ordinary people, for the working class	15	1	0.1	0.5
Something else: persuasion, candidate selector, fashion	16	10	0.8	5.4
Can't say	19	14	1.1	7.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1114	85.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_1] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	64	4.9	5.7
Rather a lot	2	245	18.9	21.8
Somewhat	3	295	22.7	26.2
Not at all	4	520	40.1	46.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_2] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	99	7.6	8.8
Rather a lot	2	161	12.4	14.3
Somewhat	3	182	14.0	16.2
Not at all	4	681	52.5	60.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_3] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	107	8.2	9.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	295	22.7	26.2
Somewhat	3	361	27.8	32.1
Not at all	4	357	27.5	31.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_4] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	140	10.8	12.4
Rather a lot	2	244	18.8	21.7
Somewhat	3	261	20.1	23.2
Not at all	4	478	36.8	42.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_5] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	213	16.4	18.9
Rather a lot	2	421	32.4	37.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	3	273	21.0	24.3
Not at all	4	212	16.3	18.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	6	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_6] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	442	34.1	39.3
Rather a lot	2	473	36.4	42.0
Somewhat	3	156	12.0	13.9
Not at all	4	42	3.2	3.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.9	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_7] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	457	35.2	40.6
Rather a lot	2	510	39.3	45.3
Somewhat	3	118	9.1	10.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	30	2.3	2.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	10	0.8	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_8] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	98	7.6	8.7
Rather a lot	2	282	21.7	25.1
Somewhat	3	384	29.6	34.1
Not at all	4	357	27.5	31.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_9] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	125	9.6	11.1
Rather a lot	2	237	18.3	21.1
Somewhat	3	291	22.4	25.9
Not at all	4	470	36.2	41.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_10] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	432	33.3	38.4
Rather a lot	2	472	36.4	42.0
Somewhat	3	163	12.6	14.5
Not at all	4	49	3.8	4.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	9	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_11] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	523	40.3	46.5
Rather a lot	2	345	26.6	30.7
Somewhat	3	184	14.2	16.4
Not at all	4	70	5.4	6.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_12] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	50	3.9	4.4
Rather a lot	2	186	14.3	16.5
Somewhat	3	435	33.5	38.7
Not at all	4	450	34.7	40.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_13] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	161	12.4	14.3
Rather a lot	2	376	29.0	33.4
Somewhat	3	310	23.9	27.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	274	21.1	24.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_14] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Openness/transparency of the candidate's campaign funding

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Openness/transparency of the candidate's campaign funding

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	96	7.4	8.5
Rather a lot	2	230	17.7	20.4
Somewhat	3	268	20.6	23.8
Not at all	4	488	37.6	43.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	43	3.3	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_15] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on immigration policy

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on immigration policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	150	11.6	13.3
Rather a lot	2	257	19.8	22.8
Somewhat	3	349	26.9	31.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	341	26.3	30.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	28	2.2	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_16] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors on the Internet

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors on the Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	103	7.9	9.2
Rather a lot	2	152	11.7	13.5
Somewhat	3	155	11.9	13.8
Not at all	4	665	51.2	59.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	50	3.9	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K21_17] (IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Internet presence of the candidate or his/her political party

Question

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Internet presence of the candidate or his/her political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	30	2.3	2.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	128	9.9	11.4
Somewhat	3	229	17.6	20.4
Not at all	4	700	53.9	62.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	38	2.9	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K22] (IF VOTED) If your candidate had been running for any of the other parties, would you still have voted for him/her?

Question

(IF VOTED) If your candidate had been running for any of the other parties, would you still have voted for him/her?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would have voted irrespective of political party	1	106	8.2	9.4
Would have voted as a candidate of another suitable party	2	361	27.8	32.1
Wouldn't have voted as a candidate of another party	3	630	48.5	56.0
Can't say	4	28	2.2	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K23] (IF VOTED) Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?

Question

(IF VOTED) Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	686	52.9	61.0
No	2	429	33.1	38.1
Can't say	3	10	0.8	0.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K24] (IF VOTED) What was the age of the candidate you voted for?

Question

(IF VOTED) What was the age of the candidate you voted for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Voted for a candidate who is roughly of the same age as me	1	356	27.4	31.6
Voted for a candidate who is much younger than me	2	513	39.5	45.6
Voted for a candidate who is much older than me	3	242	18.6	21.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K25] (IF VOTED) Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2007?

Question

(IF VOTED) Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2007?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	205	15.8	18.2
No	2	894	68.9	79.5
Can't say	3	26	2.0	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K26] (IF VOTED) In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?**Question***(IF VOTED) In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
I knew the candidate personally	1	216	16.6	19.2
Friend, acquaintance or relative knew candidate personally	2	92	7.1	8.2
I knew of candidate's activities from prior media coverage	3	462	35.6	41.1
Voted for candidate on basis of his/her election campaign	4	178	13.7	15.8
Chose the candidate without knowing anything more of him/her	5	171	13.2	15.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	6	6	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K27] (IF VOTED) When did you decide on the party you voted for?**Question***(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the party you voted for?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
The day of voting	1	90	6.9	8.0
A few days before voting	2	153	11.8	13.6
About a week or two before voting	3	190	14.6	16.9
About a month or two before voting	4	172	13.3	15.3
The decision was already clear last year	5	509	39.2	45.2
Can't say	6	11	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K28] (IF VOTED) When did you decide on the candidate you voted for?**Question**

(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the candidate you voted for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The day of voting	1	167	12.9	14.8
A few days before voting	2	251	19.3	22.3
About a week or two before voting	3	283	21.8	25.2
About a month or two before voting	4	233	18.0	20.7
The decision was already clear last year	5	187	14.4	16.6
Can't say	6	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	173	13.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K29A] How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If the voters in his/her constituency have one opinion and his/her party takes a different position?**Question**

How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If the voters in his/her constituency have one opinion and his/her party takes a different position?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should vote acc. to his/her political party's opinion	1	569	43.8	43.8
Should vote acc. to his/her constituency's voters' opinion	2	729	56.2	56.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K29B] How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with the opinion of the voters in his/her constituency?**Question**

How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with the opinion of the voters in his/her constituency?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should vote acc. to his/her own opinion	1	671	51.7	51.7
Should vote acc. to his/her constituency's voters' opinion	2	627	48.3	48.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K29C] How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with his/her party's position?

Question

How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with his/her party's position?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should vote acc. to his/her own opinion	1	782	60.2	60.2
Should vote acc. to his/her political party's opinion	2	516	39.8	39.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_1] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The President

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The President

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	18	1.4	1.4
1	1	6	0.5	0.5
2	2	9	0.7	0.7
3	3	16	1.2	1.2
4	4	27	2.1	2.1
5	5	100	7.7	7.7
6	6	48	3.7	3.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
7	7	149	11.5	11.5
8	8	379	29.2	29.2
9	9	267	20.6	20.6
Trust completely	10	270	20.8	20.8
Can't say	98	9	0.7	0.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_2] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Political parties

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	25	1.9	1.9
1	1	22	1.7	1.7
2	2	32	2.5	2.5
3	3	57	4.4	4.4
4	4	92	7.1	7.1
5	5	249	19.2	19.2
6	6	213	16.4	16.4
7	7	365	28.1	28.1
8	8	183	14.1	14.1
9	9	28	2.2	2.2
Trust completely	10	11	0.8	0.8
Can't say	98	21	1.6	1.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_3] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Parliament

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Parliament

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	14	1.1	1.1
1	1	12	0.9	0.9
2	2	27	2.1	2.1
3	3	47	3.6	3.6
4	4	61	4.7	4.7
5	5	180	13.9	13.9
6	6	208	16.0	16.0
7	7	312	24.0	24.0
8	8	315	24.3	24.3
9	9	80	6.2	6.2
Trust completely	10	23	1.8	1.8
Can't say	98	19	1.5	1.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_4] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The Government

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	18	1.4	1.4
1	1	14	1.1	1.1
2	2	29	2.2	2.2
3	3	55	4.2	4.2
4	4	65	5.0	5.0
5	5	155	11.9	11.9
6	6	183	14.1	14.1
7	7	313	24.1	24.1
8	8	324	25.0	25.0
9	9	94	7.2	7.2
Trust completely	10	25	1.9	1.9
Can't say	98	23	1.8	1.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_5] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Politicians

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	31	2.4	2.4
1	1	39	3.0	3.0
2	2	65	5.0	5.0
3	3	86	6.6	6.6
4	4	136	10.5	10.5
5	5	262	20.2	20.2
6	6	249	19.2	19.2
7	7	301	23.2	23.2
8	8	91	7.0	7.0
9	9	12	0.9	0.9
Trust completely	10	8	0.6	0.6
Can't say	98	18	1.4	1.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_6] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: European Union

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: European Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	63	4.9	4.9
1	1	54	4.2	4.2
2	2	80	6.2	6.2
3	3	95	7.3	7.3
4	4	140	10.8	10.8
5	5	235	18.1	18.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
6	6	222	17.1	17.1
7	7	231	17.8	17.8
8	8	118	9.1	9.1
9	9	19	1.5	1.5
Trust completely	10	9	0.7	0.7
Can't say	98	32	2.5	2.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_7] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Judicial system

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Judicial system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	14	1.1	1.1
1	1	17	1.3	1.3
2	2	23	1.8	1.8
3	3	48	3.7	3.7
4	4	52	4.0	4.0
5	5	96	7.4	7.4
6	6	103	7.9	7.9
7	7	222	17.1	17.1
8	8	370	28.5	28.5
9	9	253	19.5	19.5
Trust completely	10	83	6.4	6.4
Can't say	98	17	1.3	1.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_8] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Public officials

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Public officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	14	1.1	1.1
1	1	21	1.6	1.6
2	2	30	2.3	2.3
3	3	54	4.2	4.2
4	4	76	5.9	5.9
5	5	148	11.4	11.4
6	6	164	12.6	12.6
7	7	340	26.2	26.2
8	8	307	23.7	23.7
9	9	101	7.8	7.8
Trust completely	10	24	1.8	1.8
Can't say	98	19	1.5	1.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_9] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	43	3.3	3.3
1	1	43	3.3	3.3
2	2	37	2.9	2.9
3	3	51	3.9	3.9
4	4	55	4.2	4.2
5	5	148	11.4	11.4
6	6	119	9.2	9.2
7	7	234	18.0	18.0
8	8	320	24.7	24.7
9	9	134	10.3	10.3
Trust completely	10	64	4.9	4.9
Can't say	98	50	3.9	3.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_10] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The police

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The police

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	7	0.5	0.5
1	1	10	0.8	0.8
2	2	13	1.0	1.0
3	3	14	1.1	1.1
4	4	33	2.5	2.5
5	5	54	4.2	4.2
6	6	65	5.0	5.0
7	7	157	12.1	12.1
8	8	397	30.6	30.6
9	9	380	29.3	29.3
Trust completely	10	160	12.3	12.3
Can't say	98	8	0.6	0.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K30_11] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Trade unions and professional associations

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Trade unions and professional associations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't trust at all	0	18	1.4	1.4
1	1	15	1.2	1.2
2	2	24	1.8	1.8
3	3	44	3.4	3.4
4	4	71	5.5	5.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
5	5	159	12.2	12.2
6	6	155	11.9	11.9
7	7	318	24.5	24.5
8	8	293	22.6	22.6
9	9	103	7.9	7.9
Trust completely	10	38	2.9	2.9
Can't say	98	60	4.6	4.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[K31] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = can't be too careful, 10 = most people can be trusted), can people be trusted or is it so that you can never be too careful about them?

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = can't be too careful, 10 = most people can be trusted), can people be trusted or is it so that you can never be too careful about them?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't be too careful	0	25	1.9	1.9
1	1	19	1.5	1.5
2	2	13	1.0	1.0
3	3	30	2.3	2.3
4	4	47	3.6	3.6
5	5	119	9.2	9.2
6	6	103	7.9	7.9
7	7	294	22.7	22.7
8	8	419	32.3	32.3
9	9	130	10.0	10.0
Most people can be trusted	10	95	7.3	7.3
Can't say	98	4	0.3	0.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D1] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	1919.00
maximum	1993.00
mean	1960.10
standard deviation	18.23

[D2] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	646	49.8	49.8
Female	2	652	50.2	50.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D3_1] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	242	18.6	18.6
Lower secondary education	2	550	42.4	42.4
Still at school (primary or secondary)	3	14	1.1	1.1
Upper secondary (general)	4	490	37.8	37.8
No formal education	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D3_2] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Still at school (vocational school or course)	1	32	2.5	2.5
Short vocational training (vocational school or course)	2	371	28.6	28.6
College level vocational education	3	315	24.3	24.3
Some university level studies	4	72	5.5	5.5
University of applied sciences (polytechnic) degree	5	115	8.9	8.9
University degree	6	215	16.6	16.6
No formal vocational education	7	178	13.7	13.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D4] Respondent's marital status**Question**

Respondent's marital status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single, never married	1	297	22.9	22.9
Married or in registered partnership	2	565	43.5	43.5
Cohabiting	3	165	12.7	12.7
Divorced or separated	4	175	13.5	13.5
Widowed	5	93	7.2	7.2
Something else	6	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D5_1] Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?**Question**

Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Doesn't belong to any	1	636	49.0	49.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Belongs but participates very little	2	503	38.8	38.8
Belongs and participates to some extent	3	122	9.4	9.4
Belongs and participates actively	4	34	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D5_2] Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to?

Question

Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)	1	291	22.4	44.0
Finnish Confederation of Professionals (STTK)	2	104	8.0	15.7
Confederation of Unions for Prof. and Managerial Staff (AKAVA)	3	174	13.4	26.3
Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)	4	16	1.2	2.4
Something else	5	37	2.9	5.6
Can't say	6	40	3.1	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	636	49.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D5_2A] What other central organisation does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? (Open-ended)

Question

What other central organisation does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? (Open-ended)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
AMF (in Sweden)	1	1	0.1	2.7
The Union of Journalists in Finland (Suom.Journalistiliitto)	2	7	0.5	18.9
Municipal employees (Kuntatyöntekijät)	3	1	0.1	2.7
KVT	4	1	0.1	2.7
KVY	5	1	0.1	2.7
General unemployment fund (YTK)	6	11	0.8	29.7
Salesmen's union (Myyntimiesten liitto)	7	1	0.1	2.7
Nordea Union Finland (Nousu)	8	1	0.1	2.7
Bank employee union (Pankkitoimihenkilöliitto)	9	1	0.1	2.7
Finnish Nurses Association (Sairaanhoitajaliitto)	10	1	0.1	2.7
Finnish Food Workers' Union (SEL)	11	1	0.1	2.7
Finnish entrepreneurs' union (Suomen Yrittäjäliitto)	12	1	0.1	2.7
Federation of Finnish Enterprises (Suomen Yrittäjät)	13	7	0.5	18.9
Textiles and clothing union (Tekstiili- ja vaatetusliitto)	14	1	0.1	2.7
Communications union (Viestintäliitto)	15	1	0.1	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1261	97.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D5_3] How many other than work- or profession-related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member)

Question

How many other than work- or profession-related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
None	1	620	47.8	47.8
In total about _ associations	2	678	52.2	52.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D5_3A] How many other than work or profession related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member) Altogether about...

Question

How many other than work or profession related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member) Altogether about...

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	678
minimum	1.00
maximum	16.00
mean	2.42
standard deviation	2.04

[T1A] How much time do you usually spend watching television on ordinary weekdays? (Mon-Fri excluding holidays, active tv watching)

Question

How much time do you usually spend watching television on ordinary weekdays? (Mon-Fri excluding holidays, active tv watching)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	0	86	6.6	6.6
Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ hours	1	82	6.3	6.3
$\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 hours	2	245	18.9	18.9
Over 1 hour, but no more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ hours	3	145	11.2	11.2
Over $1\frac{1}{2}$ hours, but no more than 2 hours	4	203	15.6	15.6
Over 2 hours, but no more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours	5	141	10.9	10.9
Over $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours, but no more than 3 hours	6	143	11.0	11.0
Over 3 hours	7	253	19.5	19.5
		1298	100.0	100.0

[T1B] (IF WATCHES TV) How much time do you usually spend watching television news or politics-related and current affairs programmes on ordinary weekdays? (Administration, social and public policy or related people)

Question

(IF WATCHES TV) How much time do you usually spend watching television news or politics-related and current affairs programmes on ordinary weekdays? (Administration, social and public policy or related people)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	0	32	2.5	2.6
Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ hours	1	396	30.5	32.7
$\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 hours	2	460	35.4	38.0
Over 1 hour, but no more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ hours	3	142	10.9	11.7
Over $1\frac{1}{2}$ hours, but no more than 2 hours	4	101	7.8	8.3
Over 2 hours, but no more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours	5	42	3.2	3.5
Over $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours, but no more than 3 hours	6	21	1.6	1.7
Over 3 hours	7	18	1.4	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	86	6.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D10] Respondent's employment status

Question

Respondent's employment status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time job (at least 32 hours a week)	1	504	38.8	38.8
Half-time job (15-31 hours a week)	2	57	4.4	4.4
Part-time job (less than 15 hours a week)	3	28	2.2	2.2
Contributing family member in family business	4	6	0.5	0.5
Unemployed	5	96	7.4	7.4
Student	6	110	8.5	8.5
Retired on account of age or working years	7	372	28.7	28.7
Retired for some other reason	8	93	7.2	7.2
Homemaker	9	16	1.2	1.2
Conscripted for military service or in civilian service	10	0	0.0	0.0
On parental leave	11	16	1.2	1.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D11] Respondent's profession (Open-ended)

Question

Respondent's profession (Open-ended)

[D11L] Respondent's profession (Classified)

Question

Respondent's profession (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1050
minimum	11.00
maximum	103.00
mean	48.41
standard deviation	22.98

[D12] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	21	1.6	1.6
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	74	5.7	5.7
Higher managerial occupation (employee)	3	49	3.8	3.8
Lower managerial/ professional employee	4	145	11.2	11.2
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	5	131	10.1	10.1
Worker	6	309	23.8	23.8
Pensioner/retired	7	381	29.4	29.4
Student	8	106	8.2	8.2
Housewife, house husband, homemaker	9	15	1.2	1.2
Unemployed	10	49	3.8	3.8
Something else	11	18	1.4	1.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D13] Type of employer

Question

Type of employer

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
State, government agency, municipality, church, parish	1	191	14.7	32.1
Private company	2	353	27.2	59.3
State-owned or municipality-owned enterprise	3	23	1.8	3.9
So called third sector (e.g. non-profit organisation)	4	22	1.7	3.7
Can't say	5	6	0.5	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	703	54.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D20] Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)

Question

Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
10,000 euros/year or less	1	109	8.4	8.4
10,001 - 15,000 euros/year	2	107	8.2	8.2
15,001 - 20,000 euros/year	3	130	10.0	10.0
20,001 - 25,000 euros/year	4	112	8.6	8.6
25,001 - 30,000 euros/year	5	99	7.6	7.6
30,001 - 35,000 euros/year	6	84	6.5	6.5
35,001 - 40,000 euros/year	7	69	5.3	5.3
40,001 - 45,000 euros/year	8	74	5.7	5.7
45,001 - 50,000 euros/year	9	54	4.2	4.2
50,001 - 55,000 euros/year	10	46	3.5	3.5
55,001 - 60,000 euros/year	11	43	3.3	3.3
60,001 - 70,000 euros/year	12	77	5.9	5.9
70,001 - 80,000 euros/year	13	63	4.9	4.9
80,001 - 90,000 euros/year	14	30	2.3	2.3
Over 90,000 euros/year	15	64	4.9	4.9
Can't say	16	137	10.6	10.6
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D21_1] Total number of persons in the household

Question

Total number of persons in the household

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
	1	465	35.8	35.8
	2	516	39.8	39.8
	3	136	10.5	10.5
	4	110	8.5	8.5
	5	47	3.6	3.6
	6	12	0.9	0.9
	7	4	0.3	0.3
	8	2	0.2	0.2
	9	1	0.1	0.1
	10	1	0.1	0.1
	20	1	0.1	0.1
	99	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say	104	0	0.0	0.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D21_2] Number of people aged over 18 in the household

Question

Number of people aged over 18 in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	242	18.6	18.6
	1	394	30.4	30.4
	2	589	45.4	45.4
	3	58	4.5	4.5
	4	8	0.6	0.6
	5	2	0.2	0.2
	10	2	0.2	0.2
Can't say	99	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D22_1] Number of children aged 7-17 in the household

Question

Number of children aged 7-17 in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	1083	83.4	83.4
	1	110	8.5	8.5
	2	74	5.7	5.7
	3	19	1.5	1.5
	4	4	0.3	0.3
	5	2	0.2	0.2
	6	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	99	5	0.4	0.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D22_2] Number of children aged six or under in the household

Question

Number of children aged six or under in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	1138	87.7	87.7
	1	91	7.0	7.0
	2	52	4.0	4.0
	3	11	0.8	0.8
	5	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	99	5	0.4	0.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D24] Would you describe yourself as ...

Question

Would you describe yourself as ...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all religious	1	273	21.0	21.0
Not very religious	2	292	22.5	22.5
Somewhat religious	3	573	44.1	44.1
Very religious	4	160	12.3	12.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D25] Do you belong to any church or religious community?**Question***Do you belong to any church or religious community?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Evangelical Lutheran Church	1	982	75.7	75.7
Orthodox church	2	17	1.3	1.3
Catholic church	3	1	0.1	0.1
Other church or religious community	4	24	1.8	1.8
None	5	270	20.8	20.8
Can't say	99	4	0.3	0.3
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D25A] What other church or religious community? (Open-ended)**Question***What other church or religious community? (Open-ended)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Bahá'í Faith	1	1	0.1	4.2
Baptist parish	2	1	0.1	4.2
Finland Swedish Baptist Community	3	1	0.1	4.2
Finland Swedish Methodist Church	4	1	0.1	4.2
Evangelical Free Church of Finland	5	3	0.2	12.5
Finnish Pentecostal Church	6	8	0.6	33.3
Jehova's Witnesses	7	2	0.2	8.3
Jewish	8	1	0.1	4.2
Finnish Methodist Church	9	1	0.1	4.2
Open House Christian Parish	10	1	0.1	4.2
Saalem Church	11	1	0.1	4.2
Church of Scientology	12	2	0.2	8.3
A foreign theosophical church	13	1	0.1	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1274	98.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D26_1] Respondent's mother tongue**Question***Respondent's mother tongue***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1178	90.8	90.8
Swedish	2	114	8.8	8.8
Some other language	3	3	0.2	0.2
No answer	99	3	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D26_1A] Respondent's mother tongue: Other, what? (Open-ended)**Question***Respondent's mother tongue: Other, what? (Open-ended)***[D26_2] What language(s) do you use at home?****Question***What language(s) do you use at home?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only Finnish	1	1137	87.6	87.6
Only Swedish	2	65	5.0	5.0
Both Finnish and Swedish	3	58	4.5	4.5
Finnish and some other language	4	31	2.4	2.4
Swedish and some other language	5	5	0.4	0.4
Only languages that are neither Finnish nor Swedish	6	2	0.2	0.2
		1298	100.0	100.0

[T2] Do you consider yourself a member of an ethnic minority in Finland? (a group defined for example by racial ancestry that you identify with or belong to)

Question

Do you consider yourself a member of an ethnic minority in Finland? (a group defined for example by racial ancestry that you identify with or belong to)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	56	4.3	4.3
No	2	1242	95.7	95.7
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D30] Type of neighbourhood where R lives

Question

Type of neighbourhood where R lives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
City/town center	1	256	19.7	19.7
City/town suburb	2	627	48.3	48.3
Population centre in a rural area	3	286	22.0	22.0
Sparsely populated rural area	4	129	9.9	9.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D30B] Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2007 parliamentary elections?

Question

Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2007 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1053	81.1	81.1
No	2	245	18.9	18.9
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D31] Respondent's constituency

Question

Respondent's constituency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	182	14.0	14.0
Uusimaa	2	203	15.6	15.6
Varsinais-Suomi	3	101	7.8	7.8
Satakunta	4	52	4.0	4.0
Häme	6	98	7.6	7.6
Pirkanmaa	7	104	8.0	8.0
Kymi	8	49	3.8	3.8
Etelä-Savo	9	25	1.9	1.9
Pohjois-Savo	10	68	5.2	5.2
Pohjois-Karjala	11	35	2.7	2.7
Vaasa	12	114	8.8	8.8
Keski-Suomi	13	85	6.5	6.5
Oulu	14	133	10.2	10.2
Lappi	15	49	3.8	3.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[LAANI] Province of residence

Question

Province of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	532	41.0	41.0
Western Finland	2	456	35.1	35.1
Eastern Finland	3	128	9.9	9.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Oulu	4	133	10.2	10.2
Lapland	5	49	3.8	3.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D32] Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Question

Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No	1	1129	87.0	87.0
Yes	2	169	13.0	13.0
		1298	100.0	100.0

[D32A] For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Question

For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	166
minimum	0.50
maximum	12.00
mean	6.78
standard deviation	4.22

[D33A] Housing tenure

Question

Housing tenure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rented housing	1	406	31.3	31.3
Owner-occupied housing	2	860	66.3	66.3
Right-of-occupancy or part-ownership housing	3	27	2.1	2.1
Something else	4	5	0.4	0.4
		1298	100.0	100.0

[KK_KIELI] Language used in the face-to-face interview

Question

Language used in the face-to-face interview

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	0	1223	94.2	94.2
Swedish	1	75	5.8	5.8
		1298	100.0	100.0

[REKRY] Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Willing	1	1141	87.9	87.9
Not willing	2	157	12.1	12.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_1] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections (please answer even if you did not vote): Tax policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections (please answer even if you did not vote): Tax policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	317	24.4	40.1
Fairly important	2	387	29.8	48.9
Not very important	3	69	5.3	8.7
Not at all important	4	10	0.8	1.3
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	507	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_2] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Employment policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	374	28.8	47.5
Fairly important	2	332	25.6	42.1
Not very important	3	64	4.9	8.1
Not at all important	4	13	1.0	1.6
Can't say	5	5	0.4	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_3] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Income disparity

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Income disparity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	347	26.7	44.3
Fairly important	2	292	22.5	37.2
Not very important	3	113	8.7	14.4
Not at all important	4	24	1.8	3.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	514	39.6	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_4] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Environmental issues

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Environmental issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	162	12.5	20.6
Fairly important	2	374	28.8	47.5
Not very important	3	208	16.0	26.4
Not at all important	4	35	2.7	4.4
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_5] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Entrepreneurial and business activities

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Entrepreneurial and business activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	176	13.6	22.4
Fairly important	2	346	26.7	44.0
Not very important	3	210	16.2	26.7
Not at all important	4	40	3.1	5.1
Can't say	5	15	1.2	1.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_6] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Economy and competitiveness of Finland

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Economy and competitiveness of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	317	24.4	40.3
Fairly important	2	359	27.7	45.6
Not very important	3	92	7.1	11.7
Not at all important	4	9	0.7	1.1
Can't say	5	10	0.8	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_7] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Care for the elderly

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Care for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	436	33.6	54.9
Fairly important	2	264	20.3	33.2
Not very important	3	71	5.5	8.9
Not at all important	4	15	1.2	1.9
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_8] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Issues connected to children and families with children

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Issues connected to children and families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	359	27.7	45.4
Fairly important	2	300	23.1	37.9
Not very important	3	103	7.9	13.0
Not at all important	4	19	1.5	2.4
Can't say	5	10	0.8	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	507	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_9] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Social and welfare policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Social and welfare policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	280	21.6	35.5
Fairly important	2	385	29.7	48.9
Not very important	3	101	7.8	12.8
Not at all important	4	15	1.2	1.9
Can't say	5	7	0.5	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_10] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Health policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Health policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	393	30.3	49.8
Fairly important	2	313	24.1	39.7
Not very important	3	69	5.3	8.7
Not at all important	4	7	0.5	0.9
Can't say	5	7	0.5	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_11] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Fight against crime and possibility to live in safety

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Fight against crime and possibility to live in safety

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	352	27.1	44.4
Fairly important	2	303	23.3	38.2
Not very important	3	105	8.1	13.2
Not at all important	4	23	1.8	2.9
Can't say	5	10	0.8	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_12] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Immigration policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Immigration policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	187	14.4	23.8
Fairly important	2	329	25.3	41.9
Not very important	3	218	16.8	27.7
Not at all important	4	42	3.2	5.3
Can't say	5	10	0.8	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_13] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Language policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Language policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	76	5.9	9.7
Fairly important	2	191	14.7	24.4
Not very important	3	346	26.7	44.2
Not at all important	4	155	11.9	19.8
Can't say	5	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	516	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_14] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Energy policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Energy policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	196	15.1	24.9
Fairly important	2	392	30.2	49.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very important	3	169	13.0	21.4
Not at all important	4	23	1.8	2.9
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_15] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Nuclear power

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Nuclear power

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	176	13.6	22.4
Fairly important	2	302	23.3	38.5
Not very important	3	227	17.5	28.9
Not at all important	4	63	4.9	8.0
Can't say	5	17	1.3	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_16] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Education policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	167	12.9	21.2
Fairly important	2	401	30.9	50.9
Not very important	3	180	13.9	22.8
Not at all important	4	26	2.0	3.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_17] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Housing policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	160	12.3	20.3
Fairly important	2	356	27.4	45.2
Not very important	3	220	16.9	28.0
Not at all important	4	38	2.9	4.8
Can't say	5	13	1.0	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_18] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Youth issues

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Youth issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	222	17.1	28.3
Fairly important	2	346	26.7	44.1
Not very important	3	166	12.8	21.1
Not at all important	4	36	2.8	4.6
Can't say	5	15	1.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_19] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Foreign policy and security policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Foreign policy and security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	203	15.6	25.7
Fairly important	2	356	27.4	45.1
Not very important	3	185	14.3	23.4
Not at all important	4	35	2.7	4.4
Can't say	5	11	0.8	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_20] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: EU policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: EU policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	190	14.6	24.2
Fairly important	2	328	25.3	41.8
Not very important	3	193	14.9	24.6
Not at all important	4	60	4.6	7.6
Can't say	5	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_21] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Regional policy

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Regional policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	140	10.8	17.9
Fairly important	2	360	27.7	46.1
Not very important	3	204	15.7	26.1
Not at all important	4	54	4.2	6.9
Can't say	5	23	1.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_22] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Circumstances of sexual minorities

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Circumstances of sexual minorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	65	5.0	8.3
Fairly important	2	145	11.2	18.6
Not very important	3	282	21.7	36.2
Not at all important	4	243	18.7	31.2
Can't say	5	45	3.5	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P1_23] How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Traditional values and morals

Question

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Traditional values and morals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	177	13.6	22.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly important	2	266	20.5	33.8
Not very important	3	225	17.3	28.6
Not at all important	4	94	7.2	12.0
Can't say	5	24	1.8	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_1] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = very bad, 10 = very good, 5 = neither good nor bad), how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increasing entrepreneurship and market economy

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = very bad, 10 = very good, 5 = neither good nor bad), how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increasing entrepreneurship and market economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	7	0.5	0.9
1	1	11	0.8	1.4
2	2	24	1.8	3.1
3	3	38	2.9	4.8
4	4	53	4.1	6.7
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	144	11.1	18.3
6	6	82	6.3	10.4
7	7	111	8.6	14.1
8	8	157	12.1	20.0
9	9	86	6.6	10.9
A very good proposition	10	73	5.6	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_2] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Diminishing income disparities

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Diminishing income disparities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	3	0.2	0.4
1	1	5	0.4	0.6
2	2	12	0.9	1.5
3	3	13	1.0	1.6
4	4	15	1.2	1.9
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	42	3.2	5.3
6	6	54	4.2	6.8
7	7	87	6.7	11.0
8	8	188	14.5	23.7
9	9	158	12.2	19.9
A very good proposition	10	217	16.7	27.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_3] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Christian values having a greater role

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Christian values having a greater role

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	79	6.1	10.0
1	1	50	3.9	6.3
2	2	76	5.9	9.6
3	3	60	4.6	7.6
4	4	70	5.4	8.8
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	153	11.8	19.3
6	6	71	5.5	9.0
7	7	87	6.7	11.0
8	8	63	4.9	7.9
9	9	28	2.2	3.5
A very good proposition	10	56	4.3	7.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_4] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More law and order

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More law and order

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	10	0.8	1.3
1	1	14	1.1	1.8
2	2	40	3.1	5.0
3	3	57	4.4	7.2
4	4	50	3.9	6.3
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	161	12.4	20.3
6	6	110	8.5	13.9
7	7	103	7.9	13.0
8	8	124	9.6	15.6
9	9	68	5.2	8.6
A very good proposition	10	57	4.4	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_5] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More eco-friendly Finland, even if it meant low economic growth or no growth at all

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More eco-friendly Finland, even if it meant low economic growth or no growth at all

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	15	1.2	1.9
1	1	31	2.4	3.9
2	2	40	3.1	5.0
3	3	58	4.5	7.3
4	4	67	5.2	8.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	159	12.2	20.0
6	6	103	7.9	13.0
7	7	137	10.6	17.3
8	8	95	7.3	12.0
9	9	52	4.0	6.5
A very good proposition	10	37	2.9	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_6] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Better equality between men and women

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Better equality between men and women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	6	0.5	0.8
1	1	3	0.2	0.4
2	2	8	0.6	1.0
3	3	11	0.8	1.4
4	4	27	2.1	3.4
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	115	8.9	14.5
6	6	53	4.1	6.7
7	7	107	8.2	13.5
8	8	163	12.6	20.5
9	9	126	9.7	15.9
A very good proposition	10	175	13.5	22.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_7] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Traditional Finnish values being cherished

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Traditional Finnish values being cherished

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	12	0.9	1.5
1	1	14	1.1	1.8
2	2	35	2.7	4.4
3	3	34	2.6	4.3
4	4	34	2.6	4.3
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	109	8.4	13.7
6	6	82	6.3	10.3
7	7	125	9.6	15.8
8	8	153	11.8	19.3
9	9	122	9.4	15.4
A very good proposition	10	73	5.6	9.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_8] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of families is reinforced

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of families is reinforced

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	5	0.4	0.6
1	1	3	0.2	0.4
2	2	12	0.9	1.5
3	3	24	1.8	3.0
4	4	22	1.7	2.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	90	6.9	11.3
6	6	75	5.8	9.4
7	7	141	10.9	17.8
8	8	195	15.0	24.6
9	9	128	9.9	16.1
A very good proposition	10	99	7.6	12.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_9] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: People stay in the work force longer

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: People stay in the work force longer

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	52	4.0	6.6
1	1	38	2.9	4.8
2	2	62	4.8	7.8
3	3	69	5.3	8.7
4	4	86	6.6	10.8
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	149	11.5	18.8
6	6	80	6.2	10.1
7	7	96	7.4	12.1
8	8	103	7.9	13.0
9	9	34	2.6	4.3
A very good proposition	10	24	1.8	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_10] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of sexual minorities is reinforced

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of sexual minorities is reinforced

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	72	5.5	9.1
1	1	65	5.0	8.2
2	2	69	5.3	8.7
3	3	58	4.5	7.4
4	4	59	4.5	7.5
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	156	12.0	19.8
6	6	92	7.1	11.7
7	7	77	5.9	9.8
8	8	65	5.0	8.2
9	9	29	2.2	3.7
A very good proposition	10	47	3.6	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_11] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Lower taxation level

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Lower taxation level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	14	1.1	1.8
1	1	16	1.2	2.0
2	2	44	3.4	5.6
3	3	59	4.5	7.5
4	4	47	3.6	5.9
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	131	10.1	16.6
6	6	91	7.0	11.5
7	7	97	7.5	12.3
8	8	127	9.8	16.1
9	9	91	7.0	11.5
A very good proposition	10	73	5.6	9.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_12] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Having two strong national languages, Finnish and Swedish

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Having two strong national languages, Finnish and Swedish

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	42	3.2	5.3
1	1	60	4.6	7.6
2	2	60	4.6	7.6
3	3	66	5.1	8.3
4	4	58	4.5	7.3
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	190	14.6	23.9
6	6	64	4.9	8.1
7	7	68	5.2	8.6
8	8	66	5.1	8.3
9	9	51	3.9	6.4
A very good proposition	10	69	5.3	8.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_13] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More internationally-oriented, less emphasis on boundaries between countries and peoples

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More internationally-oriented, less emphasis on boundaries between countries and peoples

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	13	1.0	1.6
1	1	19	1.5	2.4
2	2	42	3.2	5.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
3	3	63	4.9	8.0
4	4	59	4.5	7.5
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	183	14.1	23.1
6	6	96	7.4	12.1
7	7	137	10.6	17.3
8	8	106	8.2	13.4
9	9	46	3.5	5.8
A very good proposition	10	27	2.1	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	507	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_14] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Smaller differences in regional development

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Smaller differences in regional development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	2	0.2	0.3
1	1	6	0.5	0.8
2	2	8	0.6	1.0
3	3	14	1.1	1.8
4	4	35	2.7	4.4
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	130	10.0	16.5
6	6	102	7.9	12.9
7	7	134	10.3	17.0
8	8	207	15.9	26.2
9	9	97	7.5	12.3
A very good proposition	10	55	4.2	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_15] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: A larger public sector

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: A larger public sector

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	35	2.7	4.5
1	1	38	2.9	4.9
2	2	53	4.1	6.8
3	3	52	4.0	6.7
4	4	85	6.5	10.9
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	209	16.1	26.8
6	6	122	9.4	15.6
7	7	80	6.2	10.3
8	8	78	6.0	10.0
9	9	17	1.3	2.2
A very good proposition	10	11	0.8	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_16] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increased immigration

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increased immigration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	96	7.4	12.3
1	1	66	5.1	8.5
2	2	108	8.3	13.8
3	3	87	6.7	11.1
4	4	105	8.1	13.4
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	150	11.6	19.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
6	6	60	4.6	7.7
7	7	62	4.8	7.9
8	8	31	2.4	4.0
9	9	13	1.0	1.7
A very good proposition	10	3	0.2	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P2_17] On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Multicultural Finland where foreigners with different religions and lifestyles are tolerated

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Multicultural Finland where foreigners with different religions and lifestyles are tolerated

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very bad proposition	0	49	3.8	6.2
1	1	40	3.1	5.1
2	2	61	4.7	7.8
3	3	49	3.8	6.2
4	4	59	4.5	7.5
Neither good nor bad a proposition	5	122	9.4	15.5
6	6	92	7.1	11.7
7	7	98	7.6	12.5
8	8	107	8.2	13.6
9	9	58	4.5	7.4
A very good proposition	10	51	3.9	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P3_1] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Regular investigation of public opinion

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Regular investigation of public opinion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The worst way of making political decisions	0	7	0.5	0.9
1	1	7	0.5	0.9
2	2	28	2.2	3.5
3	3	28	2.2	3.5
4	4	36	2.8	4.5
5	5	69	5.3	8.7
6	6	90	6.9	11.3
7	7	137	10.6	17.3
8	8	192	14.8	24.2
9	9	92	7.1	11.6
The best way of making political decisions	10	107	8.2	13.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P3_2] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting experts of different fields make the decisions

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting experts of different fields make the decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The worst way of making political decisions	0	22	1.7	2.8
1	1	25	1.9	3.2
2	2	51	3.9	6.5
3	3	52	4.0	6.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
4	4	66	5.1	8.4
5	5	127	9.8	16.1
6	6	108	8.3	13.7
7	7	130	10.0	16.5
8	8	139	10.7	17.6
9	9	49	3.8	6.2
The best way of making political decisions	10	20	1.5	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P3_3] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Promoting citizen participation in and discussion on important political decisions

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Promoting citizen participation in and discussion on important political decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The worst way of making political decisions	0	3	0.2	0.4
1	1	5	0.4	0.6
2	2	5	0.4	0.6
3	3	16	1.2	2.0
4	4	16	1.2	2.0
5	5	84	6.5	10.6
6	6	111	8.6	14.0
7	7	172	13.3	21.7
8	8	211	16.3	26.6
9	9	87	6.7	11.0
The best way of making political decisions	10	82	6.3	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	506	39.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P3_4] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting elected politicians make the decisions

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting elected politicians make the decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The worst way of making political decisions	0	12	0.9	1.5
1	1	18	1.4	2.3
2	2	39	3.0	4.9
3	3	39	3.0	4.9
4	4	37	2.9	4.7
5	5	119	9.2	15.0
6	6	102	7.9	12.8
7	7	138	10.6	17.4
8	8	192	14.8	24.2
9	9	65	5.0	8.2
The best way of making political decisions	10	33	2.5	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4A] On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = should be strongly decreased, 10 = should be strongly increased), what do you think about increasing or decreasing work-related immigration, that is, migration to Finland for work?

Question

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = should be strongly decreased, 10 = should be strongly increased), what do you think about increasing or decreasing work-related immigration, that is, migration to Finland for work?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	30	2.3	3.9
1	1	28	2.2	3.7
2	2	57	4.4	7.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
3	3	52	4.0	6.8
4	4	62	4.8	8.1
5	5	160	12.3	20.9
6	6	104	8.0	13.6
7	7	123	9.5	16.1
8	8	104	8.0	13.6
9	9	27	2.1	3.5
Should be increased a lot	10	18	1.4	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	533	41.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_1] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	5	0.4	0.7
1	1	6	0.5	0.8
2	2	17	1.3	2.2
3	3	29	2.2	3.8
4	4	55	4.2	7.2
5	5	156	12.0	20.5
6	6	129	9.9	17.0
7	7	190	14.6	25.0
8	8	122	9.4	16.1
9	9	37	2.9	4.9
Should be increased a lot	10	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	538	41.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_2] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	6	0.5	0.8
1	1	1	0.1	0.1
2	2	14	1.1	1.8
3	3	14	1.1	1.8
4	4	25	1.9	3.3
5	5	77	5.9	10.1
6	6	104	8.0	13.6
7	7	132	10.2	17.3
8	8	179	13.8	23.5
9	9	133	10.2	17.4
Should be increased a lot	10	78	6.0	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	535	41.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_3] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	2	0.2	0.3
1	1	5	0.4	0.7
2	2	21	1.6	2.8
3	3	32	2.5	4.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
4	4	73	5.6	9.6
5	5	156	12.0	20.5
6	6	151	11.6	19.8
7	7	183	14.1	24.0
8	8	111	8.6	14.6
9	9	23	1.8	3.0
Should be increased a lot	10	5	0.4	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	536	41.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_4] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Left Alliance (VAS)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Left Alliance (VAS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	13	1.0	1.7
1	1	17	1.3	2.2
2	2	41	3.2	5.4
3	3	83	6.4	10.9
4	4	99	7.6	13.1
5	5	185	14.3	24.4
6	6	120	9.2	15.8
7	7	95	7.3	12.5
8	8	70	5.4	9.2
9	9	28	2.2	3.7
Should be increased a lot	10	7	0.5	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_5] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Green League (VIHR)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	7	0.5	0.9
1	1	11	0.8	1.5
2	2	18	1.4	2.4
3	3	19	1.5	2.5
4	4	47	3.6	6.2
5	5	121	9.3	16.0
6	6	88	6.8	11.6
7	7	119	9.2	15.7
8	8	163	12.6	21.5
9	9	106	8.2	14.0
Should be increased a lot	10	59	4.5	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_6] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	8	0.6	1.1
1	1	12	0.9	1.6
2	2	21	1.6	2.8
3	3	17	1.3	2.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
4	4	41	3.2	5.4
5	5	116	8.9	15.3
6	6	74	5.7	9.8
7	7	133	10.2	17.5
8	8	179	13.8	23.6
9	9	102	7.9	13.5
Should be increased a lot	10	55	4.2	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_7] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	7	0.5	0.9
1	1	23	1.8	3.0
2	2	33	2.5	4.4
3	3	56	4.3	7.4
4	4	73	5.6	9.7
5	5	175	13.5	23.2
6	6	122	9.4	16.2
7	7	137	10.6	18.1
8	8	89	6.9	11.8
9	9	29	2.2	3.8
Should be increased a lot	10	11	0.8	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	543	41.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P4B_8] Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: True Finns (PS)

Question

Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be decreased a lot	0	128	9.9	16.7
1	1	128	9.9	16.7
2	2	128	9.9	16.7
3	3	84	6.5	11.0
4	4	79	6.1	10.3
5	5	85	6.5	11.1
6	6	45	3.5	5.9
7	7	46	3.5	6.0
8	8	31	2.4	4.0
9	9	8	0.6	1.0
Should be increased a lot	10	5	0.4	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	531	40.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_1] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members, parents or relatives

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members, parents or relatives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	45	3.5	5.7
A fair amount	2	119	9.2	15.1
Not much	3	236	18.2	30.0
None at all	4	379	29.2	48.2
Can't say	5	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_2] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	19	1.5	2.4
A fair amount	2	80	6.2	10.3
Not much	3	231	17.8	29.6
None at all	4	432	33.3	55.4
Can't say	5	18	1.4	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_3] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	27	2.1	3.4
A fair amount	2	138	10.6	17.6
Not much	3	324	25.0	41.3
None at all	4	282	21.7	35.9
Can't say	5	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_4] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Newspapers

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	160	12.3	20.3
A fair amount	2	404	31.1	51.1
Not much	3	153	11.8	19.4
None at all	4	64	4.9	8.1
Can't say	5	9	0.7	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_5] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Television**Question**

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	178	13.7	22.4
A fair amount	2	346	26.7	43.5
Not much	3	175	13.5	22.0
None at all	4	87	6.7	10.9
Can't say	5	9	0.7	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	503	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_6] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Radio**Question**

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	57	4.4	7.3
A fair amount	2	179	13.8	22.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not much	3	277	21.3	35.5
None at all	4	249	19.2	31.9
Can't say	5	18	1.4	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_7] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Internet

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	125	9.6	16.1
A fair amount	2	194	14.9	25.1
Not much	3	134	10.3	17.3
None at all	4	291	22.4	37.6
Can't say	5	30	2.3	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	524	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P5_8] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Mobile media

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Mobile media

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	8	0.6	1.0
A fair amount	2	23	1.8	3.0
Not much	3	128	9.9	16.5
None at all	4	561	43.2	72.5
Can't say	5	54	4.2	7.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	524	40.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_1] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	244	18.8	31.3
Have not done but might do	2	259	20.0	33.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	218	16.8	27.9
Can't say	4	59	4.5	7.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_2] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	85	6.5	11.0
Have not done but might do	2	445	34.3	57.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	187	14.4	24.2
Can't say	4	56	4.3	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	525	40.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_3] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Participate in discussions, e.g. commenting news media pages or political blogs

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Participate in discussions, e.g. commenting news media pages or political blogs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	85	6.5	11.0
Have not done but might do	2	345	26.6	44.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	277	21.3	35.8
Can't say	4	66	5.1	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	525	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_4] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Donate money to a political party or candidate

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Donate money to a political party or candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	23	1.8	3.0
Have not done but might do	2	111	8.6	14.4
Would not do under any circumstances	3	578	44.5	74.9
Can't say	4	60	4.6	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	526	40.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_5] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Send and receive election-related emails or messages between family and friends

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Send and receive election-related emails or messages between family and friends

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	77	5.9	10.0
Have not done but might do	2	276	21.3	35.9
Would not do under any circumstances	3	341	26.3	44.3
Can't say	4	75	5.8	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	529	40.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P6_6] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Write about elections in your own blog

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Write about elections in your own blog

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	14	1.1	1.8
Have not done but might do	2	180	13.9	23.3
Would not do under any circumstances	3	501	38.6	64.8
Can't say	4	78	6.0	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	525	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_1] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Visited social media websites (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Visited social media websites (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	336	25.9	42.8
No	2	449	34.6	57.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_2] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Maintained your profile on a social networking page?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Maintained your profile on a social networking page?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	238	18.3	30.5
No	2	542	41.8	69.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_3] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read blogs?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read blogs?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	309	23.8	39.7
No	2	469	36.1	60.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_4] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Commented a blog/blogs?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Commented a blog/blogs?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	109	8.4	14.0
No	2	668	51.5	86.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_5] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written your own blog?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written your own blog?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	28	2.2	3.6
No	2	743	57.2	96.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	527	40.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_6] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Watched videos or listened to music made by others?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Watched videos or listened to music made by others?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	430	33.1	55.1
No	2	350	27.0	44.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_7] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Uploaded or published photos, videos, music, stories, articles etc. made by yourself?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Uploaded or published photos, videos, music, stories, articles etc. made by yourself?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	189	14.6	24.3
No	2	589	45.4	75.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_8] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written or edited articles e.g. on Wikipedia?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written or edited articles e.g. on Wikipedia?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	29	2.2	3.7
No	2	749	57.7	96.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_9] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Tagged websites, photos etc.?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Tagged websites, photos etc.?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	77	5.9	9.9
No	2	700	53.9	90.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_10] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read web forum discussions?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read web forum discussions?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	447	34.4	57.6
No	2	329	25.3	42.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	522	40.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_11] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in web forum discussions?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in web forum discussions?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	149	11.5	19.2
No	2	628	48.4	80.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_12] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started web forum discussions?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started web forum discussions?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	72	5.5	9.3
No	2	702	54.1	90.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	524	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_13] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Followed a campaign or an activity group?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Followed a campaign or an activity group?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	185	14.3	23.9
No	2	590	45.5	76.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	523	40.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_14] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in a campaign or an activity group?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in a campaign or an activity group?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	94	7.2	12.1
No	2	680	52.4	87.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	524	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_15] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started a campaign or an activity group?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started a campaign or an activity group?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	7	0.5	0.9
No	2	766	59.0	99.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	525	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_16] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Spread information about different kinds of events in the web communities you belong to?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Spread information about different kinds of events in the web communities you belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	114	8.8	14.7
No	2	663	51.1	85.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_17] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Subscribed to RSS feeds?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Subscribed to RSS feeds?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	41	3.2	5.3
No	2	734	56.5	94.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	523	40.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_18] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Listened to podcasts?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Listened to podcasts?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	66	5.1	8.5
No	2	712	54.9	91.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P7_19] During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Produced podcasts?

Question

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Produced podcasts?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	4	0.3	0.5
No	2	769	59.2	99.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	525	40.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P8] If it were possible to have a say through the Internet on issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?

Question

If it were possible to have a say through the Internet on issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Every week or nearly every week	1	136	10.5	17.3
Only when the issue would interest me	2	289	22.3	36.7
I could occasionally but is not important to me	3	130	10.0	16.5
No, it is for MPs to make the decisions	4	139	10.7	17.6
Can't say	5	94	7.2	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P9_1] Usually in an election I vote for a candidate of my own gender**Question**

Usually in an election I vote for a candidate of my own gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	105	8.1	14.2
Agree	2	126	9.7	17.1
Neither agree nor disagree	3	150	11.6	20.3
Disagree	4	106	8.2	14.4
Strongly disagree	5	228	17.6	30.9
Can't say	6	23	1.8	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	560	43.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P9_2] It was important to me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers**Question**

It was important to me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	89	6.9	12.1
Agree	2	119	9.2	16.1
Neither agree nor disagree	3	178	13.7	24.2
Disagree	4	119	9.2	16.1
Strongly disagree	5	216	16.6	29.3
Can't say	6	16	1.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	561	43.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P9_3] It was important to me that the candidate could promote interests important for my gender**Question**

It was important to me that the candidate could promote interests important for my gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	89	6.9	12.0
Agree	2	156	12.0	21.1
Neither agree nor disagree	3	189	14.6	25.5
Disagree	4	96	7.4	13.0
Strongly disagree	5	191	14.7	25.8
Can't say	6	20	1.5	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	557	42.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P9_4] Men are better decision-makers than women**Question**

Men are better decision-makers than women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	24	1.8	3.3
Agree	2	56	4.3	7.6
Neither agree nor disagree	3	148	11.4	20.2
Disagree	4	112	8.6	15.3
Strongly disagree	5	371	28.6	50.6
Can't say	6	22	1.7	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	565	43.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P9_5] Women as decision-makers are better informed than men are on issues important to ordinary people**Question**

Women as decision-makers are better informed than men are on issues important to ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	40	3.1	5.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	148	11.4	20.0
Neither agree nor disagree	3	195	15.0	26.4
Disagree	4	129	9.9	17.5
Strongly disagree	5	201	15.5	27.2
Can't say	6	26	2.0	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	559	43.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P10] (IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party whose candidate you voted for?

Question

(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party whose candidate you voted for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very easy	1	368	28.4	49.4
Fairly easy	2	272	21.0	36.5
Fairly difficult	3	67	5.2	9.0
Very difficult	4	20	1.5	2.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	18	1.4	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	553	42.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P11] (IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?

Question

(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very easy	1	239	18.4	32.2
Fairly easy	2	359	27.7	48.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly difficult	3	117	9.0	15.8
Very difficult	4	19	1.5	2.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	8	0.6	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	556	42.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P12_1] In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Security policy?

Question

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Security policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	334	25.7	42.1
Female	2	9	0.7	1.1
Both genders equally good	3	450	34.7	56.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P12_2] In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Economic policy?

Question

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Economic policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	161	12.4	20.3
Female	2	41	3.2	5.2
Both genders equally good	3	590	45.5	74.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	506	39.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P12_3] In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Social policy?

Question

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Social policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	12	0.9	1.5
Female	2	286	22.0	36.0
Both genders equally good	3	497	38.3	62.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	503	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P12_4] In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Immigration policy?

Question

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Immigration policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	131	10.1	16.6
Female	2	66	5.1	8.4
Both genders equally good	3	593	45.7	75.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P12_5] In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Equality policy?

Question

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Equality policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	20	1.5	2.5
Female	2	208	16.0	26.3
Both genders equally good	3	562	43.3	71.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_1] Members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and concentrated on solving real problems

Question

Members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and concentrated on solving real problems

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	236	18.2	29.6
Agree	2	342	26.3	42.9
Disagree	3	142	10.9	17.8
Strongly disagree	4	41	3.2	5.1
Can't say	5	36	2.8	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	38.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_2] What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles

Question

What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	60	4.6	7.5
Agree	2	244	18.8	30.6
Disagree	3	327	25.2	41.0
Strongly disagree	4	116	8.9	14.6
Can't say	5	50	3.9	6.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	38.6	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_3] Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions

Question

Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	20	1.5	2.5
Agree	2	80	6.2	10.1
Disagree	3	233	18.0	29.4
Strongly disagree	4	400	30.8	50.4
Can't say	5	60	4.6	7.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_4] Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens

Question

Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	30	2.3	3.8
Agree	2	175	13.5	22.0
Disagree	3	267	20.6	33.5
Strongly disagree	4	247	19.0	31.0
Can't say	5	77	5.9	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	502	38.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_5] The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise

Question

The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	39	3.0	4.9
Agree	2	161	12.4	20.4
Disagree	3	254	19.6	32.2
Strongly disagree	4	222	17.1	28.1
Can't say	5	113	8.7	14.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_6] Political parties ought be banned in politics

Question

Political parties ought be banned in politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	31	2.4	3.9
Agree	2	62	4.8	7.8
Disagree	3	170	13.1	21.4
Strongly disagree	4	437	33.7	55.0
Can't say	5	94	7.2	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_7] There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure

Question

There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	439	33.8	55.1
Agree	2	240	18.5	30.1
Disagree	3	57	4.4	7.2
Strongly disagree	4	26	2.0	3.3
Can't say	5	35	2.7	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	38.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_8] Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned

Question

Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	148	11.4	18.8
Agree	2	246	19.0	31.2
Disagree	3	206	15.9	26.1
Strongly disagree	4	107	8.2	13.6
Can't say	5	82	6.3	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_9] What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order

Question

What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	180	13.9	22.6
Agree	2	298	23.0	37.4
Disagree	3	194	14.9	24.3
Strongly disagree	4	78	6.0	9.8
Can't say	5	47	3.6	5.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	38.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_10] It is important that members of parliament thoroughly discuss and debate issues before decision-making

Question

It is important that members of parliament thoroughly discuss and debate issues before decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	306	23.6	38.4
Agree	2	405	31.2	50.9
Disagree	3	47	3.6	5.9
Strongly disagree	4	11	0.8	1.4
Can't say	5	27	2.1	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	502	38.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_11] Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship

Question

Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	43	3.3	5.4
Agree	2	166	12.8	21.0
Disagree	3	294	22.7	37.1
Strongly disagree	4	238	18.3	30.1
Can't say	5	51	3.9	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	506	39.0	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_12] Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture

Question

Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	85	6.5	10.8
Agree	2	171	13.2	21.6
Disagree	3	290	22.3	36.7
Strongly disagree	4	178	13.7	22.5
Can't say	5	66	5.1	8.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_13] The problem with democracy is that most people do not really know what is best for them

Question

The problem with democracy is that most people do not really know what is best for them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	112	8.6	14.2
Agree	2	350	27.0	44.2
Disagree	3	193	14.9	24.4
Strongly disagree	4	60	4.6	7.6
Can't say	5	76	5.9	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	507	39.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P13_14] Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job

Question

Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	67	5.2	8.4
Agree	2	409	31.5	51.4
Disagree	3	200	15.4	25.1
Strongly disagree	4	45	3.5	5.7
Can't say	5	75	5.8	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	502	38.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_1] All in all, EU membership has been a good thing for Finland

Question

All in all, EU membership has been a good thing for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	135	10.4	17.0
Agree	2	325	25.0	40.8
Disagree	3	208	16.0	26.1
Strongly disagree	4	64	4.9	8.0
Can't say	5	64	4.9	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	502	38.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_2] Finland should reinforce Nordic co-operation

Question

Finland should reinforce Nordic co-operation

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	297	22.9	37.5
Agree	2	427	32.9	53.8
Disagree	3	30	2.3	3.8
Strongly disagree	4	5	0.4	0.6
Can't say	5	34	2.6	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_3] Finland should leave the EU

Question

Finland should leave the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	59	4.5	7.4
Agree	2	116	8.9	14.6
Disagree	3	198	15.3	24.9
Strongly disagree	4	308	23.7	38.8
Can't say	5	113	8.7	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_4] Finland should be actively involved in developing defence co-operation in the EU

Question

Finland should be actively involved in developing defence co-operation in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	88	6.8	11.2
Agree	2	303	23.3	38.4
Disagree	3	218	16.8	27.6
Strongly disagree	4	89	6.9	11.3
Can't say	5	91	7.0	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_5] Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing

Question

Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	102	7.9	12.9
Agree	2	360	27.7	45.4
Disagree	3	207	15.9	26.1
Strongly disagree	4	67	5.2	8.4
Can't say	5	57	4.4	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	38.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P14_6] Finland should join NATO

Question

Finland should join NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	54	4.2	6.8
Agree	2	108	8.3	13.6
Disagree	3	154	11.9	19.4
Strongly disagree	4	330	25.4	41.6
Can't say	5	148	11.4	18.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P15] Which is more important in a democratic society: letting the majority decide or protecting the needs and rights of minorities?

Question

Which is more important in a democratic society: letting the majority decide or protecting the needs and rights of minorities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Letting the majority decide	1	205	15.8	26.3
Hard to say	2	292	22.5	37.5
Protecting the needs and rights of minorities	3	281	21.6	36.1
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P16] A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?

Question

A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I agree more with A	1	435	33.5	55.3
I agree more with B	2	352	27.1	44.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P17] A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at least at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?

Question

A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at least at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I agree more with A	1	129	9.9	16.4
I agree more with B	2	657	50.6	83.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P18_1] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy

Question

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	172	13.3	21.6
Worse	2	394	30.4	49.4
The same	3	189	14.6	23.7
Can't say	4	43	3.3	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	500	38.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P18_2] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation

Question

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	193	14.9	24.3
Worse	2	225	17.3	28.3
The same	3	369	28.4	46.4
Can't say	4	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	503	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P18_3] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland**Question**

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	151	11.6	18.9
Worse	2	364	28.0	45.7
The same	3	228	17.6	28.6
Can't say	4	54	4.2	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	38.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P18_4] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation**Question**

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	121	9.3	15.2
Worse	2	128	9.9	16.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The same	3	510	39.3	64.2
Can't say	4	35	2.7	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P19_1] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	288	22.2	36.2
Worse	2	195	15.0	24.5
The same	3	217	16.7	27.3
Can't say	4	95	7.3	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	503	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P19_2] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	205	15.8	25.9
Worse	2	131	10.1	16.6
The same	3	401	30.9	50.8
Can't say	4	53	4.1	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	508	39.1	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P19_3] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	232	17.9	29.5
Worse	2	180	13.9	22.9
The same	3	270	20.8	34.4
Can't say	4	104	8.0	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P19_4] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	148	11.4	18.9
Worse	2	52	4.0	6.6
The same	3	494	38.1	62.9
Can't say	4	91	7.0	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P20] On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation?

Question

On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	60	4.6	7.6
Fairly satisfied	2	484	37.3	61.1
Fairly unsatisfied	3	166	12.8	21.0
Very unsatisfied	4	71	5.5	9.0
Can't say	5	11	0.8	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	506	39.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P21] On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?

Question

On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	183	14.1	23.2
Fairly satisfied	2	526	40.5	66.8
Fairly unsatisfied	3	55	4.2	7.0
Very unsatisfied	4	18	1.4	2.3
Can't say	5	6	0.5	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_1] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts (you can also connect them with both concepts or neither): Bourgeoisie?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts (you can also connect them with both concepts or neither): Bourgeoisie?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	684	52.7	88.7
Left	2	30	2.3	3.9
Not connected to either	3	57	4.4	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	527	40.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_2] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong public sector?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong public sector?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	152	11.7	20.1
Left	2	427	32.9	56.3
Not connected to either	3	179	13.8	23.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_3] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Working class?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Working class?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	32	2.5	4.1
Left	2	674	51.9	87.3
Not connected to either	3	66	5.1	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	526	40.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_4] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Conservatism?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Conservatism?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	505	38.9	66.5
Left	2	81	6.2	10.7
Not connected to either	3	173	13.3	22.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	539	41.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_5] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Narrowing income disparities?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Narrowing income disparities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	60	4.6	7.8
Left	2	598	46.1	77.6
Not connected to either	3	113	8.7	14.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	527	40.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_6] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Progress and social reform?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Progress and social reform?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	299	23.0	39.5
Left	2	237	18.3	31.3
Not connected to either	3	221	17.0	29.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	541	41.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_7] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong role of the government in economic policy?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong role of the government in economic policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	251	19.3	33.1
Left	2	349	26.9	46.0
Not connected to either	3	158	12.2	20.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_8] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Eco-friendliness?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Eco-friendliness?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	157	12.1	20.7
Left	2	256	19.7	33.8
Not connected to either	3	345	26.6	45.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_9] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-immigration?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-immigration?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	268	20.6	35.1
Left	2	217	16.7	28.4
Not connected to either	3	278	21.4	36.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	535	41.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_10] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Support for entrepreneurship?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Support for entrepreneurship?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	614	47.3	80.1
Left	2	55	4.2	7.2
Not connected to either	3	98	7.6	12.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	531	40.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_11] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-globalisation?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-globalisation?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	478	36.8	63.1
Left	2	96	7.4	12.7
Not connected to either	3	184	14.2	24.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	540	41.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P22_12] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Traditional values?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Traditional values?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Right	1	390	30.0	50.5
Left	2	172	13.3	22.3
Not connected to either	3	210	16.2	27.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	526	40.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P23A] People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? In politics it is important to...

Question

People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? In politics it is important to...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Discuss and debate thoroughly before making decisions	0	36	2.8	4.6
1	1	56	4.3	7.2
2	2	93	7.2	12.0
3	3	101	7.8	13.0
4	4	68	5.2	8.8
5	5	128	9.9	16.5
6	6	53	4.1	6.8
7	7	79	6.1	10.2
8	8	91	7.0	11.7
9	9	35	2.7	4.5
Focus on making decisions instead discussing and debating	10	35	2.7	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	523	40.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P23B] People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by...

Question

People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Ordinary citizens	0	20	1.5	2.6
1	1	9	0.7	1.2
2	2	14	1.1	1.8
3	3	22	1.7	2.8
4	4	17	1.3	2.2
5	5	88	6.8	11.3
6	6	61	4.7	7.9
7	7	129	9.9	16.6
8	8	207	15.9	26.6
9	9	131	10.1	16.9
Representatives elected by the people	10	79	6.1	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P23C] People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by...

Question

People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Politically independent experts	0	13	1.0	1.7
1	1	17	1.3	2.2
2	2	23	1.8	3.0
3	3	39	3.0	5.0
4	4	24	1.8	3.1
5	5	112	8.6	14.5
6	6	61	4.7	7.9
7	7	123	9.5	15.9
8	8	167	12.9	21.5
9	9	114	8.8	14.7
Representatives elected by the people	10	82	6.3	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	523	40.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_1] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Parliament

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	243	18.7	30.8
Quite a lot	2	456	35.1	57.8
Not much	3	89	6.9	11.3
None at all	4	1	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_2] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The Government

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	386	29.7	48.6
Quite a lot	2	372	28.7	46.9
Not much	3	34	2.6	4.3
None at all	4	2	0.2	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	38.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_3] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The President

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The President

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	51	3.9	6.5
Quite a lot	2	188	14.5	23.8
Not much	3	489	37.7	62.0
None at all	4	61	4.7	7.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_4] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Municipal decision-makers

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Municipal decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	95	7.3	12.1
Quite a lot	2	399	30.7	50.6
Not much	3	285	22.0	36.2
None at all	4	9	0.7	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_5] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	14	1.1	1.8
Quite a lot	2	101	7.8	12.8
Not much	3	516	39.8	65.6
None at all	4	155	11.9	19.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_6] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The media

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The media

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	139	10.7	17.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Quite a lot	2	415	32.0	53.1
Not much	3	197	15.2	25.2
None at all	4	30	2.3	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_7] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Voluntary/civic organizations

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Voluntary/civic organizations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	15	1.2	1.9
Quite a lot	2	224	17.3	28.6
Not much	3	494	38.1	63.1
None at all	4	50	3.9	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	515	39.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_8] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Banks

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Banks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	90	6.9	11.4
Quite a lot	2	374	28.8	47.5
Not much	3	278	21.4	35.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
None at all	4	46	3.5	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_9] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The police

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The police

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	35	2.7	4.5
Quite a lot	2	169	13.0	21.5
Not much	3	480	37.0	61.1
None at all	4	101	7.8	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_10] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Political parties

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	137	10.6	17.5
Quite a lot	2	481	37.1	61.4
Not much	3	159	12.2	20.3
None at all	4	7	0.5	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	514	39.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_11] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Finnish defence forces

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Finnish defence forces

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	22	1.7	2.8
Quite a lot	2	159	12.2	20.3
Not much	3	482	37.1	61.6
None at all	4	120	9.2	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	515	39.7	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_12] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Businesses

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Businesses

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	266	20.5	33.9
Quite a lot	2	421	32.4	53.7
Not much	3	89	6.9	11.4
None at all	4	8	0.6	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	514	39.6	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_13] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Employer organizations

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Employer organizations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	125	9.6	15.9
Quite a lot	2	434	33.4	55.3
Not much	3	217	16.7	27.6
None at all	4	9	0.7	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_14] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Trade unions and professional associations

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Trade unions and professional associations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	75	5.8	9.5
Quite a lot	2	411	31.7	52.3
Not much	3	279	21.5	35.5
None at all	4	21	1.6	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_15] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Public officials

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Public officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	72	5.5	9.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Quite a lot	2	364	28.0	46.7
Not much	3	313	24.1	40.2
None at all	4	30	2.3	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	519	40.0	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_16] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: European Union

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: European Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	251	19.3	31.9
Quite a lot	2	421	32.4	53.5
Not much	3	101	7.8	12.8
None at all	4	14	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	511	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P24_17] How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Universities and research institutes

Question

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Universities and research institutes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	43	3.3	5.5
Quite a lot	2	244	18.8	31.1
Not much	3	446	34.4	56.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
None at all	4	51	3.9	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	514	39.6	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P25] To what extent did you follow the campaign funding debate of the past few years?

Question

To what extent did you follow the campaign funding debate of the past few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	134	10.3	16.8
Quite a lot	2	363	28.0	45.4
Not that much	3	274	21.1	34.3
Not at all	4	28	2.2	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	499	38.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P26] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of politicians? My views of politicians became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of politicians? My views of politicians became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	7	0.5	0.9
Somewhat more positive	2	28	2.2	3.5
Somewhat more negative	3	359	27.7	45.5
Much more negative	4	212	16.3	26.9
Didn't change at all	5	183	14.1	23.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	509	39.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_1] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	9	0.7	1.1
Somewhat more positive	2	32	2.5	4.1
Somewhat more negative	3	259	20.0	32.9
Much more negative	4	309	23.8	39.2
Didn't change at all	5	179	13.8	22.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	39.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_2] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the National Coalition Party (KOK) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the National Coalition Party (KOK) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	17	1.3	2.2
Somewhat more positive	2	89	6.9	11.3
Somewhat more negative	3	299	23.0	38.0
Much more negative	4	121	9.3	15.4
Didn't change at all	5	260	20.0	33.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_3] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	22	1.7	2.8
Somewhat more positive	2	153	11.8	19.5
Somewhat more negative	3	235	18.1	29.9
Much more negative	4	48	3.7	6.1
Didn't change at all	5	328	25.3	41.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_4] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Left Alliance (VAS) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Left Alliance (VAS) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	27	2.1	3.5
Somewhat more positive	2	164	12.6	21.0
Somewhat more negative	3	139	10.7	17.8
Much more negative	4	41	3.2	5.2
Didn't change at all	5	411	31.7	52.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	516	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_5] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Green League (VIHR) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Green League (VIHR) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	12	0.9	1.5
Somewhat more positive	2	127	9.8	16.3
Somewhat more negative	3	173	13.3	22.2
Much more negative	4	59	4.5	7.6
Didn't change at all	5	410	31.6	52.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_6] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	8	0.6	1.0
Somewhat more positive	2	101	7.8	12.9
Somewhat more negative	3	174	13.4	22.2
Much more negative	4	54	4.2	6.9
Didn't change at all	5	446	34.4	57.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	515	39.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_7] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Christian Democrats (KD) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Christian Democrats (KD) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	20	1.5	2.5
Somewhat more positive	2	122	9.4	15.5
Somewhat more negative	3	158	12.2	20.1
Much more negative	4	63	4.9	8.0
Didn't change at all	5	422	32.5	53.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	513	39.5	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P27_8] Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the True Finns (PS) became...

Question

Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the True Finns (PS) became...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much more positive	1	89	6.9	11.3
Somewhat more positive	2	146	11.2	18.6
Somewhat more negative	3	99	7.6	12.6
Much more negative	4	59	4.5	7.5
Didn't change at all	5	393	30.3	50.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	39.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P28] Would you be willing to (confidentially) tell who you voted for in the parliamentary elections for the statistical analysis of the data?

Question

Would you be willing to (confidentially) tell who you voted for in the parliamentary elections for the statistical analysis of the data?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Voted and willing to tell the name of the candidate	1	354	27.3	44.2
Didn't vote	2	77	5.9	9.6
Voted but not willing to tell the name of the candidate	3	324	25.0	40.5
Voted but doesn't remember the name of the candidate	4	45	3.5	5.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	498	38.4	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P30] (IF VOTED AND WILLING TO TELL) Did you consider any other candidates?

Question

(IF VOTED AND WILLING TO TELL) Did you consider any other candidates?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Didn't consider any other candidates	1	219	16.9	33.0
Considered other candidates but not very seriously	2	342	26.3	51.6
Seriously considered voting for the following candidate	3	102	7.9	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	635	48.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_1] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Extroverted, enthusiastic

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Extroverted, enthusiastic

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	17	1.3	2.2
Disagree to some extent	2	67	5.2	8.6
Disagree a little	3	109	8.4	14.0
Neither agree nor disagree	4	57	4.4	7.3
Agree a little	5	116	8.9	14.9
Agree to some extent	6	281	21.6	36.0
Agree strongly	7	134	10.3	17.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_2] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Critical, quarrelsome

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Critical, quarrelsome

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	51	3.9	6.6
Disagree to some extent	2	104	8.0	13.4
Disagree a little	3	101	7.8	13.0
Neither agree nor disagree	4	98	7.6	12.6
Agree a little	5	200	15.4	25.7
Agree to some extent	6	181	13.9	23.3
Agree strongly	7	43	3.3	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	520	40.1	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_3] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Dependable, self-disciplined

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Dependable, self-disciplined

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	11	0.8	1.4
Disagree to some extent	2	19	1.5	2.4
Disagree a little	3	21	1.6	2.7
Neither agree nor disagree	4	38	2.9	4.9
Agree a little	5	143	11.0	18.3
Agree to some extent	6	346	26.7	44.4
Agree strongly	7	202	15.6	25.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	518	39.9	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_4] How would you describe yourself as a person, taking into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Anxious, easily upset

Question

How would you describe yourself as a person, taking into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Anxious, easily upset

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	64	4.9	8.2
Disagree to some extent	2	148	11.4	19.0
Disagree a little	3	116	8.9	14.9
Neither agree nor disagree	4	92	7.1	11.8
Agree a little	5	187	14.4	23.9
Agree to some extent	6	124	9.6	15.9
Agree strongly	7	50	3.9	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	517	39.8	–
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_5] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Open to new experiences, complex

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Open to new experiences, complex

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	9	0.7	1.2
Disagree to some extent	2	36	2.8	4.6
Disagree a little	3	65	5.0	8.4
Neither agree nor disagree	4	75	5.8	9.7
Agree a little	5	197	15.2	25.4
Agree to some extent	6	247	19.0	31.8
Agree strongly	7	147	11.3	18.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	522	40.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_6] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Reserved, quiet

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Reserved, quiet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	154	11.9	19.8
Disagree to some extent	2	217	16.7	28.0
Disagree a little	3	117	9.0	15.1
Neither agree nor disagree	4	89	6.9	11.5
Agree a little	5	119	9.2	15.3
Agree to some extent	6	57	4.4	7.3
Agree strongly	7	23	1.8	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	522	40.2	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_7] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Sympathetic, warm

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Sympathetic, warm

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	10	0.8	1.3
Disagree to some extent	2	19	1.5	2.4
Disagree a little	3	35	2.7	4.5
Neither agree nor disagree	4	85	6.5	10.9
Agree a little	5	191	14.7	24.6
Agree to some extent	6	282	21.7	36.3
Agree strongly	7	155	11.9	19.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_8] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Disorganized, care-less

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Disorganized, careless

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	221	17.0	28.5
Disagree to some extent	2	224	17.3	28.9
Disagree a little	3	101	7.8	13.0
Neither agree nor disagree	4	70	5.4	9.0
Agree a little	5	98	7.6	12.6
Agree to some extent	6	46	3.5	5.9
Agree strongly	7	15	1.2	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	523	40.3	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_9] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Calm, emotionally stable

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Calm, emotionally stable

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	13	1.0	1.7
Disagree to some extent	2	54	4.2	6.9
Disagree a little	3	80	6.2	10.2
Neither agree nor disagree	4	88	6.8	11.2
Agree a little	5	159	12.2	20.3
Agree to some extent	6	280	21.6	35.8
Agree strongly	7	109	8.4	13.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	515	39.7	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[P31_10] How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Conventional, uncreative

Question

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Conventional, uncreative

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree strongly	1	29	2.2	3.7
Disagree to some extent	2	88	6.8	11.3
Disagree a little	3	96	7.4	12.4
Neither agree nor disagree	4	120	9.2	15.4
Agree a little	5	166	12.8	21.4
Agree to some extent	6	202	15.6	26.0
Agree strongly	7	76	5.9	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	521	40.1	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[PV_KIELI] Language of the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Language of the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	0	769	59.2	95.4
Swedish	1	37	2.9	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	492	37.9	—
		1298	100.0	100.0

[KK_PV] Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only the face-to-face interview	0	492	37.9	37.9
Face-to-face interview and self-administered questionnaire	1	806	62.1	62.1
		1298	100.0	100.0

[PAINOPU] Weight variable (political party)

Question

Weight variable (political party)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	0.61
maximum	1.24
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.17

[WEIGHTS] Updated weight variable, main questionnaire

Question

Updated weight variable, main questionnaire

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1298
minimum	0.08
maximum	5.00
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.83

[PVWEIGHT] Updated weight variable, self-administered questionnaire

Question

Updated weight variable, self-administered questionnaire

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	806
minimum	0.09
maximum	5.00
mean	1.00
standard deviation	1.02

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	13
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	13
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	14
Interviewer id [A2]	14
Interviewer gender [A3]	14
Date of the interview: month [A4_1]	15
Date of the interview: day [A4_2]	15
Interview language [A6]	15
How interested are you in politics? [K1]	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews [K2_1]	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes [K2_2]	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians [K2_3]	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes [K2_4]	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles [K2_5]	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements [K2_6]	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements [K2_7]	19
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections e.g. newspaper websites [K2_8]	19

3. Indexes

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Websites of the candidates and political parties [K2_9]	19
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs [K2_10]	20
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet [K2_11]	20
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Social media, e.g. Facebook, Twitter [K2_12]	21
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web videos of the candidates or political parties, e.g. YouTube [K2_13]	21
How often do you use the Internet? [K3]	22
Generally, that is, not only during elections, how often do you discuss politics and other social issues with other people? [K4]	22
How stable is your political party identification? [K5]	23
Which of the following groups would you say you belong to? [K6]	23
Which social class would you say you belong to? [K7]	23
How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far? [K8]	24
It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not [K9_1]	24
Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government [K9_2]	25
Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum [K9_3]	25
I prefer to discuss politics and social issues with people who agree with my opinions [K9_4]	26
To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people [K9_5]	26
I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people [K9_6]	26
I avoid people whose values, attitudes or opinions differ from my own [K9_7]	27
It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to the parliament [K9_8]	27
I think voting is a civic duty [K9_9]	28
In my opinion, the current Finnish electoral system works and there is no need to change it [K9_10]	28
If a voter so wished, he/she should be able to vote in parliamentary elections merely for a party without having to choose a candidate [K9_11]	28
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor [K10_1]	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue [K10_2]	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a	

petition [K10_3]	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party [K10_4]	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation [K10_5]	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices [K10_6]	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices [K10_7]	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott [K10_8]	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations [K10_9]	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities [K10_10]	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence [K10_11]	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals [K10_12]	33
Are you a member of any political party? [K11]	34
During the last election campaign, were you contacted by a candidate or anyone from a party to persuade you to vote for them? [K12]	34
Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections? [K13]	35
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 1st most important [K14_1]	35
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 2nd most important [K14_2]	35
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 3rd most important [K14_3]	36
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 4th most important [K14_4]	36
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 5th most important [K14_5]	37
Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run [K15_1]	37
Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with the problems of ordinary people [K15_2]	38
Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people [K15_3]	38
I have no say in what the government and parliament decide [K15_4]	38

Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making [K15_5]	39
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions [K15_6]	39
Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on [K15_7]	40
By voting people can have a say in how things are run [K15_8]	40
It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same [K15_9]	40
Parties should announce clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with [K15_10]	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended) [Q1A]	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified) [Q1AL]	41
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended) [Q1B]	42
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified) [Q1BL]	42
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended) [Q2A]	42
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified) [Q2AL]	42
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended) [Q2B]	43
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified) [Q2BL]	43
Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it? [Q3A]	43
Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it? [Q3B]	44
Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes? [Q4]	45
Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it will not make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say? [Q5]	46
Thinking about the general performance of the Government, first under Matti Vanhanen and then under Mari Kiviniemi, how good or bad a job has it done over the past four years? [Q6]	46
Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well? [Q7]	47
Which party represents your views best? [Q7A]	47

Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well? [Q8]	48
Which party leader represents your views best? [Q8A]	48
Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q9A]	49
Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q9B]	50
Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q9C]	51
Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS) [Q9D]	51
Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR) [Q9E]	52
Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q9F]	52
Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD) [Q9G]	53
Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS) [Q9H]	54
Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or feel you do not know enough about him/her: Mari Kiviniemi (KESK) [Q10A]	54
Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK) [Q10B]	55
Opinion on party leaders: Jutta Urpilainen (SDP) [Q10C]	56
Opinion on party leaders: Paavo Arhinmäki (VAS) [Q10D]	56
Opinion on party leaders: Anni Sinnemäki (VIHR) [Q10E]	57
Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP) [Q10F]	57
Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD) [Q10G]	58
Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS) [Q10H]	59
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? [Q11A]	59
Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q11B]	60
Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q11C]	61
Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS) [Q11D]	61
Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR) [Q11E]	62
Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q11F]	63
Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD) [Q11G]	63
Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS) [Q11H]	64
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Mari Kiviniemi (KESK) [Q12A]	65

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK) [Q12B] ..	65
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jutta Urpilainen (SDP) [Q12C] .	66
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Timo Soini (PS) [Q12D]	67
Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale? [Q13]	67
During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties? [Q17]	68
How closely did you follow the election campaigning? [Q18]	68
How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland? [Q19]	69
Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party? [Q20]	69
Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others? [Q20A] ...	70
(IF q20 OR q20a = YES) Which party do you feel closest to? [Q20B]	70
(IF MENTIONED A PARTY) Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close? [Q20C]	71
Nowadays many people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day? [Q21]	71
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (1st mention) [Q21A_1]	72
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (2nd mention) [Q21A_2]	73
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (3rd mention) [Q21A_3]	73
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (4th mention) [Q21A_4]	74
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (5th mention) [Q21A_5]	75
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (6th mention) [Q21A_6]	76
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (7th mention) [Q21A_7]	77
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (8th mention) [Q21A_8]	78
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (9th mention) [Q21A_9]	78
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (10th mention) [Q21A_10]	79
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (11th mention) [Q21A_11]	80
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	

(12th mention) [Q21A_12]	80
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	
(13th mention) [Q21A_13]	81
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	
(14th mention) [Q21A_14]	82
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	
(15th mention) [Q21A_15]	83
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	
(16th mention) [Q21A_16]	84
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for?	
(17th mention) [Q21A_17]	85
(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections? [Q21C]	85
Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group? [Q22A]	86
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention) [Q22B_1]	86
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention) [Q22B_2]	87
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention) [Q22B_3]	88
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention) [Q22B_4]	89
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention) [Q22B_5]	89
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention) [Q22B_6]	90
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention) [Q22B_7]	91
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention) [Q22B_8]	92
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention) [Q22B_9]	92
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention) [Q22B_10]	93
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention) [Q22B_11]	94
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention) [Q22B_12]	95
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention) [Q22B_13]	96
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention) [Q22B_14]	96
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention) [Q22B_15]	97
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention) [Q22B_16]	98
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (17th mention) [Q22B_17]	99
And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for? [Q22C]	100
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention) [Q22D_1]	100
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention) [Q22D_2]	101
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention) [Q22D_3]	101
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention) [Q22D_4]	102

(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention) [Q22D_5]	103
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention) [Q22D_6]	104
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention) [Q22D_7]	104
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention) [Q22D_8]	105
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention) [Q22D_9]	106
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention) [Q22D_10]	107
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention) [Q22D_11]	108
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention) [Q22D_12]	108
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention) [Q22D_13]	109
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention) [Q22D_14]	110
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention) [Q22D_15]	111
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention) [Q22D_16]	112
Did you vote four years ago, in the 2007 parliamentary elections? [Q23]	112
(IF q23 = YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for? [Q23B]	113
Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2010? [Q24A]	114
Which of the following parties has the fourth largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament? [Q24B]	114
Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections? [Q24C]	114
What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government? [Q24D]	115
What percentage of people living in Finland are foreign nationals? [Q24E]	115
Which of the following is the largest group of foreign nationals residing in Finland? [Q24F]	116
What is the European Union (EU) treaty that came into force at the end of the year 2009 called? [Q24G]	116
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party [K16_1]	117
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate [K16_2]	117
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job or travel prevented me from voting [K16_3]	118
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age [K16_4]	118
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote [K16_5]	119
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote [K16_6]	119
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from	

voting [K16_7]	119
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election [K16_8]	120
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general [K16_9]	120
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians [K16_10]	121
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not [K16_11]	121
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Campaign funding scandal of the parliamentary elections in 2007 [K16_12]	122
(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote? [K17]	122
(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate? [K18]	123
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television [K19_1] .	123
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to [K19_2]	123
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader [K19_3]	124
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Values of the party [K19_4]	124
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues [K19_5]	125
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to conduct policies [K19_6]	125
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term [K19_7]	126
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party's successful election campaign [K19_8]	126
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister [K19_9]	127
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government [K19_10]	127
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Campaign funding scandal during the past electoral term [K20_1]	128
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote	

for a cand. of the True Finns: Election poll success of the True Finns and confidence that candidates of the party would win seats in Parliament [K20_2]	128
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of party leader Timo Soini [K20_3]	129
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of the candidate I voted for [K20_4]	129
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to make a protest vote in these parliamentary elections [K20_5]	130
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the political party you previously voted for [K20_6]	130
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the candidate you previously voted for [K20_7]	131
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to stir the stagnant party system [K20_8]	131
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to tighten the Finnish immigration system and immigrant benefits [K20_9]	132
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to decrease Finland's commitment to the European Union and its membership obligations [K20_10]	132
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Opposing mandatory teaching of Swedish in Finnish schools [K20_11]	133
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to reinforce traditional values in the Finnish society [K20_12]	133
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Promoting other political objectives of the True Finns [K20_13]	134
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Open-ended) [K20B]	134
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Classified) [K20BL]	135
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age [K21_1]	135
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender [K21_2]	136
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education [K21_3]	136

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence [K21_4]	137
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics [K21_5]	137
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability [K21_6]	138
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things [K21_7]	138
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style [K21_8]	139
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure [K21_9]	139
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues [K21_10]	140
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation [K21_11]	140
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising [K21_12]	141
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament [K21_13]	141
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Openness/transparency of the candidate's campaign funding [K21_14]	142
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on immigration policy [K21_15]	142
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors on the Internet [K21_16]	143
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Internet presence of the candidate or his/her political party [K21_17]	143
(IF VOTED) If your candidate had been running for any of the other parties, would you still have voted for him/her? [K22]	144
(IF VOTED) Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you? [K23]	144
(IF VOTED) What was the age of the candidate you voted for? [K24]	145
(IF VOTED) Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2007? [K25]	145
(IF VOTED) In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you? [K26]	146
(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the party you voted for? [K27]	146
(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the candidate you voted for? [K28]	147
How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If the voters in his/her constituency have one opinion and his/her party takes a different position? [K29A]	147

3. Indexes

How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with the opinion of the voters in his/her constituency? [K29B]	147
How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with his/her party's position? [K29C]	148
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The President [K30_1]	148
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Political parties [K30_2]	149
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Parliament [K30_3]	149
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The Government [K30_4]	150
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Politicians [K30_5]	151
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: European Union [K30_6]	151
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Judicial system [K30_7]	152
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Public officials [K30_8]	152
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland [K30_9]	153
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The police [K30_10]	154
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Trade unions and professional associations [K30_11]	154
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = can't be too careful, 10 = most people can be trusted), can people be trusted or is it so that you can never be too careful about them? [K31]	155
Respondent's year of birth [D1]	155
Respondent's gender [D2]	156
Respondent's basic education [D3_1]	156
Respondent's vocational education [D3_2]	156
Respondent's marital status [D4]	157
Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities? [D5_1]	157
Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? [D5_2]	158
What other central organisation does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? (Open-ended) [D5_2A]	158

How many other than work- or profession-related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member) [D5_3]	159
How many other than work or profession related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member) Altogether about... [D5_3A]	159
How much time do you usually spend watching television on ordinary weekdays? (Mon-Fri excluding holidays, active tv watching) [T1A]	160
(IF WATCHES TV) How much time do you usually spend watching television news or politics-related and current affairs programmes on ordinary weekdays? (Administration, social and public policy or related people) [T1B]	160
Respondent's employment status [D10]	161
Respondent's profession (Open-ended) [D11]	161
Respondent's profession (Classified) [D11L]	161
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [D12]	162
Type of employer [D13]	162
Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits) [D20]	163
Total number of persons in the household [D21_1]	163
Number of people aged over 18 in the household [D21_2]	164
Number of children aged 7-17 in the household [D22_1]	164
Number of children aged six or under in the household [D22_2]	165
Would you describe yourself as ... [D24]	165
Do you belong to any church or religious community? [D25]	166
What other church or religious community? (Open-ended) [D25A]	166
Respondent's mother tongue [D26_1]	167
Respondent's mother tongue: Other, what? (Open-ended) [D26_1A]	167
What language(s) do you use at home? [D26_2]	167
Do you consider yourself a member of an ethnic minority in Finland? (a group defined for example by racial ancestry that you identify with or belong to) [T2]	168
Type of neighbourhood where R lives [D30]	168
Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2007 parliamentary elections? [D30B]	168
Respondent's constituency [D31]	169
Province of residence [LAANI]	169
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months? [D32]	170
For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months? [D32A]	170
Housing tenure [D33A]	170
Language used in the face-to-face interview [KK_KIELI]	171

Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire [REKRY]	171
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections (please answer even if you did not vote): Tax policy [P1_1]	171
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Employment policy [P1_2]	172
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Income disparity [P1_3]	172
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Environmental issues [P1_4]	173
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Entrepreneurial and business activities [P1_5]	173
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Economy and competitiveness of Finland [P1_6]	174
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Care for the elderly [P1_7]	174
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Issues connected to children and families with children [P1_8]	175
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Social and welfare policy [P1_9]	175
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Health policy [P1_10]	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Fight against crime and possibility to live in safety [P1_11]	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Immigration policy [P1_12]	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Language policy [P1_13]	177
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Energy policy [P1_14]	177
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Nuclear power [P1_15]	178
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Education policy [P1_16]	178
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Housing policy [P1_17]	179
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Youth issues [P1_18]	179
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Foreign policy and security policy [P1_19]	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: EU policy	

[P1_20]	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Regional policy [P1_21]	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Circumstances of sexual minorities [P1_22]	181
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Traditional values and morals [P1_23]	181
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = very bad, 10 = very good, 5 = neither good nor bad), how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increasing entrepreneurship and market economy [P2_1]	182
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Diminishing income disparities [P2_2]	182
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Christian values having a greater role [P2_3]	183
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More law and order [P2_4]	184
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More eco-friendly Finland, even if it meant low economic growth or no growth at all [P2_5]	184
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Better equality between men and women [P2_6]	185
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Traditional Finnish values being cherished [P2_7]	186
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of families is reinforced [P2_8]	186
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: People stay in the work force longer [P2_9]	187
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of sexual minorities is reinforced [P2_10]	187
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Lower taxation level [P2_11]	188
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Having two strong national languages, Finnish and Swedish [P2_12]	189
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More internationally-oriented, less emphasis on boundaries between countries and peoples [P2_13]	189
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Smaller differences in regional development [P2_14]	190
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: A larger public sector [P2_15]	191

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increased immigration [P2_16]	191
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Multicultural Finland where foreigners with different religions and lifestyles are tolerated [P2_17]	192
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Regular investigation of public opinion [P3_1]	193
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting experts of different fields make the decisions [P3_2]	193
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Promoting citizen participation in and discussion on important political decisions [P3_3]	194
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting elected politicians make the decisions [P3_4]	195
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = should be strongly decreased, 10 = should be strongly increased), what do you think about increasing or decreasing work-related immigration, that is, migration to Finland for work? [P4A]	195
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [P4B_1]	196
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: National Coalition Party (KOK) [P4B_2]	197
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [P4B_3]	197
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Left Alliance (VAS) [P4B_4]	198
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Green League (VIHR) [P4B_5]	199
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [P4B_6]	199
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Christian Democrats (KD) [P4B_7]	200
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: True Finns (PS) [P4B_8]	201
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members, parents or relatives [P5_1]	201
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students [P5_2]	202
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances [P5_3]	202
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Newspapers [P5_4]	202

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Television [P5_5]	203
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Radio [P5_6]	203
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Internet [P5_7]	204
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Mobile media [P5_8]	204
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition [P6_1]	205
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers [P6_2]	205
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Participate in discussions, e.g. commenting news media pages or political blogs [P6_3]	206
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Donate money to a political party or candidate [P6_4]	206
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Send and receive election-related emails or messages between family and friends [P6_5]	207
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Write about elections in your own blog [P6_6]	207
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Visited social media websites (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)? [P7_1]	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Maintained your profile on a social networking page? [P7_2]	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read blogs? [P7_3]	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Commented a blog/blogs? [P7_4]	209
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written your own blog? [P7_5]	209
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Watched videos or listened to music made by others? [P7_6]	210
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Uploaded or published photos, videos, music, stories, articles etc. made by yourself? [P7_7]	210
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written or edited articles e.g. on Wikipedia? [P7_8]	210
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Tagged websites, photos etc.? [P7_9]	211
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media:	

Read web forum discussions? [P7_10]	211
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in web forum discussions? [P7_11]	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started web forum discussions? [P7_12]	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Followed a campaign or an activity group? [P7_13]	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in a campaign or an activity group? [P7_14]	213
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started a campaign or an activity group? [P7_15]	213
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Spread information about different kinds of events in the web communities you belong to? [P7_16]	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Subscribed to RSS feeds? [P7_17]	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Listened to podcasts? [P7_18]	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Produced podcasts? [P7_19]	215
If it were possible to have a say through the Internet on issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say? [P8]	215
Usually in an election I vote for a candidate of my own gender [P9_1]	216
It was important to me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers [P9_2]	216
It was important to me that the candidate could promote interests important for my gender [P9_3]	216
Men are better decision-makers than women [P9_4]	217
Women as decision-makers are better informed than men are on issues important to ordinary people [P9_5]	217
(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party whose candidate you voted for? [P10]	218
(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate? [P11]	218
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Security policy? [P12_1]	219
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Economic policy? [P12_2]	219
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Social policy? [P12_3]	220

In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Immigration policy? [P12_4]	220
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Equality policy? [P12_5]	220
Members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and concentrated on solving real problems [P13_1]	221
What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles [P13_2]	221
Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions [P13_3]	222
Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens [P13_4]	222
The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise [P13_5]	223
Political parties ought be banned in politics [P13_6]	223
There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure [P13_7]	223
Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned [P13_8]	224
What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order [P13_9]	224
It is important that members of parliament thoroughly discuss and debate issues before decision-making [P13_10]	225
Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship [P13_11]	225
Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture [P13_12]	226
The problem with democracy is that most people do not really know what is best for them [P13_13]	226
Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job [P13_14]	227
All in all, EU membership has been a good thing for Finland [P14_1]	227
Finland should reinforce Nordic co-operation [P14_2]	227
Finland should leave the EU [P14_3]	228
Finland should be actively involved in developing defence co-operation in the EU [P14_4]	228
Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing [P14_5]	229
Finland should join NATO [P14_6]	229
Which is more important in a democratic society: letting the majority decide or protecting the needs and rights of minorities? [P15]	230
A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B? [P16]	230

A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at least at present level. Do you agree more with A or B? [P17]	231
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy [P18_1]	231
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation [P18_2]	231
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland [P18_3]	232
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation [P18_4]	232
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy [P19_1]	233
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation [P19_2]	233
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland [P19_3]	234
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation [P19_4]	234
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation? [P20]	235
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life? [P21]	235
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts (you can also connect them with both concepts or neither): Bourgeoisie? [P22_1]	235
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong public sector? [P22_2]	236
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Working class? [P22_3]	236
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Conservatism? [P22_4]	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Narrowing income disparities? [P22_5]	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Progress and social reform? [P22_6]	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong role of the government in economic policy? [P22_7] ..	238
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Eco-friendliness? [P22_8]	238
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect	

with one of these concepts: Being pro-immigration? [P22_9]	239
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Support for entrepreneurship? [P22_10]	239
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-globalisation? [P22_11]	240
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Traditional values? [P22_12]	240
People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? In politics it is important to... [P23A]	240
People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by... [P23B]	241
People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by... [P23C]	242
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Parliament [P24_1]	242
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The Government [P24_2]	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The President [P24_3]	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Municipal decision-makers [P24_4]	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland [P24_5]	244
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The media [P24_6]	244
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Voluntary/civic organizations [P24_7]	245
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Banks [P24_8]	245
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The police [P24_9]	246
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Political parties [P24_10]	246
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Finnish defence forces [P24_11]	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Businesses [P24_12]	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Employer organizations [P24_13]	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish	

society at present: Trade unions and professional associations [P24_14]	248
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Public officials [P24_15]	248
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: European Union [P24_16]	249
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Universities and research institutes [P24_17]	249
To what extent did you follow the campaign funding debate of the past few years? [P25] ..	250
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of politicians? My views of politicians became... [P26]	250
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) became... [P27_1]	251
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the National Coalition Party (KOK) became... [P27_2]	251
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) became... [P27_3]	252
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Left Alliance (VAS) became... [P27_4]	252
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Green League (VIHR) became... [P27_5]	253
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) became... [P27_6]	253
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Christian Democrats (KD) became... [P27_7]	254
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the True Finns (PS) became... [P27_8]	254
Would you be willing to (confidentially) tell who you voted for in the parliamentary elections for the statistical analysis of the data? [P28]	255
(IF VOTED AND WILLING TO TELL) Did you consider any other candidates? [P30] ...	255
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Extroverted, enthusiastic [P31_1]	255
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Critical, quarrelsome [P31_2]	256
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Dependable, self-disciplined [P31_3]	256
How would you describe yourself as a person, taking into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Anxious, easily upset [P31_4]	257
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Open to new experiences, complex [P31_5]	257

How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Reserved, quiet [P31_6]	258
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Sympathetic, warm [P31_7]	258
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Disorganized, careless [P31_8]	259
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Calm, emotionally stable [P31_9]	259
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Conventional, uncreative [P31_10]	260
Language of the self-administered questionnaire [PV_KIELI]	260
Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire [KK_PV]	261
Weight variable (political party) [PAINOPU]	261
Updated weight variable, main questionnaire [WEIGHTS]	261
Updated weight variable, self-administered questionnaire [PVWEIGHT]	262

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at least at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?	231
A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?	230
All in all, EU membership has been a good thing for Finland	227
And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?	100
Are you a member of any political party?	34
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of politicians? My views of politicians became... ..	250
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) became... ..	251
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Christian Democrats (KD) became... ..	254
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) became... ..	252
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Green League (VIHR) became... ..	253
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Left Alliance (VAS) became... ..	252
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the National Coalition Party (KOK) became... ..	251
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) became... ..	253
Before the election, how did the campaign funding scandal affect your views of the following parties? My views of the True Finns (PS) became... ..	254
By voting people can have a say in how things are run	40
Date of the interview: day	15
Date of the interview: month	15
Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government	25
Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?	86
Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2007 parliamentary elections? ..	168
Did you vote four years ago, in the 2007 parliamentary elections?	112
Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned ..	224
Do you belong to any church or religious community?	166

Do you consider yourself a member of an ethnic minority in Finland? (a group defined for example by racial ancestry that you identify with or belong to)	168
Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	70
Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?	69
Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?	157
During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties? .	68
During the last election campaign, were you contacted by a candidate or anyone from a party to persuade you to vote for them?	34
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Commented a blog/blogs?	209
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Followed a campaign or an activity group?	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Listened to podcasts?	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Maintained your profile on a social networking page?	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in a campaign or an activity group?	213
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Participated in web forum discussions?	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Produced podcasts?	215
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read blogs?	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Read web forum discussions?	211
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Spread information about different kinds of events in the web communities you belong to? .	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started a campaign or an activity group?	213
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Started web forum discussions?	212
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Subscribed to RSS feeds?	214
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Tagged websites, photos etc.?	211
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Uploaded or published photos, videos, music, stories, articles etc. made by yourself?	210

During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Visited social media websites (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)?	208
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Watched videos or listened to music made by others?	210
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written or edited articles e.g. on Wikipedia?	210
During the past month, which of the following have you done on the Internet and social media: Written your own blog?	209
Finland should be actively involved in developing defence co-operation in the EU	228
Finland should join NATO	229
Finland should leave the EU	228
Finland should reinforce Nordic co-operation	227
Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing	229
For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?	170
FSD case id	14
FSD edition number	13
FSD study number	13
Generally, that is, not only during elections, how often do you discuss politics and other social issues with other people?	22
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?	170
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers	205
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Donate money to a political party or candidate	206
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Participate in discussions, e.g. commenting news media pages or political blogs ..	206
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Send and receive election-related emails or messages between family and friends	207

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition	205
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Write about elections in your own blog	207
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor	29
Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?	35
Housing tenure	170
How closely did you follow the election campaigning?	68
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland	234
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy	233
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation	234
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation	233
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland	232
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy	231
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation	232
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation	231
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Care for the elderly	174

How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Circumstances of sexual minorities	181
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Economy and competitiveness of Finland	174
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Education policy	178
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Employment policy	172
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Energy policy	177
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Entrepreneurial and business activities	173
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Environmental issues	173
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: EU policy	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Fight against crime and possibility to live in safety	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Foreign policy and security policy	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Health policy	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Housing policy	179
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Immigration policy	176
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Income disparity	172
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Issues connected to children and families with children	175
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Language policy	177
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Nuclear power	178
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections (please answer even if you did not vote): Tax policy	171
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Regional policy	180
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Social and welfare policy	175
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Traditional	

values and morals	181
How important were the following issues for your party choice in the elections: Youth issues	
179	
How interested are you in politics?	16
How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far? ..	24
How many other than work or profession related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member) Altogether about... ..	159
How many other than work- or profession-related associations does the respondent currently belong to? (Paying member)	159
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet	20
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements	19
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs	20
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Social media, e.g. Facebook, Twitter	21
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections e.g. newspaper websites	19
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web videos of the candidates or political parties, e.g. YouTube	21
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Websites of the candidates and political parties	19
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members, parents or relatives	201
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Internet	204
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Mobile media ..	204

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Newspapers ..	202
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances	202
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Radio	203
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Television	203
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students	202
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Banks	245
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Businesses	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Employer organizations	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: European Union	249
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland	244
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Finnish defence forces	247
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Municipal decision-makers	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Parliament	242
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Political parties	246
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Public officials	248
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The Government	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The media	244
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The police	246
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: The President	243
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Trade unions and professional associations	248
How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Universities and research institutes	249

How much influence do you think the following actors have on the development of the Finnish society at present: Voluntary/civic organizations	245
How much time do you usually spend watching television on ordinary weekdays? (Mon-Fri excluding holidays, active tv watching)	160
How often do you use the Internet?	22
How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?	69
How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with his/her party's position?	148
How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If his/her own opinion on an issue does not correspond with the opinion of the voters in his/her constituency?	147
How should a member of parliament vote in parliament: If the voters in his/her constituency have one opinion and his/her party takes a different position?	147
How stable is your political party identification?	23
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Calm, emotionally stable	259
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Conventional, uncreative	260
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Critical, quarrelsome	256
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Dependable, self-disciplined	256
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Disorganized, careless	259
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Extroverted, enthusiastic	255
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Open to new experiences, complex	257
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Reserved, quiet	258
How well do the following personality traits apply to you? Please take into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Sympathetic, warm	258
How would you describe yourself as a person, taking into account both traits mentioned. I see myself as: Anxious, easily upset	257
I avoid people whose values, attitudes or opinions differ from my own	27
I have no say in what the government and parliament decide	38
I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people	26
I prefer to discuss politics and social issues with people who agree with my opinions	26
I think voting is a civic duty	28
If a voter so wished, he/she should be able to vote in parliamentary elections merely for a party	

without having to choose a candidate	28
(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?	122
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (10th mention)	79
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (11th mention)	80
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (12th mention)	80
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (13th mention)	81
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (14th mention)	82
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (15th mention)	83
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (16th mention)	84
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (17th mention)	85
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (1st mention)	72
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (2nd mention)	73
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (3rd mention)	73
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (4th mention)	74
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (5th mention)	75
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (6th mention)	76
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (7th mention)	77
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (8th mention)	78
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for? (9th mention)	78
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians	121
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Campaign funding scandal of the parliamentary elections in 2007	122

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age	118
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote ..	119
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate	117
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party	117
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general	120
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting	119
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election	120
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not	121
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job or travel prevented me from voting	118
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote	119
If it were possible to have a say through the Internet on issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?	215
(IF MENTIONED A PARTY) Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	71
(IF q20 OR q20a = YES) Which party do you feel closest to?	70
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)	93
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)	94
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)	95
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)	96
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)	96
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)	97
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)	98
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (17th mention)	99
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)	86
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)	87
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)	88
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)	89
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)	89

(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)	90
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)	91
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)	92
(IF q22a = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)	92
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (10th mention)	107
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (11th mention)	108
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (12th mention)	108
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (13th mention)	109
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (14th mention)	110
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (15th mention)	111
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (16th mention)	112
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (1st mention)	100
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (2nd mention)	101
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (3rd mention)	101
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (4th mention)	102
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (5th mention)	103
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (6th mention)	104
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (7th mention)	104
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (8th mention)	105
(IF q22c = YES) Which party/parties or group(s) (9th mention)	106
(IF q23 = YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?	113
(IF VOTED AND WILLING TO TELL) Did you consider any other candidates?	255
(IF VOTED) Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?	144
(IF VOTED) Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2007?	145
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a cand. of the True Finns: Election poll success of the True Finns and confidence that candidates of the party would win seats in Parliament	128
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Campaign funding scandal during the past electoral term	128
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to decrease Finland's commitment to the European Union and its membership obligations	132
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to make a protest vote in these parliamentary elections	130
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote	

for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to reinforce traditional values in the Finnish society	133
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to stir the stagnant party system	131
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Desire to tighten the Finnish immigration system and immigrant benefits	132
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the candidate you previously voted for	131
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Distrust of the political party you previously voted for ..	130
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Opposing mandatory teaching of Swedish in Finnish schools	133
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Promoting other political objectives of the True Finns ..	134
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of party leader Timo Soini	129
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) To what extent did the following affect your decision to vote for a candidate of the True Finns: Qualities of the candidate I voted for	129
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Classified)	135
(IF VOTED FOR TRUE FINNS) Which of the mentioned or other factors was your main reason to vote for a candidate of the True Finns? (Open-ended)	134
(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?	123
(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party whose candidate you voted for?	218
(IF VOTED) How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?	218
(IF VOTED) If your candidate had been running for any of the other parties, would you still have voted for him/her?	144
(IF VOTED) In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?	146
(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?	85
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government	127
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader	124
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister	127

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to conduct policies	125
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues	125
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to	123
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party's successful election campaign	126
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term	126
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television	123
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Values of the party	124
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament	141
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure	139
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things	138
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age	135
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors on the Internet	143
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style	139
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education	136
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising	141
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender	136
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation	140
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence	137
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics	137
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability	138

(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues	140
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on immigration policy	142
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Internet presence of the candidate or his/her political party	143
(IF VOTED) To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Openness/transparency of the candidate's campaign funding	142
(IF VOTED) What was the age of the candidate you voted for?	145
(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the candidate you voted for?	147
(IF VOTED) When did you decide on the party you voted for?	146
(IF WATCHES TV) How much time do you usually spend watching television news or politics-related and current affairs programmes on ordinary weekdays? (Administration, social and public policy or related people)	160
Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture	226
Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum	25
In my opinion, the current Finnish electoral system works and there is no need to change it	28
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)	65
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? ...	59
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-globalisation?	240
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Being pro-immigration?	239
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Conservatism?	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Eco-friendliness?	238
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Narrowing income disparities?	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Progress and social reform?	237
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong public sector?	236
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Strong role of the government in economic policy?	238
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Support for entrepreneurship?	239

3. Indexes

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Traditional values?	240
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts: Working class?	236
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Which of the following would you connect with one of these concepts (you can also connect them with both concepts or neither): Bourgeoisie?	235
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Economic policy?	219
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Equality policy?	220
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Immigration policy?	220
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Security policy?	219
In your opinion, is a male or a female MP better able to work on the following issues: Social policy?	220
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 1st most important	35
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 2nd most important	35
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 3rd most important	36
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 4th most important	36
In your opinion, whom should an elected member of parliament primarily represent? 5th most important	37
Interview language	15
Interviewer gender	14
Interviewer id	14
It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same	40
It is important that members of parliament thoroughly discuss and debate issues before decision-making	225
It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to the parliament	27
It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not	24
It was important to me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers	216
It was important to me that the candidate could promote interests important for my gender	216

Language of the self-administered questionnaire	260
Language used in the face-to-face interview	171
Members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and concentrated on solving real problems	221
Men are better decision-makers than women	217
Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job	227
Nowadays many people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?	71
Number of children aged 7-17 in the household	164
Number of children aged six or under in the household	165
Number of people aged over 18 in the household	164
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: A larger public sector	191
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Better equality between men and women	185
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Christian values having a greater role	183
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Diminishing income disparities	182
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Having two strong national languages, Finnish and Swedish	189
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increased immigration	191
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Lower taxation level	188
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More eco-friendly Finland, even if it meant low economic growth or no growth at all	184
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More internationally-oriented, less emphasis on boundaries between countries and peoples	189
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: More law and order	184
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Multicultural Finland where foreigners with different religions and lifestyles are tolerated	192
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: People stay in the work force longer	187

On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Smaller differences in regional development	190
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of families is reinforced	186
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: The status of sexual minorities is reinforced	187
On a scale of 0 to 10, how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Traditional Finnish values being cherished	186
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = can't be too careful, 10 = most people can be trusted), can people be trusted or is it so that you can never be too careful about them?	155
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: European Union	151
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Evangelical Lutheran Church of Finland	153
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Judicial system	152
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Parliament	149
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Political parties	149
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Politicians	151
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Public officials	152
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The Government	150
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The police	154
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: The President	148
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = don't trust at all, 10 = completely trust), to what extent do you trust/mistrust: Trade unions and professional associations	154
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = should be strongly decreased, 10 = should be strongly increased), what do you think about increasing or decreasing work-related immigration, that is, migration to Finland for work?	195
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = very bad, 10 = very good, 5 = neither good nor bad), how would you rate the following propositions about what Finland should focus on: Increasing entrepreneurship and market economy	182
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting elected politicians make the decisions	195

On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Letting experts of different fields make the decisions	193
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Promoting citizen participation in and discussion on important political decisions	194
On a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 = worst, 10 = best), how would you rate the following approaches to political decision-making: Regular investigation of public opinion	193
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?	235
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation? ..	235
Opinion on party leaders: Anni Sinnemäki (VIHR)	57
Opinion on party leaders: Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)	56
Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)	55
Opinion on party leaders: Paavo Arhinmäki (VAS)	56
Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)	58
Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or feel you do not know enough about him/her: Mari Kiviniemi (KESK)	54
Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)	57
Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)	59
Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)	53
Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	51
Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)	52
Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)	51
Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)	50
Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	49
Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	52
Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)	54
Parties should announce clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with	41
People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? In politics it is important to... ..	240
People have different views on political decision-making. What are your own views? Political decisions should be made by... ..	241, 242
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions	39
Political parties ought be banned in politics	223

3. Indexes

Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people	38
Province of residence	169
Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship	225
Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?	48
Respondent's basic education	156
Respondent's constituency	169
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	162
Respondent's employment status	161
Respondent's marital status	157
Respondent's mother tongue	167
Respondent's mother tongue: Other, what? (Open-ended)	167
Respondent's profession (Classified)	161
Respondent's profession (Open-ended)	161
Respondent's vocational education	156
Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire	261
Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire	171
Respondent's year of birth	155
Respondent's gender	156
Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?	45
Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it will not make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?	46
Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on ...	40
The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise	223
The problem with democracy is that most people do not really know what is best for them	226
There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure	223
Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens	222
Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions ...	222
Thinking about the general performance of the Government, first under Matti Vanhanen and then under Mari Kiviniemi, how good or bad a job has it done over the past four years?	46
Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?	43

Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?	44
Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with the problems of ordinary people	38
Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making	39
To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people	26
To what extent did you follow the campaign funding debate of the past few years?	250
Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)	163
Total number of persons in the household	163
Type of employer	162
Type of neighbourhood where R lives	168
Updated weight variable, main questionnaire	261
Updated weight variable, self-administered questionnaire	262
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	196
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Christian Democrats (KD)	200
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	197
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Green League (VIHR)	199
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Left Alliance (VAS)	198
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: National Coalition Party (KOK)	197
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	199
Using the same scale, what kind of policy do you think Finnish political parties would adopt on work-related immigration: True Finns (PS)	201
Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)	63
Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	61
Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)	62
Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)	61
Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)	60
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jutta Urpilainen (SDP)	66
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)	65

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Timo Soini (PS)	67
Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) ...	63
Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)	64
Usually in an election I vote for a candidate of my own gender	216
Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run ..	37
Weight variable (political party)	261
What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?	115
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)	42
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)	42
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Classified)	43
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? (Open-ended)	43
What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order	224
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended) ..	41
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Classified)	42
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? (Open-ended)	42
What is the European Union (EU) treaty that came into force at the end of the year 2009 called?	116
What language(s) do you use at home?	167
What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles	221
What other central organisation does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? (Open-ended)	158
What other church or religious community? (Open-ended)	166
What percentage of people living in Finland are foreign nationals?	115
Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?	67
Which is more important in a democratic society: letting the majority decide or protecting the needs and rights of minorities?	230
Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to?	158
Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?	23
Which of the following is the largest group of foreign nationals residing in Finland?	116

Which of the following parties has the fourth largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?	114
Which party leader represents your views best?	48
Which party represents your views best?	47
Which social class would you say you belong to?	23
Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?	114
Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2010?	114
Women as decision-makers are better informed than men are on issues important to ordinary people	217
Would you be willing to (confidentially) tell who you voted for in the parliamentary elections for the statistical analysis of the data?	255
Would you describe yourself as	165
Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?	47

Appendix A

Questionnaires in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2653 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2011

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2653 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2011

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/sv/>

HALLINNOLLISET CSES-MUUTTUJAT (A-ALKUISET)

A1. Lomakenumero (tai muu yksittäiset haastateltavat identifioiva tunnusnumero)

A2. Haastattelija (yksittäiset haastattelijat identifioiva tunnusnumero)

A3. Haastattelijan sukupuoli

1. Mies
2. Nainen

A4_1. Haastattelukuukausi (1-12)

A4_2. Haastattelupäivä (1-31)

A5. Alkuperäiset painomuuttajat

A6. Haastattelukieli (lomakekieli)

1. suomi
2. ruotsi

**

SISÄLTÖMUUTTUJAT

K1. Kuinka kiinnostunut olette politiikasta? LUETTELE

- 1 Hyvin kiinnostunut
- 2 Jonkin verran kiinnostunut
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut
- 4 En lainkaan kiinnostunut

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K2. Kuinka paljon seurasitte eduskuntavaaleja eri tiedotusvälineistä? KORTTI

- 1 Hyvin paljon
- 2 Melko paljon
- 3 Vain vähän
- 4 En lainkaan

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K2_1 TV:n vaalikeskustelut ja puoluejohtajien haastattelut

K2_2 TV-uutiset ja ajankohtaisohjelmat

K2_3 TV:n viihdeohjelmat, joissa poliitikkoja oli mukana

K2_4 Radio-ohjelmat

K2_5 Sanomalehtikirjoitukset

K2_6 TV-mainokset

K2_7 Lehtimainokset

K2_8 Vaaleja koskeva uutisointi Internetissä, esim. sanomalehtien Internetsivut

K2_9 Ehdokkaiden ja puolueiden kotisivut Internetissä

K2_10 Nettipäiväkirjat ja blogit

K2_11 Ns. vaalikoneet Internetissä

K2_12 Sosiaalinen media, esim. Facebook, Twitter

K2_13 Ehdokkaita tai puolueita koskevat videot Internetissä, esim. YouTube

K3. Kuinka usein käytätte Internetiä? LUETTELE

- 1 Päivittäin, yli 2 tuntia päivässä
- 2 Päivittäin, alle 2 tuntia päivässä
- 3 Muutamia kertoja viikossa
- 4 Noin kerran viikossa
- 5 Harvemmin
- 6 En lainkaan

K4. Jos ei ajatella pelkästään vaalien läheisiä aikoja, niin kuinka usein yleensä keskusteltelette politiikasta ja yhteiskunnallisista kysymyksistä muiden ihmisten kanssa? LUETELLAAN 1-5

- 1 Päivittäin tai lähes päivittäin
- 2 Usein
- 3 Joskus
- 4 Harvoin
- 5 En koskaan

6 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K5. Kuinka vakiintunut oma puoluekantanne on? LUETTELE 1-4

- 1 Täysin vakiintunut
- 2 Melko vakiintunut
- 3 Ei kovinkaan vakiintunut
- 4 Ei lainkaan vakiintunut

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K6. Mihin seuraavista ryhmistä samastutte ensisijaisesti? (vain yksi vaihtoehto)

- 1 Suomalaiset yleensä
- 2 Suomenkieliset suomalaiset
- 3 Ruotsinkieliset suomalaiset
- 4 Muu kansallinen tai kielellinen ryhmä

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K7. Mihin yhteiskuntaluokkaan katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne? KORTTI

- 1 Työväenluokka
- 2 Alempi keskiluokka
- 3 Keskiluokka
- 4 Ylempi keskiluokka
- 5 Yläluokka
- 6 En mihinkään luokkaan

7 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K8. Kuinka monen eri puolueen ehdokkaita olette elämäenne aikana äänestänyt eduskuntavaaleissa? LUETTELE 1-4

- 1 Olen aina äänestänyt saman puolueen ehdokkaita
- 2 Kahden tai kolmen eri puolueen ehdokkaita
- 3 Neljän tai useamman puolueen ehdokkaita
- 4 En ole äänestänyt koskaan

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Asenneväittämiä

K9. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä? Oletteko täysin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa? LUETTELE

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
- 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
- 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
- 4 Täysin eri mieltä

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

- K9_1 On jokaisen oma asia, käyttääkö hän vaaleissa äänioikeuttaan vai ei
- K9_2 Demokratialla voi olla ongelmansa, mutta se on parempi kuin muut hallitusmuodot
- K9_3 Tärkeät yhteiskunnalliset kysymykset pitäisi entistä useammin päättää kansanäänestyksellä
- K9_4 Keskustelen politiikasta ja yhteiskunnallisista kysymyksistä mieluiten samaa mieltä olevien henkilöiden kanssa
- K9_5 Edustuksellisen demokratian tukena tulisi järjestää tavallisille kansalaisille tarkoitettuja poliittisia keskustelutilaisuuksia
- K9_6 Haluaisin itse osallistua kansalaisille tarkoitettuihin poliittisiin keskustelutilaisuuksiin
- K9_7 Vältän sellaisten ihmisten seuraa, joiden arvot, asenteet tai mielipiteet poikkeavat omistani
- K9_8 Eduskuntavaaleissa minulle on tärkeää, että ehdokkaallani on todella mahdollisuus tulla valituksi eduskuntaan
- K9_9 Pidän äänestämässä käyntiä kansalaisvelvollisuutena
- K9_10 Suomen nykyinen vaalijärjestelmä on mielestäni toimiva, eikä sitä ole tarvetta muuttaa
- K9_11 Halutessaan äänestäjän tulisi eduskuntavaaleissa voida äänestää vain puoluetta tarvitsematta valita ehdokasta

K10. Seuraavassa on joukko erilaisia yhteiskunnallisen osallistumisen muotoja. Mitä näistä olette tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana, tai jos tuntuksitte asian tärkeäksi mitä saattaisitte tehdä. KORTTI

1. Olen tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana
2. En ole tehnyt, mutta saattaisin tehdä
3. En tekisi missään tapauksessa

4. En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

- K10_1 Kirjoittaa yleisönosastoon
- K10_2 Ottaa yhteyttä poliittisiin päätöksentekijöihin jossakin tärkeässä asiassa
- K10_3 Kirjoittaa nimenne vetoomuksiin tai nimenkeräyksiin

- K10_4 Osallistua poliittisen puolueen toimintaan
K10_5 Osallistua muun järjestön toimintaan
K10_6 Tehdä omia ostopäätöksiäni siten, että voisin kulutustavoillani edistää luonnon suojelua
K10_7 Tehdä omia ostopäätöksiäni siten, että voisin kuluttajana vaikuttaa yhteiskunnallisiin tai poliittisiin asioihin
K10_8 Osallistua boikottiin, maksu- tai ostolakkoon
K10_9 Osallistua rauhanomaisiin mielenosoituksiin
K10_10 Osoittaa kansalaistottelemattomuutta osallistumalla väkivallattomaan laittomaan toimintaan
K10_11 Osallistua sellaisiin mielenosoituksiin, joissa aiemmin on ilmennyt väkivaltaa
K10_12 Käyttää väkivaltaa poliittisten päämäärien saavuttamiseksi

K11. Oletteko jonkin poliittisen puolueen jäsen?

- 1 Olen
2 En ole, mutta olen ollut aikaisemmin
3 En ole koskaan ollut minkään poliittisen puolueen jäsen

K12. Ottiko joku ehdokkaista tai jokin poliittisista puolueista teihin yhteyttä kevään eduskuntavaalikampanjan aikana äänenne saamiseksi?

- 1 Kyllä
2 Ei
3 En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

K13. Oletteko toiminut jonkun ehdokkaan tukiryhmässä eduskuntavaaleissa? LUETTELE 1-3

- 1 Kyllä, toimin nyt käydyissä vaaleissa
2 En nyt käydyissä vaaleissa, mutta olen toiminut aikaisemmin
3 En ole toiminut koskaan

K14. On olemassa erilaisia käsityksiä siitä, ketä kansanedustajan tulisi ensisijaisesti edustaa. Mitä mieltä itse olette? Numeroikaa vastausvaihtoehdot tärkeysjärjestykseen siten, että tärkein on 1, toiseksi tärkein on 2 jne. KORTTI

- ☐ Omia äänestäjiään
☐ Kaikkia oman vaalipiirinsä äänestäjiä
☐ Oman puolueensa äänestäjiä
☐ Suomen kansaa
☐ Jonkin yhteiskuntaryhmän jäseniä

Asenneväittämiä

K15. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä? Oletteko täysin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa?

LUETTELE

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
- 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
- 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
- 4 Täysin eri mieltä

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K15_1 Äänestäminen on ainoa tapa, jolla tavalliset ihmiset voivat vaikuttaa politiikkaan

K15_2 Kansanedustajat etäännyvät nopeasti tavallisten ihmisten ongelmista

K15_3 Poliitikot eivät välitä tavallisten ihmisten mielipiteistä

K15_4 Minulla ei ole mitään sananvaltaa siihen, mitä maan hallitus ja eduskunta päättävät

K15_5 Puolueiden kautta kansalaisten mielipiteet tulevat päätöksenteossa huomioon otetuiksi

K15_6 Puolueet ovat kiinnostuneet pelkästään ihmisten äänistä, eivät heidän mielipiteistään

K15_7 Joskus politiikka vaikuttaa niin monimutkaiselta, että en oikein ymmärrä, mitä on tekeillä

K15_8 Äänestämällä voi vaikuttaa asioihin

K15_9 On yhdentekevää, mitkä puolueet ovat hallituksessa, harjoitettava politiikka ei siitä muutu

K15_10 Puolueiden pitäisi vaalikampanjansa yhteydessä selvästi ilmoittaa, minkä muiden puolueiden kanssa ne haluaisivat muodostaa hallituksen

CSES MODULE 3 (2006-2011):

Q1a. Mikä oli teille henkilökohtaisesti näiden eduskuntavaalien tärkein asiakysymys? (avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

Q1b. Mikä oli teille henkilökohtaisesti näiden eduskuntavaalien toiseksi tärkein asiakysymys? (avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

001-996. [VASTAUSVAIHTOEHTOKOODIT]

997. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

998. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

999. MISSING

Q2a. Mikä mielestänne on Suomen vakavin yhteiskunnallinen ongelma tällä hetkellä? (avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

Q2b. Mikä mielestänne on Suomen toiseksi vakavin yhteiskunnallinen ongelma tällä hetkellä? (avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

001-996. [VASTAUSVAIHTOEHTOKOODIT]

997. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

998. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

999. MISSING

Q3a. Mikä puolue kykenee mielestänne parhaiten hoitamaan mainitsemaanne Suomen vakavinta yhteiskunnallista ongelmaa? VAIN YKSI

Q3b. Mikä puolue kykenee mielestänne parhaiten hoitamaan mainitsemaanne Suomen toiseksi vakavinta yhteiskunnallista ongelmaa? VAIN YKSI

01-95. [PUOLUEKODIT]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

96. EI MIKÄÄN NÄISTÄ PUOLUEISTA

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q4 Joidenkin mielestä sillä on paljon merkitystä kenen hallussa on poliittinen päätösvalta. Toisten mielestä ei ole mitään merkitystä kenen hallussa valta on. Käyttämällä oheista asteikkoa, mitä mieltä itse olette? KORTTI

1. EI OLE **MITÄÄN** MERKITYSTÄ KENELLÄ ON VALTA
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. ON **PALJON** MERKITYSTÄ KENELLÄ ON VALTA

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

9. MISSING

Q5. Joidenkin mielestä sillä, ketä äänestää, ei ole mitään vaikutusta asioiden kulkuun. Toisten mielestä sillä, ketä äänestää, on paljon merkitystä. Käyttämällä oheista asteikkoa, mitä mieltä itse olette? KORTTI

1. IHMISTEN ÄÄNESTYSPÄÄTÖKSILLÄ EI OLE **MITÄÄN** VAIKUTUSTA ASIOIDEN KULKUUN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. IHMISTEN ÄÄNESTYSPÄÄTÖKSILLÄ VOI OLLA **PALJON** VAIKUTUSTA ASIOIDEN KULKUUN
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q6. Entä mitä mieltä olette viime vaalikaudella toimineiden Matti Vanhasen ja Mari Kiviniemen hallitusten toiminnasta kokonaisuudessaan? Kuinka hyvin tai huonosti hallitus on mielestänne suoriutunut tehtävistään viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana?

1. ERITTÄIN HYVIN
2. HYVIN
3. HUONOSTI
4. ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q7. Oletteko sitä mieltä, että jokin Suomen puolueista edustaa näkemyksiänne suhteellisen hyvin?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q8
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

JOS KYLLÄ

[Q7a Mikä puolue edustaa näkemyksiänne parhaiten? VAIN YKSI

01-95. [PUOLUEKODIT]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue

11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
99. MISSING

Q8. Riippumatta siitä mitä mieltä olette puolueista, edustaako mielestänne joku puoluejohtajista näkemyksiänne suhteellisen hyvin?

1. KYLLÄ
2. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q9a

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

JOS KYLLÄ

Q8a. Kuka puoluejohtajista edustaa näkemyksiänne parhaiten? VAIN YKSI

01-95. [PUOLUEJOHTAJAKOODIT]

1. Jutta Urpilainen, Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Mari Kiviniemi, Suomen Keskusta
3. Jyrki Katainen, Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Stefan Wallin, Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Päivi Räsänen, Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Anni Sinnemäki, Vihreä liitto
7. Paavo Arhinmäki, Vasemmistoliitto
8. Timo Soini, Perussuomalaiset
9. Yrjö Hakanen, Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Heikki Silván, Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Hannu Harju, Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Juhani Tanski, Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Antti Pesonen, Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Terttu Savola, Köyhien Asialla
15. Pasi Palmulehto, Piraattipuolue
16. Jiri Keronen, Muutos 2011
17. Kalevi Helo, Vapauspuolue

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
99. MISSING

Q9a-i. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista puolueista? Kun olen maininnut puolueen nimen, asettakaa tämä puolue asteikolle nollasta kymmeneen. Nolla tarkoittaa, ettette pidä puolueesta lainkaan ja kymmenen, että pidätte puolueesta erittäin paljon. Kertokaa myös mikäli ette ole kuullut puolueesta tai ette tiedä siitä tarpeeksi voidaksenne tehdä arvion.

Ensimmäinen puolue on Suomen Keskusta

Toinen puolue on Kansallinen Kokoomus

Kolmas puolue on Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue

Neljäs puolue on Vasemmistoliitto

Viides puolue on Vihreä liitto

Kuudes puolue on Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue

Seitsemäs puolue on Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit

Kahdeksas puolue on Perussuomalaiset

00. EN PIDÄ LAINKAAN

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. PIDÄN ERITTÄIN PALJON

96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEESTA

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI TIEDÄ PUOLUEESTA TARPEEKSI / EI OSAA ARVIOIDA PUOLUETTA

99. MISSING

Q10a-i. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista puoluejohtajista? Kun olen maininnut puoluejohtajan nimen, asettakaa puoluejohtajat asteikolle nollasta kymmeneen. Nolla tarkoittaa, ettette pidä hänestä lainkaan ja kymmenen, että pidätte hänestä erittäin paljon. Kertokaa myös mikäli ette ole kuullut jostain puoluejohtajasta tai ette tiedä hänestä tarpeeksi voidaksenne tehdä arvion.

Ensimmäinen puoluejohtaja on Mari Kiviniemi

Toinen puoluejohtaja on Jyrki Katainen

Kolmas puoluejohtaja on Jutta Urpilainen

Neljäs puoluejohtaja on Paavo Arhinmäki

Viides puoluejohtaja on Anni Sinnemäki

Kuudes puoluejohtaja on Stefan Wallin

Seitsemäs puoluejohtaja on Päivi Räsänen

Kahdeksas puoluejohtaja on Timo Soini

00. EN PIDÄ LAINKAAN

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. PIDÄN ERITTÄIN PALJON

- 96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI TIEDÄ PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA TARPEEKSI / EI OSAA ARVIOIDA PUOLUEJOHTAJAA
- 99. MISSING

Q11a-i. Poliitikassa puhutaan joskus vasemmistosta ja oikeistosta. Käytämme asteikkoa, jossa nolla tarkoittaa eniten vasemmalla ja kymmenen eniten oikealla. Mihin kohtaan sijoittaisitte Suomen Keskustan tällä asteikolla?

- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Kansallisen Kokoomuksen?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Suomen Sosialidemokraattisen Puolueen?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Vasemmistoliiton?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Vihreän liiton?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Ruotsalaisen kansanpuolueen?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Perussuomalaiset?

00. VASEMMISTO

- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.
- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.

10. OIKEISTO

- 95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)
- 96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEESTA (SPONTAANI)
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q12a-i. Entä mihin sijoittaisitte Mari Kiviniemen käyttäen samaa asteikkoa?

- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Jyrki Kataisen?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Jutta Urpilaisen?
- Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Timo Soinin?

00. VASEMMISTO

- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.
- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.

10. OIKEISTO

- 95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)
- 96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA (SPONTAANI)
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q13. Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte itsenne?

- 00. VASEMMISTO
- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.
- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.
- 10. OIKEISTO

- 95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q17. Millä tavoin puolueet erottuivat toisistaan vaalikampanjan aikana? Olivatko erot mielestänne suuria, pieniä, vai eikö eroja ollut lainkaan?

- 1. EROT OLIVAT SUURIA
- 2. EROT OLIVAT PIENIÄ
- 3. EROJA EI OLLUT LAINKAAN
- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 9. MISSING

Q18. Kuinka tarkkaan seurasitte vaalikampanjointia?

- 1. TARKKAAN
- 2. MELKO TARKKAAN
- 3. EN KOVINKAAN TARKKAAN
- 4. EN JUURI LAINKAAN
- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 9. MISSING

Q19. Oletteko yleensä ottaen erittäin tyytyväinen, melko tyytyväinen, ette kovinkaan tyytyväinen vai ette lainkaan tyytyväinen demokratian toimivuuteen Suomessa?

- 1. ERITTÄIN TYYTYVÄINEN
- 2. MELKO TYYTYVÄINEN

3. EN KOVINKAAN TYYTYVÄINEN

4. EN LAINKAAN TYYTYVÄINEN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

9. MISSING

Q20. Katsotteko yleensä olevanne lähellä jotakin poliittista puoluetta?

1. KYLLÄ -> Siirtykää kysymykseen Q20b

5. EN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

9. MISSING

Q20a. Koetteko olevanne hieman lähempänä jotakin puoluetta muihin puolueisiin verrattuna?

1. KYLLÄ

5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

9. MISSING

Q20b. JOS KYLLÄ KYSYMYKSESSÄ Q20 TAI Q20a. Mitä puoluetta? VAIN YKSI

01-95. [PUOLUEKODIT]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

99. MISSING

Q20c. JOS PUOLUE MAINITTU KYSYMYKSESSÄ Q20b. Koetteko tämän puolueen erittäin läheiseksi, melko läheiseksi, vai ette kovinkaan läheiseksi?

1. ERITTÄIN LÄHEISEKSI
2. MELKO LÄHEISEKSI
3. EN KOVINKAAN LÄHEISEKSI
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q21. Nykyisin monet jättävät syystä tai toisesta äänestämättä vaaleissa. Jätittekö te näissä eduskuntavaaleissa äänestämättä? Jos äänestitte, äänestittekö ennakoon vai varsinaisena vaalipäivänä?

- 1 JÄTIN TÄLLÄ KERTAA ÄÄNESTÄMÄTTÄ
- 2 KÄVIN ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ ENNAKKOON
- 3 KÄVIN ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ VARSINAISENA VAALIPÄIVÄNÄ
- 4 EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 6 EI ÄÄNIOIKEUTTA (SPONTAANI)
- 9 MISSING

Q21a. JOS EI ÄÄNESTÄNYT: Jos olisitte käynyt äänestämässä, minkä puolueen (tai poliittisen ryhmittymän) ehdokasta olisitte äänestänyt?

(Useamman vaihtoehdon valinta mahdollinen) Kortti, jossa puolueet ja pitää pyytää mainitsemaan puolueet siinä järjestyksessä, jossa harkittu

01-95. [PUOLUEKODIT] -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

96. EN MITÄÄN NIISTÄ (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.

98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
99. MISSING

Q21c. JOS ÄÄNESTI: Minkä puolueen (tai poliittisen ryhmittymän) ehdokasta äänestitte nyt käydyissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

96. ÄÄNESTI TYHJÄÄ

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
99. MISSING

Q22a. Harkitsitteko minkään muun puolueen tai poliittisen ryhmittymän ehdokkaan äänestämistä?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23c
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q22c.
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q22c.
9. MISSING

**Q22b. Minkä puolueen tai puolueiden? (monivalinta mahd.)
Kortti, jossa puolueet ja pitää pyytää mainitsemaan puolueet siinä järjestyksessä, jossa harkittu**

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Muutos 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
99. MISSING

Q22c. Oliko jokin näihin vaaleihin osallistunut puolue (tai poliittinen ryhmittymä), jonka edustajaa ette missään nimessä äänestäisi?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
9. MISSING

Q22d. Mikä puolue tai poliittinen ryhmittymä tai mitkä puolueet/ryhmittymät? (monivalinta mahd.) Kortti, jossa puolueet ja pitää pyytää mainitsemaan puolueet siinä järjestyksessä, jossa harkittu.

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

1. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue (SDP)
2. Suomen Keskusta
3. Kansallinen Kokoomus
4. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
5. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
6. Vihreä liitto
7. Vasemmistoliitto
8. Perussuomalaiset
9. Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue - Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta
12. Suomen Työväenpuolue
13. Itsenäisyyspuolue
14. Köyhien Asialla

- 15. Piraattipuolue
- 16. Muutos 2011
- 17. Vapauspuolue

- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q23. Äänestittekö neljä vuotta sitten, vuoden 2007 eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1. KYLLÄ
- 5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a
- 6. EI ÄÄNIOIKEUTTA EDELLISSÄ VAALEISSA
-> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a
- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a.
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a.
- 9. MISSING

Q23b. Minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän ehdokasta silloin äänestitte?

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

- 1 KESK (Suomen Keskusta)
- 2 SDP (Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue)
- 3 KOK (Kansallinen Kokoomus)
- 4 VAS (Vasemmistoliitto)
- 5 VIHR (Vihreä liitto)
- 6 RKP (Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue)
- 7 KD (Kristillisdemokraatit)
- 8 PS (Perussuomalaiset)
- 9 Suomen Työväenpuolue
- 10 Itsenäisyyspuolue
- 11 Liberaalit
- 12 Muutosvoimat Suomi
- 13 Köyhien Asialla
- 14 Yhteisvastuu puolue
- 15 Suomen Isänmaallinen kansanliike
- 16 Suomen Kansan Sinivalkoiset
- 17 Suomen Kommunistinen Puolue
- 18 Suomen Senioripuolue
- 19 Rauhan ja Sosialismin puolesta - Kommunistinen Työväenpuolue

- 96 Ei mikään näistä puolueista
- 97 Ei halua sanoa (SPONTAANI)
- 98 Ei osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)
- 99 Missing

Q24a-g. Tietotasokysymykset

Q24a Kuka seuraavista oli vuonna 2010 Suomen hallituksen ulkoministeri?

Erkki Tuomioja
Astrid Thors
Olli Rehn
Alexander Stubb

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24b Mikä seuraavista on paikkamäärältään juuri valitun eduskunnan neljänneksi suurin puolue?

Kansallinen Kokoomus
Suomen Keskusta
Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue
Perussuomalaiset

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24c Ketkä saavat äänestää Suomen eduskuntavaaleissa?

Kaikki 18 vuotta täyttäneet Suomessa asuvat Suomen kansalaiset
Kaikki 18 vuotta täyttäneet Suomen kansalaiset asuinmaasta riippumatta
Suomalaisten lisäksi Suomessa asuvat 18 vuotta täyttäneet Euroopan unionin jäsenmaiden kansalaiset
Kaikki ne 18 vuotta täyttäneet Suomen kansalaiset, jotka eivät oikeuden päätöksellä ole menettäneet kansalaisluottamustaan esim. vankeusrangaistuksen vuoksi

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24d Mitä mielestänne tarkoitetaan parlamentaarisella hallitusmuodolla? KORTTI

Kansanvaltaa
Sitä että eduskunta nauttii hallituksen luottamusta
Sitä että hallitus nauttii eduskunnan luottamusta
Sitä että hallitus nauttii presidentin luottamusta

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24e Kuinka suuri osuus Suomessa asuvista on ulkomaiden kansalaisia? KORTTI

Alle 1 prosenttia
Noin 3 prosenttia

Noin 8 prosenttia
Noin 13 prosenttia

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24f Mikä seuraavista neljästä on suurin Suomessa asuvien ulkomaiden kansalaisten ryhmä?

Vietnamilaiset
Venäläiset
Somalit
Saksalaiset

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Q24g Millä nimellä tunnetaan Euroopan unionin (EU) vuoden 2009 lopussa voimaan astunut perussopimus? LUETTELE

Geneven sopimus
Schengenin sopimus
Lissabonin sopimus
Maastrichtin sopimus

EN HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
MISSING

Sitten vielä joitakin kysymyksiä äänestämisestä.

Kysymyksiä niille, jotka eivät äänestäneet (K16–K17). Muut siirtyvät kysymykseen K18.

K16. Seuraavaksi luetellaan erilaisia äänestämättä jättämisen syitä. Missä määrin seuraavat syyt vaikuttivat siihen, että ette käynyt äänestämässä näissä vaaleissa? KORTTI

	Oli tärkeä syy	Vaikutti jonkin verran	Ei vaikuttanut	EOS
1 Minun oli vaikea löytää itselleni sopivaa puoluetta	1	2	3	4
2 Minun oli vaikea löytää itselleni sopivaa ehdokasta	1	2	3	4
3 En voinut käydä äänestämässä työesteen tai matkan vuoksi	1	2	3	4
4 En voinut käydä äänestämässä sairauden tai korkean iän vuoksi	1	2	3	4
5 En muistanut käydä äänestämässä	1	2	3	4
6 En vain viitsinyt käydä äänestämässä	1	2	3	4
7 En katsonut äänestämisestä olevan itselleni mitään hyötyä	1	2	3	4
8 Mielestäni yksi ääni ei olisi merkinnyt mitään vaalin lopputulokseen	1	2	3	4
9 Tunnen epäluottamusta poliitikkoja ja yleensä politiikkaa kohtaan	1	2	3	4
10 Halusin protestoida politiikkaa ja poliitikkoja vastaan	1	2	3	4
11 Politiikka ei kiinnosta minua ja äänestäminen on minulle yhdentekevää	1	2	3	4
12 Vaalirahakohu	1	2	3	4

K17. Kuinka itsestään selvää äänestämättä jättäminen oli Teille? LUETTELE

- 1 Itsestään selvää
- 2 Äänestämässä käynti kävi kyllä mielessä, mutta ette harkinnut sitä vakavasti
- 3 Harkitsitte vakavasti myös äänestämässä käyntiä

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Kysymyksiä niille, jotka äänestivät (K18–K28)

K18. Kumpi asia oli loppujen lopuksi teille tärkeämpi äänestäessänne, puolue vai ehdokas?

- 1 Puolue tärkeämpi
- 2 Ehdokas tärkeämpi

3 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K19. Kuinka paljon seuraavassa lueteltavat syyt vaikuttivat puoluevalintaanne näissä eduskuntavaaleissa? KORTTI

- 1 Ratkaisevasti
- 2 Melko paljon
- 3 Jonkin verran
- 4 Ei lainkaan

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Puolueen edustajien esiintyminen television vaaliohjelmissa
Puolue ajaa sen ammattiryhmän etuja, johon itse kuulun
Puolueella on hyvä puheenjohtaja
Puolueen arvomaailma
Puolueella on hyvä linja monissa viime aikoina esillä olleissa kysymyksissä
Puolueella on päteviä henkilöitä hoitamaan maassa harjoitettavaa politiikkaa
Puolueen toiminta edellisen vaalikauden aikana
Puolueen onnistunut vaalikampanja
Puolueen puheenjohtaja oli mielestäni sopivin pääministeriksi
Halu vaikuttaa maan tulevaan hallituskoostumukseen

K20 Kysymykset ainoastaan Perussuomalaisten ehdokasta äänestäneille

Missä määrin seuraavat asiat vaikuttivat siihen, että äänestitte näissä vaaleissa Perussuomalaisten ehdokasta? Vaikuttiko asia ratkaisuunne

- 1 Ratkaisevasti
- 2 Melko paljon
- 3 Jonkin verran
- 4 Ei lainkaan

5 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Viime vaalikaudelle ajoittunut vaalirahakohu
Perussuomalaisten gallup-menestys ja usko puolueen ehdokkaiden läpimenoon
Puolueen puheenjohtajan Timo Soinin ominaisuudet
Äänestämäni ehdokkaan ominaisuudet
Halu antaa protestiääni näissä eduskuntavaaleissa
Epäluottamus aiemmin äänestämäni puoluetta kohtaan
Epäluottamus aiemmin äänestämäni ehdokasta kohtaan
Halu saada liikettä jämähtäneeseen puoluejärjestelmään
Halu tiukentaa Suomen maahanmuuttopolitiikkaa ja maahanmuuttajien etuja
Halu hillitä Suomen sitoutumista Euroopan unionin ja sen jäsenyyssvelvoitteisiin
Pakollisen ruotsin kielen opetuksen vastustaminen
Halu vahvistaa perinteisten arvojen asemaa suomalaisessa yhteiskunnassa
Perussuomalaisten ajamien muiden poliittisten tavoitteiden kannattaminen

B Mikä mainituista tai muista tekijöistä oli tärkein syyne äänestää näissä eduskuntavaaleissa Perussuomalaisten ehdokasta? (avokysymys)

KAIKILLE ÄÄNESTÄNEILLE

K21. Missä määrin seuraavat seikat vaikuttivat siihen, ketä ehdokasta äänestitte? KORTTI

1. Ratkaisevasti
2. Melko paljon
3. Jonkin verran
4. Ei lainkaan

5. EOS (SPONTAANI)

Ehdokkaan ikä
Ehdokkaan sukupuoli
Ehdokkaan koulutus
Ehdokkaan asuinpaikka
Ehdokkaan aiempi kokemus politiikasta
Ehdokkaan luotettavuus
Ehdokkaan kyvykyys hoitaa asioita
Ehdokkaan ulkoinen olemus ja tyyli
Ehdokas oli entuudestaan tuttu julkisuudesta
Ehdokkaan näkemykset ja kannanotot
Ehdokkaan puoluekanta
Ehdokkaan vaalikampanja ja vaalimainokset
Ehdokkaan mahdollisuudet tulla valituksi
Ehdokkaan vaalirahoituksen avoimuus
Ehdokkaan linja maahanmuuttopolitiikassa

Ehdokkaan vastaukset Internetin vaalikoneissa
Ehdokkaan tai hänen puolueensa muu esilläolo Internetissä

K22. Jos valitsemanne ehdokas olisi edustanut jotakin muuta puoluetta, olisitteko silti äänestänyt häntä?

- 1 Olisin äänestänyt häntä täysin riippumatta hänen puolueestaan
- 2 Olisin äänestänyt häntä jonkin toisenkin minulle soveltuvan puolueen ehdokkaana
- 3 En olisi äänestänyt häntä minkään muun puolueen ehdokkaana

4. EOS (SPONTAANI)

K23. Äänestittekö omaa sukupuoltanne olevaa ehdokasta?

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 En

3 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K24. Minkä ikäistä ehdokasta äänestitte? LUETTELE

- 1 Äänestin suurin piirtein ikäistäni ehdokasta
- 2 Äänestin selvästi itseäni nuorempaa ehdokasta
- 3 Äänestin selvästi itseäni vanhempaa ehdokasta

4 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K25. Äänestittekö samaa ehdokasta edellisissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 En

3 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K26. Millä tavoin tunsitte ehdokkaan, jota äänestitte? KORTTI

- 1 Tunsin henkilökohtaisesti
- 2 Ystävä, tuttava tai sukulainen tuns i ehdokkaan henkilökohtaisesti
- 3 Tunsin ehdokkaan toimintaa tiedotusvälineiden perusteella jo ennen vaalikampanjaa
- 4 Äänestin ehdokasta vaalikampanjan perusteella
- 5 Valitsin ehdokkaan tuntematta häntä tarkemmin

6 EN OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K27. Missä vaiheessa päätitte puolueen, jonka ehdokasta äänestitte? KORTTI (KORTTI?)

- 1 Samana päivänä kun kävin äänestämässä
- 2 Joitakin päiviä ennen äänestämistäni
- 3 Noin viikkoa kahta ennen äänestämistäni
- 4 Noin kuukautta kahta ennen äänestämistäni
- 5 Päätös oli selvä jo viime vuoden puolella
- 6 Ei osaa sanoa

K28. Entä missä vaiheessa päätitte, ketä ehdokasta äänestitte? (KORTTI)

- 1 Samana päivänä kun kävin äänestämässä
- 2 Joitakin päiviä ennen äänestämistäni
- 3 Noin viikkoa kahta ennen äänestämistäni
- 4 Noin kuukautta kahta ennen äänestämistäni
- 5 Päätös oli selvä jo viime vuoden puolella
- 6 Ei osaa sanoa

Kaikille

K29. Seuraavassa on kolme esimerkkiä tilanteista, joissa erilaiset mielipiteet ovat ristiriidassa keskenään. Miten kansanedustajan tulisi Teidän mielestänne äänestää näissä kolmessa tilanteessa? Valitkaa kahdesta vaihtoehdosta aina se, jota Te kannatatte. KORTTI

- | | |
|---|---|
| a. Jos oman vaalipiirin äänestäjien mielipide on ristiriidassa kansanedustajan puolueen mielipiteen kanssa | ☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää puolueensa mielipiteen mukaan

☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää vaalipiirin äänestäjien mielipiteen mukaan |
| b. Jos kansanedustajan mielipide jostakin tietyistä asiakysymyksestä on ristiriidassa oman vaalipiirin äänestäjien mielipiteen kanssa | ☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää oman mielipiteensä mukaan

☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää vaalipiirin äänestäjien mielipiteen mukaan |
| c. Jos kansanedustajan mielipide on ristiriidassa hänen puolueensa mielipiteen kanssa | ☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää oman mielipiteensä mukaan

☐ Kansanedustajan tulisi äänestää puolueensa mielipiteen mukaan |

K30. Missä määrin luotatte tai ette luota seuraaviin tahoihin? Arvioikaa kutakin tahoa asteikolla nollasta kymmeneen, missä nolla tarkoittaa ”en luota ollenkaan” ja 10 ”luotan täydellisesti”.

Tasavallan presidentti
Poliittiset puolueet
Eduskunta
Suomen hallitus (valtioneuvosto)
Poliitikot
Euroopan unioni
Oikeuslaitos
Virkamiehet
Evankelis-luterilainen kirkko
Poliisi
Ammattiyhdistysliike

K31. Voiko mielestänne ihmisiin luottaa, vai onko niin, että ihmisten suhteen ei voi olla liian varovainen. Kertokaa mielipiteenne asteikolla nollasta kymmeneen, jossa nolla tarkoittaa, ettei ihmisten kanssa voi olla liian varovainen ja 10 sitä, että useimpiin ihmisiin voi luottaa.

0 Ei voi olla liian varovainen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 Useimpiin ihmisiin voi luottaa

TAUSTAMUUTTUJAT

D1 Syntymävuotenne

D2 Sukupuoli

1 mies

2 nainen

D3.1. Mikä on peruskoulutuksenne?

1 Peruskoulun luokat 1-6, kansakoulu

2 Peruskoulun luokat 7-9, 10, keskikoulu

3 Vielä koulussa (peruskoulu, lukio)

4 Ylioppilas, lukio

5 Ei muodollista peruskoulutusta

D3.2. Mikä on ammatillinen koulutuksenne?

1 Vielä koulussa (ammattikoulu-, -kurssi)

2 Lyhyt ammatillinen koulutus (ammattikoulu, -kurssi)

3 Opistoasteen ammatillinen tutkinto

4 Jonkin verran ammattikorkeakoulu- tai yliopisto-opintoja

5 Ammattikorkeakoulututkinto

6 Yliopistotutkinto

7 Ei muodollista ammatillista koulutusta

D4 Siviilisäätyenne

1 Naimaton

2 Avioliitossa tai muussa rekisteröidyssä parisuhteessa

3 Avioliitossa

4 Eronnut tai asumuserossa

5 Leski

6 Muu

D5.1 Kuulutteko jäsenenä johonkin ammattiliittoon ja jos kuulutte, kuinka aktiivisesti toimitte siinä?

1 En kuulu

2 Kuulun mutta en osallistu toimintaan juuri lainkaan

3 Kuulun ja osallistun toimintaan jonkin verran

- 4 Kuulun ja osallistun toimintaan paljon
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

**D5.2 Mihin seuraavista ammatillisista keskusjärjestöistä ammattiliitonne kuuluu?
FILTTERILLÄ EDELLISESTÄ (jos kuuluu johonkin).**

- 1 SAK
- 2 STTK
- 3 AKAVA
- 4 MTK
- 5 Jokin muu, mikä? _____

D5.3. Kuinka moneen muuhun kuin työhön tai ammattiin liittyvään yhdistykseen kuulutte nykyisin? (Maksava jäsen)

- 1 En yhteenkään
- 2 Yhteensä noin ___ yhdistykseen
- 3 En osaa sanoa

**T1a Kuinka paljon yhteensä käytätte aikaa television katsomiseen tavallisina arkipäivinä?
MA-PE LOMA-AJAN ULKOPUOLELLA, AKTIIVISTA TV:N SEURAAMISTA.**

- 0 En ollenkaan → siirry d10
- 1 Alle ½ tuntia
- 2 ½ - 1 tuntia
- 3 Yli tunnin, mutta korkeintaan 1 ½ tuntia
- 4 Yli 1 ½ tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 2 tuntia
- 5 Yli 2 tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 2 ½ tuntia
- 6 Yli 2 ½ tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 3 tuntia
- 7 Yli 3 tuntia

T1b. Kuinka paljon yhteensä katsotte television uutislähetystyksiä tai politiikkaa ja ajankohtaisia tapahtumia käsitteleviä ohjelmia tavallisina arkipäivinä? HALLINTO, YHTEISKUNTAPOLITIIKKA TAI IHMISET, JOTKA LIITTYVÄT NIIHIN.

- 0 En ollenkaan
- 1 Alle ½ tuntia
- 2 ½ - 1 tuntia
- 3 Yli tunnin, mutta korkeintaan 1 ½ tuntia
- 4 Yli 1 ½ tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 2 tuntia
- 5 Yli 2 tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 2 ½ tuntia
- 6 Yli 2 ½ tuntia, mutta korkeintaan 3 tuntia
- 7 Yli 3 tuntia

D10 Mikä seuraavista vaihtoehtoista sopii Teidän elämäntilanteeseen?

- 1 kokopäivätyössä (vähintään 32 tuntia viikossa)
- 2 puolipäivätyössä (15-31 tuntia viikossa)
- 3 osa-aikatyössä (alle 15 tuntia viikossa)
- 4 avustava perheenjäsen perheyrytyksessä
- 5 työtön
- 6 opiskelija tai koululainen
- 7 eläkkeellä iän tai työvuosien perusteella
- 8 eläkkeellä muusta syystä
- 9 hoitaa kotitaloutta
- 10 varusmies- tai siviilipalveluksessa
- 11 vanhempainlomalla

D11 Mikä on Teidän nykyinen ammattinne? Jos vastaaja on eläkkeellä, viimeisin ammatti. Ilmoittakaa ammatti mahdollisimman tarkasti.

[LOPULLINEN LUOKITUS: ISCO-88 KAKSINUMEROTASOLLA]

D12 Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne

- 1 maatalousyrittäjä
- 2 muu työnantaja, muu yksityisyrittäjä, ammatinharjoittaja
- 3 johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa
- 4 ylempi toimihenkilö
- 5 alempi toimihenkilö
- 6 työntekijä
- 7 eläkeläinen
- 8 koululainen, opiskelija
- 9 kotiäiti tai -isä
- 10 työtön
- 11 muu

D13 JOS TYÖSSÄ Millä sektoreilla Te työskentelette? LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

1. valtio, kunta tai seurakunta
2. yksityinen yritys
3. yritys, jossa valtiolla tai kunnilla on merkittävä omistusoikeus
4. nk. kolmas sektori (esim. voittoa tuottamaton järjestö)

D20 Kuinka suuret ovat keskimäärin kotitaloutenne yhteenlasketut vuositulot veroja vähentämättä (= bruttotulot) mukaan laskien veronalaiset sosiaaliuudet? _____ euroa vuodessa

[Avokysymyksenä tai tiheällä luokituksella, esim. 15 luokkaa. LOPULLINEN LUOKITUS: PITÄISI PYSTYÄ LUOKITTELEMAAN KVINTIILEINÄ]

D21-D22

Kuinka monta henkilöä kotitaloudessanne asuu? Yhteensä: __henkilöä

Heistä on 18-vuotta täyttäneitä (vanhempia ja täysi-ikäisiä lapsia yhteensä):_ henkilöä

Heistä on 7-17-vuotiaita lapsia tai nuoria:_ henkilöä

Heistä on 6-vuotiaita tai sitä nuorempia lapsia:_ henkilöä

D24 Kuinka uskonnollisena pidätte itseänne?

- 1 en lainkaan uskonnollisena
- 2 en kovinkaan uskonnollisena
- 3 jonkin verran uskonnollisena
- 4 hyvin uskonnollisena

D25 Kuulutteko johonkin kirkkoon tai uskonnolliseen yhteisöön?

1. evankelis-luterilaiseen kirkkoon
2. ortodoksiseen kirkkoon
3. roomalaiskatoliseen kirkkoon
4. muuhun kirkkoon tai uskonnolliseen yhteisöön, mihin? _____
5. en mihinkään

D26_1 Äidinkieli

- 1 suomi
- 2 ruotsi
- 3 jokin muu kieli, mikä? _____

D26_2 Mitä kieltä tai kieliä kotonanne yleensä käytetään?

- 1 vain suomea
- 2 vain ruotsia

- 3 suomea ja ruotsia
- 4 suomea ja jotain muuta kieltä
- 5 ruotsia ja jotain muuta kieltä
- 6 vain muita kieliä kuin suomea tai ruotsia

T2. Katsotteko kuuluvanne Suomessa johonkin etniseen vähemmistöryhmään?
(Ohje: Etnisellä ryhmällä tarkoitetaan esim. syntyperän tai rodun suhteen erottuvaa ryhmää, johon samastutte tai jonka jäsen olette.)

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 En

D27 Asuinpaikkakuntanne (avokysymys)

30 Asutteko...

- 1 kaupungin keskustassa
- 2 esikaupunkialueella tai kaupunkilähiössä
- 3 kuntakeskuksessa tai muussa taajamassa
- 4 maaseudun haja-asutusalueella?

D30b. Asuitteko nykyisen vaalipiirinne alueella myös edellisten, vuoden 2007 eduskuntavaalien aikaan?

- 1 kyllä
- 2 en

D31 Vaalipiiri [JOHDETAAN KUNTAMUUTTUJASTA]

D32 Oletteko ollut työttömänä viimeksi kuluneiden 12 kuukauden aikana?

- 1 en
- 2 kyllä, yhteensä n. ____ kuukautta

D33a. Asutteko?

- 1 vuokra-asunnossa
- 2 omistamassanne tai perheenne omistamassa asunnossa
- 3 asumisoikeus- tai osaomistusasunnossa
- 4 joku muu

REKRY1 Kansallisen eduskuntavaalitutkimuksen tulokset tulevat näkyvästi esille julkisessa keskustelussa tutkimuksen valmistuttua. Osa suunnitelluista kysymyksistä ei mahtunut tämän haastattelun aikatauluun, mutta olisi hyvin tärkeää, että voisitte vielä omassa rauhassa vastata oheiseen lisäkysymyslomakkeeseen ja lähettää sitten lomakkeen oheisessa kirjekuoressa tutkimuslaitokseen. Sopiiko tämä Teille? Kaikille lomakkeen täyttäneille ja Taloustutkimukseen palauttaneille lähetetään vastaajapalkkioksi Ässä-arpa.

- 1 suostuu
- 2 ei suostu

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2653 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2011

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2653 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2011

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/sv/>

Arvoisa vastaaja

Jätämme Teille ohessa tutkimushaastattelijamme tekemään haastatteluun liittyvän ns. perävaunulomakkeen. Lomakkeella kerätään haastattelututkimusta täydentävää tietoa.

Tutkimukset kuuluvat Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2011 -tutkimushankkeeseen. Hanke on osa kansalaisten poliittista osallistumista käsittelevää kansainvälistä tutkimusta. Tutkimuksen onnistumisen kannalta on erittäin tärkeää, että vastaatte kyselyyn. Tutkimusta koordinoi Suomen yhteiskuntatieteellinen tietoarkisto. Hankkeeseen kuuluu toistakymmentä tutkijaa Helsingin, Tampereen ja Turun yliopistoista sekä Åbo Akademista. Tutkimuksen tiedonkeruusta vastaa Taloustutkimus Oy.

Taloustutkimus Oy on puolueeton tutkimuslaitos, jonka tekee markkina- ja mielipidetutkimuksia erilaisista aiheista yritysten ja kuluttajien keskuudessa. Noudatamme Kansainvälisen Kauppakamarin tutkimussääntöjä, joten kaikki vastaukset käsitellään luottamuksellisinä. Kenenkään vastaajan tietoja ei käytetä yksittäisinä vaan osana ryhmää.

Lomakkeen täyttäminen ja palauttaminen

Vastaaminen tapahtuu rastittamalla ruutu valitsemanne vastausvaihtoehdon kohdalta. Pyydämme Teitä käyttämään vastatessanne tummanväristä kynää, esimerkiksi sinistä tai mustaa kuulakärkikynää.

Lomakkeet tallennetaan koneellisesti, joten on tärkeää, että vastausrastit pysyvät vastausruutujen sisäpuolella. Mikäli teette vastausmerkintöjä vastausruutuun, johon ette ole niitä tarkoittanut, pyydämme että mustaatte koko sen ruudun, jossa väärä merkintä on.

Toivomme teidän vastaavan kysymyksiin ja palauttavan lomakkeen mukana seuraavassa Taloustutkimus Oy:n vastauskirjekuoressa mahdollisimman pian, viimeistään kuitenkin viikon kuluessa. Postimaksu on maksettu valmiiksi puolestanne.


Vastaaminen kannattaa, sillä lähetämme kaikille lomakkeen täyttäneille kiitokseksi Ässä-arvan. Saatte arpanne postittamalla lomakkeen mukana jaettavan erillisen yhteydenottokortin.

Lisätiedot

Jos haluatte saada lisätietoja tästä tutkimushankkeesta, voitte ottaa yhteyttä Suomen yhteiskuntatieteellisen tietoarkiston johtaja Sami Borgiin, puh. 050 345 0811, sähköposti: sami.borg@uta.fi. Jos haluatte kysyä jotain lomakkeen täyttämisestä, voitte soittaa Taloustutkimukseen tutkimuspäällikkö Tuomo Turjalle, puh. 09 7585 1208 tai lähettää sähköpostia: tuomo.turja@taloustutkimus.fi.

Kiitos etukäteen osallistumisestanne tutkimukseen!

TALOUSTUTKIMUS OY




Tuomo Turja
tutkimuspäällikkö

Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2011 Perävaunu

1. Kuinka tärkeitä seuraavat politiikan osa-alueet tai asiakysymykset olivat teille, kun arvioitte puoluevalintaanne juuri käydyissä eduskuntavaaleissa? (Vastatkaa kysymykseen siinäkin tapauksessa, että jätitte äänestämättä.)


	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	Ei lainkaan tärkeä	En osaa sanoa
♦ Veropolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Työllisyyspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Tuloterot	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Ympäristöasiat	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Yritys- ja elinkeinotoiminta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen talous ja kilpailukyky	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Vanhustenhoito	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Lasten ja lapsiperheiden asiat	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Sosiaalipolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Terveyspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Rikollisuuden torjunta ja mahdollisuus elää turvallisesti	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Maahanmuuttopolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kielipolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Energiapolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Ydinvoima	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Koulutuspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Asuntopolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Nuorille tärkeitä asiat	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Ulko- ja turvallisuuspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ EU-politiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Aluepolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Seksuaalivähemmistöjen asema	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Perinteiset arvot ja moraalikäsitykset	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

2. Seuraavassa on lueteltu eräitä ehdotuksia, joihin monien mielestä tulisi panostaa Suomessa tulevaisuudessa. Mitä mieltä te olette näistä ehdotuksista? Käyttäkää vastaamisessa apuna alla olevaa asteikkoa.




- Suomi, jossa on enemmän yksityisyritystä ja markkinataloutta
- Suomi, jossa on pienemmät tuloterot
- Suomi, jossa kristilliset arvot ovat suuremmissa roolissa
- Suomi, jossa on enemmän lakia ja järjestystä
- Ympäristöystävällisempi Suomi, vaikka se tarkoittaisi alhaista talouskasvua tai ei kasvua ollenkaan
- Suomi, jossa miehet ja naiset ovat keskenään tasa-arvoisempia
- Suomi, jossa vaalitaan perinteisiä suomalaisia arvoja
- Suomi, jossa vahvistetaan perheen asemaa
- Suomi, jossa ihmiset ovat työelämässä pidempään
- Suomi, jossa vahvistetaan seksuaalisten vähemmistöjen oikeuksia

...kysymys 2 jatkuu!




11. Suomi, jossa on alhaisempi verotus
12. Suomi, jossa on kaksi vahvaa kansalliskieltä, suomi ja ruotsi
13. Kansainvälisemmin suuntautunut Suomi, joka painottaa vähemmän eri kansojen ja maiden välisiä rajoja
14. Suomi, jossa on pienemmät kehityserot eri alueiden välillä
15. Suomi, jossa on suurempi julkinen sektori
16. Suomi, jossa maahanmuutto on laajamittaisempaa
17. Monikulttuurinen Suomi, jossa suhtaudutaan suvaitsevasti muista maista tuleviin ihmisiin, joilla on eri uskonto ja erilaiset elämäntavat

3. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista poliittisen päätöksenteon muodoista? Ilmaiskaa mielipiteenne käyttämällä alla olevaa asteikkoa, jossa 0 tarkoittaa "huonoin tapa tehdä poliittisia päätöksiä" ja 10 "paras tapa tehdä poliittisia päätöksiä".



1. Kansalaisten mielipiteiden säännöllinen tiedusteleminen
2. Antaa eri alojen asiantuntijoiden tehdä päätökset
3. Tärkeitä poliittisia päätöksiä koskevan kansalaisosallistumisen ja keskustelun edesauttaminen
4. Antaa vaaleissa valittujen poliitikkojen tehdä päätökset

- 4a. Suomessa puhutaan nykyään paljon työperäisestä maahanmuutosta, jolla tarkoitetaan Suomeen töiden vuoksi tapahtuvaa muuttoliikettä. Mitä mieltä olette työperäisen maahanmuuton lisäämisestä Suomessa asteikolla 0-10, jossa 0 tarkoittaa, että työperäistä maahanmuuttoa tulisi mielestänne vähentää voimakkaasti ja 10, että sitä tulisi lisätä voimakkaasti?



- 4b. Entä miten arvioisitte puolueiden suhtautuvan työperäiseen maahanmuuttoon? Minkä arvon 0-10 antaisitte kullekin seuraavalle puolueelle samalla asteikolla?

1. Suomen Keskusta
2. Kansallinen Kokoomus
3. Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue
4. Vasemmistoliitto
5. Vihreä liitto
6. Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue
7. Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
8. Perussuomalaiset

5. Kansalaiset voivat vaalikampanjan aikana saada äänestyspäätöksen kannalta tarpeellista tietoa monista eri lähteistä. Missä määrin te saatte oman äänestyspäätöksenne kannalta tärkeätä tietoa seuraavista lähteistä?

	Erittäin paljon	Melko paljon	Melko vähän	Ei lainkaan	En osaa sanoa
♦ Perheenjäseniltä, vanhemmilta tai sukulaisilta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Työ- tai opiskelukavereilta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Muilta ystäviltä ja tuttavilta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Sanomalehdistä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ TV:stä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Radiosta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Internetistä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Mobiilimediasta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

6. Internet ja sähköposti ovat avanneet uusia mahdollisuuksia poliittiseen toimintaan ja tiedon hankintaan. Oletteko viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana tehnyt, tai saattaisitteko tehdä jotain seuraavista asioista Internetissä?

	Olen tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana	En ole tehnyt, mutta saattaisin tehdä	En tekisi missään tapauksessa	En osaa sanoa
♦ Kirjoittaa nimenne Internetissä vetoomuksiin tai nimenkeräyksiin	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Ottaa verkossa yhteyttä poliittisiin päätöksentekijöihin	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Osallistua nettikeskusteluun esim. kirjoittamalla kommentteja uutismedian kotisivuilla tai poliittisissa blogeissa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Lahjoittaa netissä rahaa puolueelle tai ehdokkaalle	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Lähettää ja vastaanottaa vaaleihin liittyviä sähköposteja tai viestejä ystävien, perheenjäsenten ja tuttavien kanssa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Kirjoittaa vaaleista omassa blogissa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

7. Mitä seuraavista olette tehnyt viimeisen kuukauden aikana Internetissä ja sosiaalisessa mediassa? Merkitkää jokaisessa kohdassa, oletteko tehnyt sitä vai ette.

	Kyllä	En
♦ Käynyt verkkoyhteisöisivuilla (esim. Facebook, Twitter)	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Pitänyt yllä profiilianne jollakin verkkoyhteisöisivuilla	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Lukenut blogeja	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kommentoinut jotakin tai joitakin blogeja	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kirjoittanut omaa blogia	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Katsonut verkossa muiden tekemiä videoita tai kuunnellut muiden tekemää musiikkia	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Ladannut tai julkaissut verkossa itse ottamianne kuvia tai tekemiänne videoita, musiikkia, tarinoita, artikkeleita tms.	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kirjoittanut tai muokannut artikkeleita esim. Wikipediassa	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Liittänyt tageja verkkosivuihin, valokuviin ym.	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Lukenut keskusteluja verkon keskustelupalstoilla	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kommentoinut keskusteluja verkon keskustelupalstoilla	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Aloittanut keskusteluja verkon keskustelupalstoilla	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Seurannut verkossa jotakin kampanjaa tai toimintaryhmää	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Osallistunut verkossa johonkin kampanjaan tai toimintaryhmään	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Aloittanut verkossa jonkin kampanjan tai toimintaryhmän	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Levittänyt tietoa erilaisista tapahtumista niissä verkko-yhteisöissä, joihin kuulutte	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Tilannut RSS-syötteitä	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kuunnellut podcast-lähetyksiä	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Tuottanut podcast-lähetyksiä	☐ 1	☐ 2

8. Jos Internetin avulla voisi äänestämällä ilmaista mielipiteensä eduskunnan käsittelyssä oleviin kysymyksiin viikoittain, miten te menettelisitte? (Valitkaa vain yksi vaihtoehto)

- 1 ☐ Äänestäisin Internetin välityksellä joka viikko tai lähes joka viikko
 2 ☐ Äänestäisin Internetin välityksellä vain kun eduskunta käsittelee minua kiinnostavia asioita
 3 ☐ Voisin äänestää Internetin välityksellä satunnaisesti, mutta asia ei ole minulle tärkeä
 4 ☐ En käyttäisi Internetiä, sillä kansanedustajien kuuluu päättää asioista
 5 ☐ En osaa sanoa

9. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista ehdokasvalintanne koskevista väittämistä vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaleissa? Jos ette äänestänyt siirtykää suoraan kysymykseen 12.

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Ei samaa eikä eri mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
♦ Äänestän yleensä vaaleissa omaa sukupuoltani olevaa ehdokasta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Minulle oli tärkeää, että oma sukupuoleni tulee päätöksenteossa hyvin edustetuksi	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Minulle oli tärkeää, että ehdokas kykenee ajamaan omalle sukupuolelleni tärkeitä asioita	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Miehet soveltuvat naisia paremmin päätöksentekijöiksi	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Naiset ovat päätöksentekijöinä miehiä paremmin perillä tavallisten ihmisten asioista	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6

10. Kuinka helppoa tai vaikeata teille oli valita puolue, jonka ehdokasta äänestitte?

- 1 ☐ Erittäin helppoa
 2 ☐ Melko helppoa
 3 ☐ Melko vaikeata
 4 ☐ Erittäin vaikeata
 5 ☐ En osaa sanoa

11. Kuinka helppoa tai vaikeata teille oli löytää sopiva ehdokas?

- 1 ☐ Erittäin helppoa
 2 ☐ Melko helppoa
 3 ☐ Melko vaikeata
 4 ☐ Erittäin vaikeata
 5 ☐ En osaa sanoa

12. Kumpaa sukupuolta oleva kansanedustaja, mies vai nainen, kykenee mielestänne toimimaan paremmin seuraavissa kysymyksissä?

	Mies	Nainen	Miehet ja naiset yhtä hyviä
♦ Turvallisuuspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 2
♦ Talouspolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 2
♦ Sosiaalipolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 2
♦ Maahanmuuttopolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 2
♦ Tasa-arvopolitiikka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 2

13. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
♦ Kansanedustajat auttaisivat maatamme enemmän, mikäli he lopettaisivat puhumisen ja keskittyisivät toimimaan todellisten ongelmien ratkaisemiseksi	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kompromissien tekeminen politiikassa on todellisuudessa vain omien periaatteitten myymistä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen asiat hoituisivat paremmin, jos politiikasta päättäisivät menestyksekkäät yritysjohtajat	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen asiat hoituisivat paremmin, jos päätöksenteko annettaisiin riippumattomille asiantuntijoille poliitikkojen ja kansalaisten asemesta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen hallitus toimisi paremmin, jos sitä hoidettaisiin kuin yritystä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Puolueet pitäisi kieltää politiikassa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

...kysymys 13 jatkuu!

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
♦ Poliittisiin kampanjoihin käytettävälle rahamäärälle tulisi asettaa yläraja	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Etujärjestöjen suorat kontaktit kansanedustajiin tulisi kieltää	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Maamme kaipaa vahvoja johtajia, jotka kykenevät palauttamaan yhteiskuntaan kurin ja järjestyksen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kansanedustajien on tärkeää keskustella ja väitellä asioista perusteellisesti ennen päätöksentekoa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Pakolaisilla ja maahanmuuttajilla tulee olla sama oikeus sosiaaliturvaan kuin suomalaisilla, vaikka heillä ei olisikaan Suomen kansalaisuutta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Maahanmuutto muodostaa vakavan uhan kansalliselle omaleimaisuudellemme	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Demokratian ongelma on, että useimmat ihmiset eivät tiedä, mikä on heidän itsensä kannalta parasta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Useimmat ihmiset ovat tarpeeksi järkeviä arvioidakseen onnistuuko hallitus toimissaan	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

14. Mitä mieltä te olette seuraavista Suomen kansainvälisiä suhteita koskevista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
♦ Euroopan unionin jäsenyys on ollut Suomelle kaiken kaikkiaan myönteinen asia	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen tulee vahvistaa pohjoismaista yhteistyötä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen pitää erota EU:sta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen tulee olla aktiivisesti mukana kehittämässä EU:n puolustusyhteistyötä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen osallistuminen kansainvälisiin kriisinhallinta-tehtäviin on myönteinen asia	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen tulee liittyä NATO:n jäseneksi	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

15. Kumpi on tärkeämpää demokraattisessa yhteiskunnassa: antaa enemmistön päättää vai suojella vähemmistöjen tarpeita ja oikeuksia? (Valitkaa vain yksi vaihtoehto)

- 1 ☐ Antaa enemmistön päättää
 2 ☐ Vaikea sanoa
 3 ☐ Suojella vähemmistöjen tarpeita ja oikeuksia

16. Kansalaisten valinnanvapaudesta käydään paljon keskustelua, esimerkiksi mahdollisuudesta valita julkisten ja yksityisten palveluiden välillä tai julkisen sektorin eri laitosten tarjoamien palveluiden välillä. A:n mielestä valinnanvapautta julkisella sektorilla on huomattavasti lisättävä nykyiseen verrattuna. B:n mielestä kansalaisten valinnanvapaus on jo nykyään riittävä.

Kumman kanssa olette lähinnä samaa mieltä?

- 1 ☐ Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä A:n kanssa
 2 ☐ Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä B:n kanssa

17. Ja vielä kysymys sosiaalimenoista:

A:n mielestä sosiaaliuudistuksissa on maassamme menty jo liian pitkälle. Ihmisten pitäisi nykyistä enemmän selvittää ilman sosiaaliturvaa ja yhteiskunnan tukea. B:n mielestä toteutetut sosiaaliuudistukset on pidettävä vähintään nykyisellä tasolla.

Kumman kanssa olette lähinnä samaa mieltä?

- 1 ☐ Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä A:n kanssa
 2 ☐ Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä B:n kanssa

18. Jos ajattelette taaksepäin kahta viimeksi kulunutta vuotta, ovatko seuraavat asiat tällä hetkellä mielestänne paremmin, huonommin vai samanlaiset kuin aikaisemmin?

	Paremmin	Huonommin	Samanlaiset	En osaa sanoa
♦ Suomen taloudellinen tilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Oma tai perheen taloudellinen tilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Suomen työllisyystilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Oma tai perheen työllisyystilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

19. Entä jos ajattelette tilannetta kaksi vuotta tästä eteenpäin. Uskotteko, että seuraavat asiat ovat silloin paremmin, huonommin vai samanlaiset kuin nykyään?

	Paremmiin	Huonommin	Samanlaiset	En osaa sanoa
♦ Suomen taloudellinen tilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Oma tai perheen taloudellinen tilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Suomen työllisyystilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Oma tai perheen työllisyystilanne	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

20. Kuinka tyytyväinen tai tyytymätön olette omaan taloudelliseen tilanteeseenne?

- 1 ☐ Erittäin tyytyväinen
 2 ☐ Melko tyytyväinen
 3 ☐ Melko tyytymätön
 4 ☐ Erittäin tyytymätön
 5 ☐ En osaa sanoa

21. Entä kuinka tyytyväinen tai tyytymätön olette elämäänne yleensä?

- 1 ☐ Erittäin tyytyväinen
 2 ☐ Melko tyytyväinen
 3 ☐ Melko tyytymätön
 4 ☐ Erittäin tyytymätön
 5 ☐ En osaa sanoa

22. Poliitikassa puhutaan usein vasemmistosta ja oikeistosta. Mitä seuraavista asioista liitätte näihin käsitteisiin? (Voitte liittää käsitteen myös molempiin tai olla liittämättä sitä kumpaankaan)

	Oikeisto	Vasemmisto	Ei liity kumpaankaan
♦ Porvarillisuus	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Julkisen sektorin vahva rooli	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Työväenluokka	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Konservatiivisuus	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Tuloerojen kaventaminen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Edistys ja yhteiskunnan uudistaminen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Valtion vahva rooli talouspolitiikassa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Ympäristöystävällisyys	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Maahanmuuttomyönteisyys	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Yksityisyrittäjyyden tukeminen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Globalisaatiomyönteisyys	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Perinteiset arvot	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3

23. Poliittisesta päätöksenteosta on olemassa eri näkemyksiä. Mitä mieltä te olette? Ohessa on väittämiä, joihin voitte ottaa kantaa asettamalla oman näkemyksenne asteikolle nolasta kymmeneen, 0-10. Rastittakaa kussakin kohdassa (a, b, c) mielipidettänne vastaava numero.

a. Poliitikassa on tärkeää keskustella ja väitellä perusteellisesti ennen päätöksentekoa

Poliitikassa on tärkeää keskittyä päätöksentekoon keskustelun ja väittelyn sijaan

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

b. Tavallisten kansalaisten pitäisi tehdä poliittiset päätökset

Kansan valitsemien edustajien pitäisi tehdä poliittiset päätökset

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

c. Poliittisesti sitoutumattomien asiantuntijoiden pitäisi tehdä poliittiset päätökset

Kansan valitsemien edustajien pitäisi tehdä poliittiset päätökset

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

24. Kuinka paljon arvioitte seuraavien tekijöiden vaikuttavan suomalaisen yhteiskunnan kehitykseen tällä hetkellä?

	Erittäin paljon	Melko paljon	Melko vähän	Ei lainkaan
♦ Eduskunta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Suomen hallitus	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Tasavallan presidentti	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Kuntien päätöksentekijät	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Evankelis-luterilainen kirkko	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Tiedotusvälineet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Kansalaisjärjestöt	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Pankit	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Poliisi	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Poliittiset puolueet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Puolustusvoimat	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Elinkeinoelämä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Työnantajajärjestöt	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Ammattiyhdistysliike	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Virkamiehet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Euroopan unioni	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Yliopistot ja tutkimuslaitokset	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

25. Missä määrin olette seurannut viime vuosien vaalirahakohua?

- 1 ☐ Hyvin paljon
 2 ☐ Melko paljon
 3 ☐ En kovinkaan paljon
 4 ☐ En lainkaan

26. Miten koku vaikutti käsityksiinne poliitikoista ennen eduskuntavaaleja?

Käsitykseni poliitikoista muuttuivat...

- 1 ☐ Paljon myönteisemmiksi
 2 ☐ Jonkin verran myönteisemmiksi
 3 ☐ Jonkin verran kielteisemmiksi
 4 ☐ Paljon kielteisemmiksi
 5 ☐ Käsitykseni eivät muuttuneet lainkaan

27. Miten koku vaikutti käsityksiinne seuraavista puolueista ennen eduskuntavaaleja?

Käsitykseni puolueista muuttuivat...

	Paljon myönteisemmiksi	Jonkin verran myönteisemmiksi	Jonkin verran kielteisemmiksi	Paljon kielteisemmiksi	Eivät muuttuneet lainkaan
♦ Suomen Keskusta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kansallinen Kokoomus	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Vasemmistoliitto	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Vihreä Liitto	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Perussuomalaiset	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

28. Monet kertovat avoimesti, ketä ehdokasta he äänestävät. Olisitteko te valmis kertomaan eduskuntavaaleissa äänestämänne ehdokkaan nimen tutkimusaineiston tilastollista käsittelyä varten?
 Tietoa käsitellään luottamuksellisesti.

- 1 ☐ Kävin äänestämässä ja voin ilmoittaa ehdokkaan nimen
 2 ☐ En käynyt äänestämässä
 3 ☐ Kävin äänestämässä mutta en halua ilmoittaa ehdokkaan nimeä
 4 ☐ Kävin äänestämässä mutta en muista ehdokkaan nimeä

(Vain vaihtoehtoon 1 valinneet vastaavat kahteen seuraavaan kysymykseen.)

29. Ketä ehdokasta äänestitte? Olkaa hyvä ja kirjoittakaa ehdokkaan nimi ja puolue seuraaville viivoille.

(Vaaliipiirien ehdokastiedot löytyvät tarvittaessa Internetistä:

www.vaalit.fi ja http://192.49.229.35/E2011/s/ehd_listat/kokomaa.htm)

30. Harkitsitteko muita ehdokkaita?

- 1 ☐ En harkinnut muita ehdokkaita
- 2 ☐ Harkitsin muita ehdokkaita, mutta en kovin vakavasti
- 3 ☐ Harkitsin vakavasti myös seuraavaa ehdokasta: _____
(nimi ja puolue)

Lopuksi muutama kysymys siitä, miten luonnehditte itseänne henkilönä.

31. Pyytäisimme merkitsemään jokaisen kysymyksen kohdalla, miten mainitut ominaisuudet kuvaavat itseänne.
Ottakaa vastauksissanne huomioon molemmat mainitut ominaisuudet.

	Täysin eri mieltä	Jokseen- kin eri mieltä	Hieman eri mieltä	Ei samaa eikä eri mieltä	Hieman samaa mieltä	Jokseen- kin samaa mieltä	Täysin samaa mieltä
Olen mielestäni:							
♦ ulospäin suuntautunut, helposti innostuva	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ kriittinen, väittelynhaluinen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ luotettava, kurinalainen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ helposti huolestuva tai ärtyvä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ uusille kokemuksille avoin, moniulotteinen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ varautunut, hiljainen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ myötätuntoinen, lämmin	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ epäjärjestelmällinen, huoleton	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ rauhallinen, tunteiltaan vakaa	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ sovinainen, totutussa pitäytyvä	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7

Taloustutkimus Oy T-3140 11.4.2011 TTu/rrl

KIITOS VASTAUKSISTANNE !

taloustutkimus oy

Appendix B

Questionnaires in Swedish

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2653 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2011

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2653 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2011

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/sv/>

RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2011
Besöksintervju

HALLINNOLLISET CSES-MUUTTUJAT (A-ALKUISET)

- A1. Lomakenumero (tai muu yksittäiset haastateltavat identifioiva tunnusnumero)
- A2. Haastattelija (yksittäiset haastattelijat identifioiva tunnusnumero)
- A3. Haastattelijan sukupuoli
 - 1. Mies
 - 2. Nainen
- A4_1. Haastattelukuukausi (1-12)
- A4_2. Haastattelupäivä (1-31)
- A5. Alkuperäiset painomuuttajat
- A6. Haastattelukieli (lomakekieli)
 - 1. suomi
 - 2. ruotsi

**

SISÄLTÖMUUTTUJAT

K1. Hur intresserad är Ni av politik?

- 1 Mycket intresserad
- 2 Ganska intresserad
- 3 Bara lite intresserad
- 4 Inte alls intresserad

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K2. I vilken mån följde Ni med valet i olika medier?

- 1 Mycket
- 2 Ganska mycket
- 3 Bara lite
- 4 Inte alls

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

- K2_1 Valdebatter och partiledarintervjuer på TV
- K2_2 Nyheter och aktualitetsprogram på TV
- K2_3 TV-underhållning med politiker
- K2_4 Radioprogram
- K2_5 Nyheter, kolumner och insändare i tidningar
- K2_6 TV-reklam
- K2_7 Tidningsreklam
- K2_8 Nyhetsrapportering på Internet om valet (t.ex. tidningarnas Internetsidor)
- K2_9 Partiers och kandidaters hemsidor på Internet
- K2_10 Bloggar eller dagböcker på Internet
- K2_11 Kandidattest på Internet (s.k. valmaskiner)
- K2_12 Sociala medier (t.ex. Facebook, Twitter)
- K2_13 Partiers och kandidaters videor på Internet (t.ex. YouTube)

K3. Hur ofta använder Ni Internet?

- 1 Dagligen, mer än 2 timmar per dag

- 2 Dagligen, mindre än 2 timmar per dag
- 3 Några gånger i veckan
- 4 Ungefär en gång i veckan
- 5 Sällan
- 6 Aldrig

K4. Om Ni bortser från valrörelsen, hur ofta diskuterar Ni politik och samhällsfrågor i allmänhet med andra människor?

- 1 Dagligen eller nästan dagligen
- 2 Ofta
- 3 Ibland
- 4 Sällan
- 5 Aldrig

6 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K5. Hur stark anhängare av ett visst parti är Ni?

- 1 Mycket stark anhängare
- 2 Ganska stark anhängare
- 3 Inte särskilt stark anhängare
- 4 Inte alls stark anhängare

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K6. Vilken av följande grupper identifierar Ni Er med i första hand? (ange endast ett alternativ)

- 1 Finländare i allmänhet
- 2 Finskspråkiga finländare
- 3 Svenskspråkiga finländare
- 4 Annan nationell eller språklig grupp

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K7. Vilken samhällsklass anser Ni Er närmast tillhöra?

- 1 Arbetarklass
- 2 Lägre medelklass
- 3 Medelklass
- 4 Övre medelklass
- 5 Överklass
- 6 Jag tillhör ingen samhällsklass

7 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K8. Hur många olika partiers riksdagskandidater har Ni röstat på under Ert liv?

- 1 Jag har alltid röstat på samma partis kandidater
- 2 Två eller tre olika partiers kandidater
- 3 Fyra eller fler olika partiers kandidater
- 4 Jag har aldrig röstat

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Attitydpåståenden

K9. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden? Är Ni helt av samma åsikt, delvis av samma åsikt, delvis av annan åsikt eller helt av annan åsikt?

LÄS

- 1 Helt av samma åsikt
- 2 Delvis av samma åsikt
- 3 Delvis av annan åsikt
- 4 Helt av annan åsikt

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K9_1 Det är var och ens ensak, om man röstar eller inte.

K9_2 Demokratin kan ha sina problem, men den är bättre än andra styrelseskick

K9_3 Viktiga samhällsfrågor borde allt oftare avgöras genom folkomröstningar

K9_4 Jag diskuterar helst politik och samhällsfrågor med personer som är av samma åsikt som jag

K9_5 Politiska diskussioner för vanliga medborgare borde ordnas som stöd för den representativa demokratin

K9_6 Jag skulle själv vilja delta i dylika medborgardiskussioner

K9_7 Jag undviker sådana människors sällskap, vars värderingar, attityder eller åsikter avviker från mina egna

K9_8 I riksdagsval är det viktigt för mig att min kandidat har reella chanser att bli invald i riksdagen

K9_9 Det är en medborgerlig plikt att rösta

K9_10 Finlands nuvarande valsystem fungerar bra och det finns ingen orsak att ändra på det

K9_11 I riksdagsval borde väljarna ha möjlighet att rösta på ett parti utan att behöva välja en kandidat

K10. Nedan nämns olika former för samhällsdelaktighet. Vad av dessa har Ni gjort under de senaste fyra åren, eller om saken var väldigt viktig för Er vad skulle Ni kunna göra?

- 1. Jag har gjort detta under de senaste fyra åren
- 2. Jag har inte gjort detta, men skulle kunna göra
- 3. Jag skulle aldrig göra detta

4. Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K10_1 Skriva insändare

K10_2 Ta kontakt med politiska beslutsfattare i ett viktigt ärende

K10_3 Skriva på ett upprop eller en namninsamling

K10_4 Delta i ett politiskt partis verksamhet

K10_5 Delta i annan föreningsverksamhet

K10_6 Styra mina inköp så att jag genom mina konsumtionsvanor främjar naturskyddet

K10_7 Styra mina inköp så att jag som konsument påverkar samhällsfrågor eller politiska frågor

K10_8 Delta i en bojkott, betalnings- eller köpstrejck

K10_9 Delta i en fredlig demonstration

K10_10 Uppvisa civil olydnad genom att delta i olaglig direkt aktion

K10_11 Delta i sådana demonstrationer där det tidigare har förekommit våld

K10_12 Bruka våld för att uppnå politiska mål

K11. Är Ni medlem i något politiskt parti?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej, men har tidigare varit medlem
- 3 Nej, har aldrig varit medlem

K12. Blev Ni under vårens valkampanj kontaktad av en kandidat eller ett politiskt parti som ville övertyga Er om hur Ni skulle rösta?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej

3 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K13. Har Ni verkat i en kandidats stödgrupp inför riksdagsvalet?

- 1 Ja, jag verkade för en kandidat i hennes/hans stödgrupp inför detta val
- 2 Inte i detta val, men jag har tidigare verkat för en kandidat i hennes/hans stödgrupp
- 3 Nej, jag har aldrig tillhört en kandidats stödgrupp

K14. Det finns olika uppfattningar om vem en riksdagsledamot i första hand skall representera. Vilken är Er uppfattning? Numrera svarsalternativen i viktighetsordning, så att det viktigaste motsvarar 1, näst viktigaste 2 o.s.v.

- ☐ Sina egna väljare
- ☐ Alla väljare från den egna valkretsen
- ☐ Sitt eget partis väljarkår
- ☐ Finlands befolkning
- ☐ Medlemmar i en samhällsgrupp

Attitydpåståenden

K15. Vad tycker Ni om följande påståenden? Är Ni helt av samma åsikt, delvis av samma åsikt, delvis av annan åsikt eller helt av annan åsikt?

LÄS

- 1 Helt av samma åsikt
- 2 Delvis av samma åsikt
- 3 Delvis av annan åsikt
- 4 Helt av annan åsikt

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K15_1 Att rösta är det enda sättet för vanliga medborgare att påverka politiskt beslutsfattande

K15_2 Riksdagsledamöterna fjärras snabbt från vanliga människors problem

K15_3 Politikerna bryr sig inte om vanliga människors åsikter

K15_4 Jag kan inte påverka vad landets regering och riksdag beslutar om

K15_5 Genom partierna beaktas medborgarnas åsikter i beslutsfattandet

K15_6 Partierna är endast intresserade av människors röster, inte av deras åsikter
K15_7 Ibland känns det som om politiken är så komplicerad att jag inte riktigt förstår vad som händer
K15_8 Genom att rösta kan man påverka
K15_9 Det spelar ingen roll vilka partier som sitter i regeringen, den förda politiken förändras ändå inte
K15_10 Partierna borde i valrörelsen klart deklarerat vilka partier de vill bilda regering med

CSES MODULE 3 (2006-2011):

Q1a. För Er personligen, vilken sakfråga var den viktigaste i årets riksdagsval? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall kodas detaljerat i åtminstone 20 klasser]

Q1b. Och vilken sakfråga var den näst viktigaste för Er? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall kodas detaljerat i åtminstone 20 klasser]

001-996. [SVARSALTERNATIVSKODER]

997. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

998. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

999. MISSING

Q2a. Vilket samhälleligt problem tycker Ni är viktigast i dagens Finland? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall kodas detaljeras i åtminstone 20 klasser]

Q2b. Och vilket samhälleligt problem tycker Ni är näst viktigast? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall kodas detaljeras i åtminstone 20 klasser]

001-996. [SVARSALTERNATIVSKODER]

997. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

998. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

999. MISSING

Q3a. Om Ni tänker på det viktigaste politiska problemet i dagens Finland, vilket parti anser Ni är bäst på att lösa det?

Q3b. Om Ni tänker på det näst viktigaste politiska problemet i dagens Finland, vilket parti anser Ni är bäst på att lösa det?

01-95. [PARTIKODER]

1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
2. Centern i Finland
3. Samlingspartiet
4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
6. Gröna förbundet
7. Vänsterförbundet
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti

10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
12. Finlands Arbetarparti
13. Självständighetspartiet
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Förändring 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

96. INGET AV DESSA PARTIER
97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
99. MISSING

Q4 Somliga säger att det inte spelar någon roll vilka som sitter vid makten. Andra säger att det spelar en stor roll vilka som sitter vid makten. Om Ni använder skalan på kortet, vilken är Er uppfattning?

1. DET SPELAR **INTE NÅGON** ROLL VILKA SOM SITTER VID MAKTEN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. DET SPELAR EN **STOR** ROLL VILKA SOM SITTER VID MAKTEN
7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Q5. Somliga säger att oavsett vem folk röstar på spelar det inte någon roll för vad som händer. Andra säger att vem folk röstar på kan spela en stor roll för vad som händer. Om Ni använder skalan på kortet, vilken är Er uppfattning?

1. VEM FOLK RÖSTAR PÅ SPELAR **INTE NÅGON** ROLL FÖR VAD SOM HÄNDER
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. VEM FOLK RÖSTAR PÅ KAN SPELA EN **STOR** ROLL FÖR VAD SOM HÄNDER
7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Q6. Om Ni tänker på den regering som Matti Vanhanen och Mari Kiviniemi ledde under förra mandatperioden. Hur bra eller dåligt har regeringen som helhet lyckats med sitt uppdrag under de senaste fyra åren?

1. MYCKET BRA
2. BRA
3. DÅLIGT
4. MYCKET DÅLIGT

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

Q7. Tycker Ni att något av partierna i Finland representerar Era åsikter någorlunda väl?

- 1. JA
- 5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q8

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

OM JA

Q7a Vilket parti representerar Era åsikter bäst?

01-95. [PARTIKODER]

- 1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
- 2. Centern i Finland
- 3. Samlingspartiet
- 4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
- 5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
- 6. Gröna förbundet
- 7. Vänsterförbundet
- 8. Sannfinländarna
- 9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
- 10. Suomen Senioripuolue
- 11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
- 12. Finlands Arbetarparti
- 13. Självständighetspartiet
- 14. Köyhien Asialla
- 15. Piraattipuolue
- 16. Förändring 2011
- 17. Vapauspuolue

- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 99. MISSING

Q8. Oavsett vad Ni tycker om partierna, tycker Ni att någon av partiledarna representerar Era åsikter någorlunda väl i årets val?

- 1. JA
- 2. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q9a

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

OM JA

Q8a. Vilken partiledare representerar Era åsikter bäst?

01-95. [PARTILEDARKODER]

- 1. Jutta Urpilainen, Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
- 2. Mari Kiviniemi, Centern i Finland
- 3. Jyrki Katainen, Samlingspartiet
- 4. Stefan Wallin, Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
- 5. Päivi Räsänen, Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
- 6. Anni Sinnemäki, Gröna förbundet
- 7. Paavo Arhinmäki, Vänsterförbundet
- 8. Timo Soini, Sannfinländarna
- 9. Yrjö Hakanen, Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
- 10. Heikki Silván, Suomen Senioripuolue
- 11. Hannu Harju, Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
- 12. Juhani Tanski, Finlands Arbetarparti
- 13. Antti Pesonen, Självständighetspartiet
- 14. Terttu Savola, Köyhien Asialla
- 15. Pasi Palmulehto, Piraattipuolue
- 16. Jiri Keronen, Förändring 2011
- 17. Kalevi Helo, Vapauspuolue

- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 99. MISSING

Q9a-i. Jag skulle vilja veta vad Ni anser om vart och ett av partierna? Kan Ni placera partierna på en skala mellan 0 och 10, där 0 betyder att Ni ogillar ett parti mycket, medan 10 betyder att Ni gillar ett parti mycket. Om jag nämner ett parti Ni inte känner till, eller inte vet tillräckligt mycket om, säg bara till.

Första partiet är Centern i Finland
Andra partiet är Samlingspartiet
Tredje partiet är Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
Fjärde partiet är Vänsterförbundet
Femte partiet är Gröna förbundet
Sjätte partiet är Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
Sjunde partiet är Kristdemokraterna i Finland
Åttonde partiet är Sannfinländarna

00. OGILLAR STARKT

- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.

- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.
- 10. GILLAR STARKT

- 96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTIET
- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET INTE TILLRÄCKLIGT MYCKET OM PARTIET / KAN INTE BEDÖMA PARTIET
- 99. MISSING

Q10a-i. Vi behåller samma skala, men nu vill jag veta hur mycket Ni gillar eller ogillar några av våra partiledare. Om jag nämner en partiledare Ni inte känner till eller inte vet tillräckligt om, säg bara till.

Den första partiledaren är Mari Kiviniemi
Den andra partiledaren är Jyrki Katainen
Den tredje partiledaren är Jutta Urpilainen
Den fjärde partiledaren är Paavo Arhinmäki
Den femte partiledaren är Anni Sinnemäki
Den sjätte partiledaren är Stefan Wallin
Den sjunde partiledaren är Päivi Räsänen
Den åttonde partiledaren är Timo Soini

- 00. OGILLAR STARKT
- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.
- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.
- 10. GILLAR STARKT

- 96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTILEDAREN
- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET INTE TILLRÄCKLIGT MYCKET OM PARTILEDAREN / KAN INTE BEDÖMA PARTILEDAREN
- 99. MISSING

Q11a-i. I politiken talar man ibland om vänster och höger. Var skulle Ni placera partierna på en skala mellan 0 och 10 där 0 står för vänster och 10 står för höger?

Var skulle Ni placera Centern i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Samlingspartiet?
Var skulle Ni placera Finlands Socialdemokratiska parti?
Var skulle Ni placera Vänsterförbundet?
Var skulle Ni placera Gröna Förbundet?
Var skulle Ni placera Svenska folkpartiet i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Kristdemokraterna i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Sannfinländarna?

00. VÄNSTER

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. HÖGER

95. KÄNNER INTE TILL UPPDELNINGEN VÄNSTER-HÖGER (SPONTAN)

96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTIET (SPONTAN)

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q12a-i. Var skulle Ni placera Mari Kiviniemi på samma skala?

Var skulle Ni placera Jyrki Katainen på samma skala?

Var skulle Ni placera Jutta Urpilainen på samma skala?

Var skulle Ni placera Timo Soini på samma skala?

00. VÄNSTER

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. HÖGER

95. KÄNNER INTE TILL UPPDELNINGEN VÄNSTER-HÖGER (SPONTAN)

96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTILEDAREN (SPONTAN)

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q13. Var skulle Ni placera Er själv på samma skala?

00. VÄNSTER

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. HÖGER

95. KÄNNER INTE TILL UPPDELNINGEN VÄNSTER-HÖGER (SPONTAN)

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q17. Om Ni tänker på årets valrörelse, tycker Ni det var stora skillnader mellan partierna, små skillnader eller inga skillnader alls?

1. STORA SKILLNADER

2. SMÅ SKILLNADER

3. INGA SKILLNADER ALLS

7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q18. I vilken utsträckning följde Ni vad som hände i årets valrörelse?

1. MYCKET STOR UTSTRÄCKNING

2. GANSKA STOR UTSTRÄCKNING

3. INTE SÄRSKILT MYCKET

4. INTE ALLS

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q19. På det hela taget, hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med hur demokratin fungerar i Finland?

1. MYCKET NÖJD

2. GANSKA NÖJD

3. INTE SÄRSKILT NÖJD

4. INTE ALLS NÖJD

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q20. Betraktar Ni Er i allmänhet som anhängare av något parti?

1. JA -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q20b

5. NEJ

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q20a. Är det något parti som står Er närmare än de andra partierna?

1. JA

5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21

9. MISSING

Q20b. OM JA VID FRÅGA Q20 ELLER Q20a. Vilket parti?

01-95. [PARTIKODER]

1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
2. Centern i Finland
3. Samlingspartiet
4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
6. Gröna förbundet
7. Vänsterförbundet
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
12. Finlands Arbetarparti
13. Självständighetspartiet
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Förändring 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21

98. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21

99. MISSING

Q20c. OM PARTI NÄMNTS VID FRÅGA Q20b. Uppfattar Ni att detta parti står Er mycket nära, ganska nära eller inte särskilt nära?

1. MYCKET NÄRA
2. GANSKA NÄRA
3. INTE SÄRSKILT NÄRA

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q21. Nuförtiden är det många som av någon anledning inte röstar i val. Lät Ni bli att rösta i riksdagsvalet? Om ni röstade, förhandsröstade Ni eller röstade Ni på valdagen?

- 1 JAG RÖSTADE INTE DENNA GÅNG
- 2 JAG FÖRHANDSRÖSTADE
- 3 JAG RÖSTADE PÅ VALDAGEN

4 VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

6 HADE INTE RÖSTRÄTT (SPONTAN)
9 MISSING

Q21a. OM NI INTE RÖSTADE: Om Ni hade gått och röstat, vilket partis (eller politisk grupperings) kandidat hade Ni röstat på? (Flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

Kort med partier och partierna måste nämnas enligt den förutbestämda ordningen på kortet

01-95. [PARTIKODER] -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23

1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
2. Centern i Finland
3. Samlingspartiet
4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
6. Gröna förbundet
7. Vänsterförbundet
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
12. Finlands Arbetarparti
13. Självständighetspartiet
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Förändring 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

96. INGEN AV DEM (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
99. MISSING

Q21c. OM NI RÖSTADE: Vilket partis (eller politisk grupperings) kandidat röstade ni på i riksdagsvalet?

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

96. RÖSTADE BLANKT

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
99. MISSING

Q22a. Övervägde Ni att rösta på något annat partis eller politisk grupperings kandidat?

1. JA
5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23c

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q22c
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q22c

9. MISSING

Q22b. Vilket eller vilka partier var det? (flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

Kort med partier och partierna måste nämnas enligt den förutbestämda ordningen på kortet

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
2. Centern i Finland
3. Samlingspartiet
4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
6. Gröna förbundet
7. Vänsterförbundet
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
12. Finlands Arbetarparti
13. Självständighetspartiet
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Förändring 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q22c. Fanns det något parti i riksdagsvalet (eller politisk gruppering), vars representant Ni aldrig skulle rösta på?

1. JA

5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23

9. MISSING

Q22d. Vilket parti eller politisk gruppering eller vilka partier/grupperingar var det? (flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

Kort med partier och partierna måste nämnas enligt den förutbestämda ordningen på kortet

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

1. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
2. Centern i Finland

3. Samlingspartiet
4. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
5. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD)
6. Gröna förbundet
7. Vänsterförbundet
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti
10. Suomen Senioripuolue
11. Kommunistiska Arbetarpartiet - För Fred och Socialism
12. Finlands Arbetarparti
13. Självständighetspartiet
14. Köyhien Asialla
15. Piraattipuolue
16. Förändring 2011
17. Vapauspuolue

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
 99. MISSING

Q23. Röstade Ni för fyra år sedan, i riksdagsvalet 2007?

1. JA
5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a
6. HADE INTE RÖSTRÄTT I FÖREGÅENDE VAL
 -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a
7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a
9. MISSING

Q23b. Vilket partis eller grupperings kandidat röstade Ni på då?

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

1. Centern i Finland
2. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
3. Samlingspartiet
4. Vänsterförbundet
5. Gröna förbundet
6. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland
7. Kristdemokraterna i Finland
8. Sannfinländarna
9. Finlands Arbetarparti
10. Självständighetspartiet
11. Liberaalit
12. Förändringskrafterna i Finland
13. Köyhien Asialla (För de fattigas väl)
14. Yhteisvastuu puolue
15. Suomen Isänmaallinen kansaliike
16. Finlands Folkets Blåvita
17. Finlands Kommunistiska Parti

18. Suomen Senioripuolue
19. För Fred och Socialism - Kommunistiska Arbetarparti

96. RÖSTADE BLANKT

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
99. MISSING

Q24a-g. Kunskapsnivåfrågor

Q24a Vem av följande personer var under 2010 utrikesminister i Finlands regering?

Erkki Tuomioja
Astrid Thors
Olli Rehn
Alexander Stubb

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Q24b Vilket av följande partier är fjärde störst i den nyvalda riksdagen, mätt i antalet riksdagsmandat?

Samlingspartiet
Centern i Finland
Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti
Sannfinländarna

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Q24c Vem får rösta i riksdagsval i Finland?

Alla finländska medborgare som är 18 år eller äldre och bosatta i Finland
Alla finländska medborgare som är 18 år eller äldre oberoende var de är bosatta (*)
Förutom finländare också alla medborgare i Europeiska Unionen som är 18 år eller äldre och bosatta i Finland
Alla finländska medborgare som är 18 år eller äldre och inte förlorat medborgerligt förtroende genom ett rättsligt beslut t.ex. på grund av fängelsestraff

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

[Q24e] Q24d Vad menar man enligt Er uppfattning med ett parlamentariskt statsskick?

Folkvälde
Att riksdagen har regeringens förtroende
Att regeringen har riksdagens förtroende
Att regeringen har presidentens förtroende

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Q24e Hur stor andel av Finlands befolkning är utländska medborgare?

Mindre än 1 procent
Ungefär 3 procent
Ungefär 8 procent
Ungefär 13 procent

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Q24f Vilken bland dessa fyra grupper utländska medborgare är störst i Finland?

Vietnameser
Ryssar
Somalier
Tyskar

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Q24g Vad heter Europeiska Unionens (EU:s) grundfördrag som trädde i kraft år 2009?

Genèvefördraget
Schengenfördraget
Lissabonfördraget
Maastrichtfördraget

VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
VET EJ (SPONTAN)
MISSING

Ännu några frågor angående röstning

Frågor för dem, som lät bli att rösta (K16–K17). Övriga går till fråga K18.

K16. Nedan räknas upp ett antal orsaker till att låta bli att rösta. I vilken grad inverkade följande orsaker på Ert beslut att inte rösta i detta val?

(1) Viktig orsak (2) Inverkade delvis (3) Inverkade inte (4) Vet ej

1 Det var svårt för mig att hitta ett lämpligt parti	1	2	3	4
2 Det var svårt för mig att hitta en lämplig kandidat	1	2	3	4
3 Jag kunde inte rösta p.g.a. arbetsförhinder eller resa	1	2	3	4
4 Jag kunde inte rösta p.g.a. sjukdom eller hög ålder	1	2	3	4
5 Jag kom inte ihåg att rösta	1	2	3	4
6 Jag hade ingen lust att rösta	1	2	3	4
7 Jag ansåg inte att det var till någon nytta för mig att rösta	1	2	3	4
8 Jag anser att en röst inte påverkar valresultatet	1	2	3	4
9 Jag har inget förtroende för politiker och politik	1	2	3	4
10 Jag ville protestera mot politiken och politikerna	1	2	3	4
11 Politik intresserar mig inte och för mig är det likgiltigt att rösta	1	2	3	4
12 Valfinansieringshärvan	1	2	3	4

K17. Hur självklart var det för Er att inte rösta?

- 1 Helt självklart
- 2 Tanken att gå och rösta föll Er in, men Ni övervägde inte det på allvar
- 3 Ni övervägde även på allvar att gå och rösta

Vet ej (spontan)

Frågor för de som röstade (K18–K27)

K18. Vad var egentligen viktigast för Er när Ni röstade, parti eller kandidat?

- 1 Partiet viktigare
- 2 Kandidaten viktigare
- 3 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K19. Vilken betydelse hade följande uppräknade skäl för Ert partival i detta riksdagsval?

- 1 Avgörande betydelse
- 2 Stor betydelse
- 3 Viss betydelse
- 4 Ingen betydelse

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Partiets representanters uppträdande i TV:s valprogram
Partiet arbetar för den yrkesgrupp som jag själv tillhör
Partiet har en bra partiledare
Partiets värdegrund
Partiet har en bra linje i många av de frågor som varit aktuella
Partiet har kompetenta personer som kan sköta den politik som bedrivs i landet
Partiets agerande under den senaste mandatperioden
Partiets lyckade valkampanj
Partiets ledare var enligt min mening bäst lämpad som statsminister
Jag ville påverka regeringens sammansättning

K20. Frågor som endast ställs till personer som röstade på en sannfinländsk kandidat

I vilken utsträckning inverkade följande omständigheter på Ert beslut att i detta val rösta på en sannfinländsk kandidat? Påverkades Ert beslut...

- Väldigt mycket
- Ganska mycket
- Inte särskilt mycket
- Inte alls

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Valfinansieringshärvan under förra mandatperioden
Sannfinländarnas framgång i gallupar och tilltro till att partiets kandidater blir invalda
Partiledaren Timo Soinis egenskaper
Egenskaper hos kandidaten jag röstade på
Jag ville proteströsta i det här riksdagsvalet

Misstroende mot partiet som jag tidigare röstat på
Misstroende mot kandidaten som jag tidigare röstat på
Jag ville få till stånd en förändring i det stela partisystemet
Jag ville skärpa Finlands invandringspolitik och invandranas förmåner
Viljan att minska Finlands beroende av Europeiska unionen och dess medlemsskyldigheter
Motståndet mot den obligatoriska undervisningen i svenska
Viljan att stärka traditionella värden i det finländska samhället
Viljan att stödja Sannfinländarnas övriga politiska målsättningar

B Vilka av de nämnda omständigheterna eller andra faktorer var den viktigaste orsaken till Ert beslut att i detta val rösta på en sannfinländsk kandidat? (öppen fråga)

TILL ALLA SOM RÖSTAT

K21. I vilken utsträckning påverkade följande omständigheter Ert kandidatval?

1. Avgörande
2. Ganska mycket
3. Till viss del
4. Inte alls

55. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Kandidatens ålder
Kandidatens kön
Kandidatens utbildning
Kandidatens bostadsort
Kandidatens tidigare erfarenhet av politik
Kandidatens trovärdighet
Kandidatens förmåga att driva frågor
Kandidatens utseende och stil
Kandidaten var sedan tidigare offentligt känd
Kandidatens åsikter och ställningstaganden
Kandidatens partitillhörighet
Kandidatens valkampanj och valreklam
Kandidatens möjligheter att bli invald
Kandidatens öppenhet kring sin valfinansiering
Kandidatens linje i invandringspolitiken
Kandidatens svar i kandidattest på Internet (s.k. valmaskiner)
Kandidatens eller hans/hennes partis allmänna synlighet på Internet

K22. Om kandidaten Ni röstade på hade representerat ett annat parti, hade Ni i så fall också röstat på honom/henne?

- 1 Jag skulle ha röstat på kandidaten oberoende av vilket parti han/hon hade representerat
- 2 Jag skulle ha hade röstat på kandidaten om han/hon representerat ett annat för mig lämpligt parti
- 3 Jag skulle inte ha röstat på kandidaten ifall han/hon hade representerat något annat parti

4. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K23. Röstade Ni på en kandidat av samma kön som Ni själv?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej

3 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K24. Hur gammal är den kandidat som Ni röstade på??

- 1 Ungefär lika gammal som jag själv
- 2 Betydligt yngre än jag själv
- 3 Betydligt äldre än jag själv

4 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K25. Röstade Ni på samma kandidat i föregående riksdagsval?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej

3 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K26. På vilket sätt kände Ni till den kandidat som Ni röstade på?

- 1 Jag kände kandidaten personligen
- 2 En vän, bekant eller släkting kände kandidaten personligen
- 3 Genom medier kände jag till kandidatens verksamhet redan innan valkampanjen
- 4 Jag röstade på en kandidat på basis av valreklam
- 5 Jag valde kandidaten utan att känna till honom/henne närmare

6 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K27. När bestämde Ni Er för det parti som Ni röstade på? (KORT?)

- 1 Samma dag som jag röstade
- 2 Någon dag innan jag röstade
- 3 En till två veckor innan jag röstade
- 4 En till två månader innan jag röstade
- 5 Jag bestämde mig redan före årsskiftet
- 6 Vet ej

K28. Och när bestämde Ni Er för den kandidat som Ni röstade på? (KORT?)

- 1 Samma dag som jag röstade
- 2 Någon dag innan jag röstade
- 3 En till två veckor innan jag röstade
- 4 En till två månader innan jag röstade
- 5 Jag bestämde mig redan före årsskiftet
- 6 Vet ej

Till alla

K29. Nedan ges tre exempel på situationer där olika åsikter står emot varandra. Vilken är Er uppfattning om hur en riksdagsledamot skall rösta i dessa situationer? Välj för varje situation det svarsalternativ som Ni föredrar. KORT

- a. Om väljarna i den egna valkretsen är av annan åsikt än riksdagsledamotens parti ☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt partiets åsikt
☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt väljarnas åsikt
- b. Om riksdagsledamotens åsikt i en specifik sakfråga inte stämmer överens med väljarnas i valkretsen ☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt sin egen åsikt
☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt väljarnas åsikt
- c. Om riksdagsledamotens åsikt inte stämmer överens med hans/hennes parti ☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt sin egen åsikt
☐ Riksdagsledamoten bör rösta enligt partiets åsikt

K30. I vilken utsträckning har Ni förtroende för följande aktörer? Ange Ert förtroende för varje aktör på en skala mellan 0 och 10, där 0 betyder att Ni inte alls har förtroende, medan 10 betyder att Ni har fullständigt förtroende.

Republikens president
Politiska partier
Riksdagen
Finlands regering (statsrådet)
Politiker
Europeiska unionen
Rättsväsendet
Offentliga tjänstemän
Evangelisk-lutherska kyrkan
Polisen
Facket

K31. Skulle Ni säga att man i allmänhet kan lita på de flesta människor eller att man inte kan vara försiktig nog när man har att göra med andra människor? Svara på en skala från 0 till 10, där 0 betyder att man inte kan vara försiktig nog och 10 betyder att man kan lita på de flesta människor.

0 Man kan inte vara försiktig nog

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 Man kan lita på de flesta människor

BAKGRUNDSVARIABLER

D1 Ert födelseår

[q1] D2 Kön

1 MAN

2 KVINNA

D3.1. Vilken är Er grundskoleutbildning?

1 Grundskola, klasserna 1-6, folkskola 03

2 Grundskola, klasserna 7-9, 10, mellanskola 04

3 Fortfarande i skolan (grundskola, gymnasium) 04

4 Student, gymnasium 05

5 Ingen formell grundutbildning 01

D3.2. Vilken är Er yrkesutbildningsnivå?

1 Fortfarande i skolan (yrkesskola, yrkeskurs) 04

2 Kort yrkesutbildning (yrkesskola, yrkeskurs) 05

3 Yrkesutbildning på institutnivå 06

4 Oavslutade yrkeshögskole- eller universitetsstudier 07

5 Yrkeshögskoleexamen 08

6 Universitetsexamen 08

7 Ingen formell yrkesutbildning 01

D4 Civilstånd

1 OGIFT

2 GIFT ELLER I ANNAT REGISRERAT PARTNERSKAP

3 SAMBO

4 SKILD ELLER I HEMSKILLNAD

5 ÄNKA/ÄNKLING

6 ANNAT

D5.1 Är Ni medlem av något fackförbund? Om ja, hur aktiv är Ni i dess verksamhet?

1 NEJ

2 JA, MEN DELTAR NÄSTAN INTE ALLS I DESS VERKSAMHET

3 JA, OCH DELTAR LITE I DESS VERKSAMHET

4 JA, OCH DELTAR AKTIVT I DESS VERKSAMHET

5 VET EJ

D5.2 Till vilken av följande fackliga centralorganisationer hör Ert fackförbund? FILTER FRÅN FÖRRA (om medlem).

1 FFC

2 FTFC

3 AKAVA

4 MTK + SLC

5 ANNAN, VILKEN? _____

D5.3. Bortsett från arbets- eller yrkesrelaterade föreningar, hur många föreningar är Ni medlem i? (Betalande medlem)

1 Ingen

2 Totalt ungefär ____ föreningar

3 Vet ej

T1a Hur mycket tid använder Ni totalt åt att titta på TV under en vanlig vardag?

MÅ-FR, GÄLLER EJ SEMESTER, AKTIVT TV-TITTANDE.

0 Ingen tid alls → gå till d10

- 1 Mindre än ½ timme
- 2 ½ - 1 timme
- 3 Mer än 1 timme, men högst 1 ½ timme
- 4 Mer än 1 ½ timme, men högst 2 timmar
- 5 Mer än 2 t timmar, men högst 2 ½ timmar
- 6 Mer än 2 ½ timmar, men högst 3 timmar
- 7 Mer än 3 timmar

T1b. Hur mycket tid använder Ni totalt åt att titta på nyhetssändningar eller politik- och aktualitetsprogram på TV under en vanlig vardag? FÖRVALTNING, SAMHÄLLSPOLITIK ELLER MÄNNISKOR SOM HAR ANKNYTNING TILL DESSA OMRÅDEN

- 0 Ingen tid alls
- 1 Mindre än ½ timme
- 2 ½ - 1 timme
- 3 Mer än 1 timme, men högst 1 ½ timme
- 4 Mer än 1 ½ timme, men högst 2 timmar
- 5 Mer än 2 t timmar, men högst 2 ½ timmar
- 6 Mer än 2 ½ timmar, men högst 3 timmar
- 7 Mer än 3 timmar

D10 Vilket av följande stämmer in på Er livssituation?

- 1 HELTIDSARBETE (MINST 32 TIMMAR PER VECKA)
- 2 HALVTIDSARBETE (15-31 TIMMAR PER VECKA)
- 3 DELTIDSARBETE (UNDER 15 TIMMAR PER VECKA)
- 4 ASSISTERANDE FAMILJEMEDLEM I ETT FAMILJEFÖRETAG
- 5 ARBETSLÖS
- 6 STUDERANDE ELLER SKOLELEV
- 7 PENSIONERAD PÅ GRUND AV ÅLDER ELLER TJÄNSTEÅR
- 8 PENSIONERAD AV ANNAN ORSAK
- 9 SKÖTER HUSHÅLLET
- 10 I MILITÄR- ELLER CIVILTJÄNSTGÖRING
- 11 FÖRÄLDRALEDIG

D11 M Vilket är Ert nuvarande yrke? Om respondenten är pensionerad, senaste yrke. *Uppge yrke så specifikt som möjligt.*

[SLUTGILTIG KLASSIFICERING: ISCO-88, PÅ TVÅSIFFERNIVÅ]

D12 Till vilken yrkesgrupp hör Ni närmast?

- 1 LANTBRUKS-, JORDBRUKSFÖRETAGARE
- 2 EGENFÖRETAGARE, ARBETSGIVARE, YRKESUTÖVARE
- 3 LEDANDE STÄLLNING I ANNANS TJÄNST
- 4 HÖGRE TJÄNSTEMAN
- 5 LÄGRE TJÄNSTEMAN
- 6 ARBETSTAGARE
- 7 PENSIONÄR
- 8 SKOLELEV, STUDERANDE
- 9 HEMMAMAMMA, HEMMAPAPPA
- 10 ARBETSLÖS
- 11 Övrig

D13 OM ARBETE Inom vilken sektor jobbar Ni?

1. STAT, KOMMUN ELLER FÖRSAMLING
2. PRIVATFÖRETAG
3. FÖRETAG SOM HELT ELLER DELVIS ÄGS AV STAT ELLER KOMMUN
4. DEN S.K. TREDJE SEKTORN (T.EX. ICKE VINSTBRINGANDE ORGANISATION)

D20 Hur stora är Ert hushålls sammanlagda *bruttoinkomster* per år? (Inkomster före skatt, inklusive skattepliktiga socialförmåner)? _____ euro per år
[Öppen fråga eller tät klassificering, t.ex. 15 klasser. SLUTGILTIG KLASSIFICERING: BORDE KUNNA KLASSIFICERAS SOM KVINTILER]

D21-D22

Hur många personer bor i Ert hushåll? Totalt: __personer

Hur många av dessa är 18 år eller äldre (äldre vuxna och fullvuxna barn tillsammans)? Totalt: __personer

Hur många av dessa är barn eller ungdomar i åldern 7-17: Totalt: __personer

Hur många av dessa är barn är i åldern 0-6: Totalt __personer

D24 Hur religiös är Ni?

1 INTE ALLS RELIGIÖS

2 INTE SPECIELLT RELIGIÖS

3 GANSKA RELIGIÖS

4 MYCKET RELIGIÖS

D25 Är Ni medlem av någon kyrka eller något religiöst samfund?

1. EVANGELISKLUTHERSKA KYRKAN

2. ORTODOXA KYRKAN

3. ROMERSK-KATOLSKA KYRKAN

4. ANNAN KYRKA ELLER ANNAT RELIGIÖST SAMFUND, VILKET? _____

5. JAG HÖR INTE TILL KYRKAN ELLER NÅGOT ANNAT RELIGIÖST SAMFUND _____

D26_1 Modersmål

1 FINSKA

2 SVENSKA

3 ANNAT SPRÅK, VILKET? _____

D26_2 Vilket eller vilka språk används främst i Ert hem?

1 BARA FINSKA

2 BARA SVENSKA

3 FINSKA OCH SVENSKA

4 FINSKA OCH NÅGOT ANNAT SPRÅK

5 SVENSKA OCH NÅGOT ANNAT SPRÅK

6 BARA ANDRA SPRÅK ÄN FINSKA OCH SVENSKA

T2. Anser Ni att ni hör till en etnisk minoritetsgrupp i Finland?

(Anvisning: Med en etnisk grupp avses till exempel en grupp som skiljer sig beträffande ursprung eller ras och som Ni identifierar Er med eller är medlem i)

1 Ja

2 Nej

D27 Er bostadskommun? (öppen fråga)

30 Bor Ni i...

1 CENTRUM AV EN STAD

2 EN FÖRSTAD ELLER FÖRORT

3 KOMMUNCENTRUM ELLER ANNAN TÄTORT

4 GLESBYGDEN

D30b. Bodde Ni vid senaste riksdagsval år 2007 inom samma valkrets som nu?

1 JA

2 NEJ

D31 Valkrets [HÄRLEDS FRÅN KOMMUNVARIABELN]

D32 Har Ni varit arbetslös under de senaste 12 månaderna?

1 NEJ

2 JA, TILLSAMMANS UNGEFÄR ____ MÅNADER

D33a. Bor Ni...?

1 I HYRESBOSTAD

2 I BOSTAD SOM NI SJÄLV ELLER ER FAMILJ ÄGER

3 I BOSTADSRÄTT ELLER DELÄGOBOSTAD

REKRY1 Resultaten från den nationella riksdagsvalsundersökningen kommer att diskuteras livligt i offentligheten efter att undersökningen blivit klar. En del av de planerade frågorna hann vi inte få med i denna besöksintervju. Det vore därför mycket viktigt att alla som deltagit i intervjun också i lugn och ro besvarar en enkät med tilläggsfrågor och sedan skickar in den till forskningscentralen i det bifogade kuvertet. Passar detta Er?

1 JA

2 NEJ

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2653 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2011

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2653 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2011

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <https://www.fsd.uta.fi/sv/>

Ärade respondent

Vi bifogar här ett tilläggsformulär som hör ihop med intervjun som vår intervjuare gjorde med Er. Med hjälp av frågeformuläret samlar vi in information som kompletterar intervjun.

Undersökningarna ingår i forskningsprojektet Riksdagsval 2011. Projektet är anknutet till internationellt forskningssamarbete kring politiskt deltagande. För att undersökningen skall lyckas är det mycket viktigt att Ni svarar på förfrågan. Undersökningen koordineras av Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv. I projektet deltar drygt tio forskare från Helsingfors, Tammerfors och Åbo universitet samt från Åbo Akademi. Taloustutkimus Oy ansvarar för datainsamlingen.

Taloustutkimus Oy är ett opartiskt undersökningsföretag, som gör marknads- och opinionsundersökningar om olika ämnen både bland företag och bland konsumenter. Taloustutkimus Oy följer den Internationella Handelskammarens regler, således behandlas all information som är relaterad till undersökningen absolut konfidentiellt. Ingen respondents uppgifter behandlas enskilt, utan som en del av en större helhet.

Hur man fyller i och returnerar formuläret

Man svarar genom att kryssa för rutan med rätt svarsalternativ. Vi ber Er att använda en mörkare penna då ni fyller i svaren, t.ex. en blå eller svart kulspetspenna.

Frågeformulären förs in i registret maskinellt, så det är viktigt att kryssen hålls innanför svarsrutorna. Ifall Ni kryssar för fel svarsalternativ, ber vi er att svärta hela den rutan som Ni kryssat fel.

Vi hoppas att Ni svarar på frågorna och att Ni returnerar frågeformuläret i det bifogade svarskuvertet så snabbt som möjligt, dock senast inom en vecka. Postavgiften är betald.

Det lönar sig att svara, eftersom vi skickar en Ässa-lott som tack till alla respondenter. Ni får Er lott genom att fylla i kontaktkortet och returnera det tillsammans med frågeformuläret.


Tilläggsinformation

Ifall Ni vill få mer information om forskningsprojekten, vänligen ta kontakt med den ansvarige ledaren vid Åbo Akademi, forskningschef Kimmo Grönlund, tel. 02-215 4586, e-post kimmo.gronlund@abo.fi.

Om Ni har frågor gällande frågeformuläret kan Ni ringa Taloustutkimus undersökningschef Tuomo Turja, tel. 09 7585 1208 eller skicka e-post: tuomo.turja@taloustutkimus.fi.

Tack på förhand för att Ni deltar i undersökningen!

TALOUSTUTKIMUS OY




Tuomo Turja
undersökningschef

RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2011

1. Hur viktiga var följande politiska delområden eller sakfrågor för Ert partival i det nyligen hållna riksdagsvalet?
(Svara på frågan även om Ni inte röstade i valet)

	Mycket viktigt	Ganska viktigt	Inte särskilt viktigt	Inte alls viktigt	Vet ej
♦ Skattepolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Sysselsättningspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Inkomstskillnader	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Miljöfrågor	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Företags- och näringslivsverksamhet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Finlands ekonomi och konkurrenskraft	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Äldreomsorg	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Barns och barnfamiljers intressen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Socialpolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Hälsopolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Bekämpning av kriminalitet och förutsättningar för ett tryggt liv	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Invandringspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Språkpolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Energipolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kärnkraft	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Utbildningspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Bostadspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Viktiga frågor för ungdomar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Utrikes- och säkerhetspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ EU-politik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Regionalpolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Sexuella minoriteters ställning	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Traditionella värden och moraluppfattningar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

2. Nedan listas ett antal förslag som många människor anser att det bör satsas på i Finland i framtiden. Vad anser Ni om dessa förslag? Använd följande skala när Ni svarar.



1. Ett Finland med mer privat företagsverksamhet och marknadsekonomi
2. Ett Finland med mindre inkomstskillnader
3. Ett Finland där kristna värderingar spelar en större roll
4. Ett Finland med mer lag och ordning
5. Ett miljövänligare Finland, även om det innebär låg ekonomisk tillväxt eller ingen tillväxt alls
6. Ett Finland med mer jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor
7. Ett Finland där man värnar om traditionella finländska värderingar
8. Ett Finland där man stärker familjens ställning
9. Ett Finland där människor är längre i arbetslivet
10. Ett Finland där sexuella minoriteters rättigheter stärks

Fråga 2 fortsätter på följande sida!


...fråga 2 fortsätter!

2. Nedan listas ett antal förslag som många människor anser att det bör satsas på i Finland i framtiden. Vad anser Ni om dessa förslag? Använd följande skala när Ni svarar.




- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| 11. Ett Finland med lägre skattetryck | <input type="text"/> |
| 12. Ett Finland med två starka nationalspråk, finska och svenska | <input type="text"/> |
| 13. Ett internationellt inriktat Finland som i mindre utsträckning betonar gränser mellan olika folkgrupper och länder | <input type="text"/> |
| 14. Ett Finland med mindre utvecklingsskillnader mellan olika regioner | <input type="text"/> |
| 15. Ett Finland med en större offentlig sektor | <input type="text"/> |
| 16. Ett Finland med mer omfattande invandring | <input type="text"/> |
| 17. Ett mångkulturellt Finland där man förhåller sig tolerant gentemot människor från andra länder med annan religion och livsstil | <input type="text"/> |

3. Vad anser Ni om följande former av politiskt beslutsfattande? Ange Er åsikt på en skala mellan 0 och 10, där 0 betyder "det sämsta sättet att fatta politiska beslut" och 10 "det bästa sättet att fatta politiska beslut".



- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Regelbundet tillfråga medborgarna om deras åsikter | <input type="text"/> |
| 2. Låta experter inom olika områden fatta beslut | <input type="text"/> |
| 3. Göra det lättare för människor att delta och diskutera viktiga politiska beslut | <input type="text"/> |
| 4. Låta politiker utsedda i val fatta beslut | <input type="text"/> |

- 4a. I Finland diskuteras det nuförtiden mycket om arbetsrelaterad invandring, det vill säga inflyttning till Finland som sker på grund av arbete. Vilken är Er åsikt angående en ökning av den arbetsrelaterade invandringen till Finland? Ange Er åsikt på en skala mellan 0 och 10, där 0 betyder att den arbetsrelaterade invandringen borde minska kraftigt, medan 10 betyder att den borde öka kraftigt?



Min åsikt på skalan:

- 4b. Och hur skulle Ni bedöma följande partiers inställning till arbetsrelaterad invandring på samma skala mellan 0 och 10?

- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Centern i Finland | <input type="text"/> |
| 2. Samlingspartiet | <input type="text"/> |
| 3. Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti | <input type="text"/> |
| 4. Vänsterförbundet | <input type="text"/> |
| 5. Gröna förbundet | <input type="text"/> |
| 6. Svenska folkpartiet i Finland | <input type="text"/> |
| 7. Kristdemokraterna i Finland (KD) | <input type="text"/> |
| 8. Sannfinländarna | <input type="text"/> |

5. Under valkampanjen kan medborgarna få information som behövs för röstningsbeslutet från olika källor. I vilken utsträckning fick Ni information som var viktig för Ert röstningsbeslut från följande källor?

	Väldigt mycket	Ganska mycket	Ganska lite	Inte alls	Vet ej
♦ Familjemedlemmar, föräldrar eller släktingar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Arbets- eller studiekamrater	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Andra vänner eller bekanta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Tidningar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ TV	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Radio	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Mobila medier	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

6. Internet och e-post har skapat nya möjligheter att agera politiskt och skaffa information. Har Ni gjort något av följande under de senaste fyra åren på Internet, eller vad skulle Ni kunna göra?

	Jag har gjort detta under de senaste fyra åren	Jag har inte gjort detta, men skulle kunna göra	Jag skulle aldrig göra detta	Vet ej
♦ Skriva på ett upprop eller en namninsamling på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Ta kontakt med politiska beslutsfattare i ett viktigt ärende via Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Delta i diskussioner på Internet, t.ex. skriva kommentarer på nyhetsmediernas hemsidor eller politiska bloggar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Donera pengar till ett parti eller en kandidat via Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Skicka och ta emot valrelaterad e-post eller meddelanden till och från vänner, familjemedlemmar samt bekanta	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Skriva om valet i en egen blogg	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

7. Har Ni under den senaste månaden gjort något av följande på Internet och i sociala medier? Ange för varje påstående ifall Ni har gjort det eller inte.

	Ja	Nej
♦ Besökt en nätgemenskap, "community" (t.ex. Facebook, Twitter)	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Uppdaterat Er profil i en nätgemenskap	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Läst bloggar	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kommenterat någon eller några bloggar	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Skrivit i en egen blogg	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Sett på andras videor eller hört på andras musik på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Laddat upp eller publicerat egna bilder eller egna producerade videor, musik, berättelser, artiklar mm. på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Skrivit eller redigerat artiklar (t.ex. i Wikipedia)	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Bifogat taggar till webbsidor, foton mm.	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Läst diskussioner i diskussionsforum på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Kommenterat diskussioner i diskussionsforum på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Startat diskussioner i diskussionsforum på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Följt med någon kampanj eller aktionsgrupp på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Deltagit i någon kampanj eller aktionsgrupp på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Startat någon kampanj eller aktionsgrupp på Internet	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Spridit information om olika evenemang i de sociala nätverk där Ni är medlem	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Beställt RSS-flöden	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Lyssnat på poddsändningar	☐ 1	☐ 2
♦ Producerat poddsändningar	☐ 1	☐ 2

8. Om väljarna varje vecka genom en omröstning på Internet kunde uttrycka sin åsikt om de frågor som riksdagen håller på att behandla, hur skulle Ni göra? (Välj endast ett alternativ)

- 1 ☐ Jag skulle rösta via Internet varje vecka eller nästan varje vecka
- 2 ☐ Jag skulle rösta via Internet endast när riksdagen behandlar frågor som intresserar mig
- 3 ☐ Jag kan tänka mig att rösta via Internet då och då men det är inte viktigt för mig
- 4 ☐ Jag skulle inte använda Internet, riksdagsledamöterna bör fatta beslut om frågorna
- 5 ☐ Vet ej

9. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden om Ert kandidatval i årets riksdagsval? Om Ni inte röstade gå till fråga 12.

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Varken av samma eller annan åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
♦ Jag röstar vanligtvis på en kandidat av samma kön som jag själv	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Det var viktigt för mig att mitt eget kön representeras väl i beslutsfattandet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Det var viktigt för mig att kandidaten klarar av att arbeta för de frågor som är viktiga för mitt eget kön	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Män är bättre lämpade som beslutsfattare än kvinnor	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6
♦ Kvinnliga beslutsfattare har bättre uppfattning om vanliga människors angelägenheter än manliga beslutsfattare	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6

10. Hur lätt eller svårt var det för Er att välja det parti som Ni skulle rösta på?

- 1 ☐ Mycket lätt
- 2 ☐ Ganska lätt
- 3 ☐ Ganska svårt
- 4 ☐ Mycket svårt
- 5 ☐ Vet ej

11. Hur lätt eller svårt var det för Er att hitta en lämplig kandidat?

- 1 ☐ Mycket lätt
- 2 ☐ Ganska lätt
- 3 ☐ Ganska svårt
- 4 ☐ Mycket svårt
- 5 ☐ Vet ej

12. Klarar enligt Er åsikt en manlig eller kvinnlig riksdagsledamot bättre av att hantera följande frågor?

	Man	Kvinna	Män och kvinnor klarar sig lika bra
♦ Säkerhetspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Finanspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Socialpolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Invandringspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Jämställdhetspolitik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3

13. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden?

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
♦ Det skulle vara bättre för vårt land om riksdagsledamöterna slutade att enbart prata och koncentrerade sig på att arbeta för att lösa de verkliga problemen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Att kompromissa i politiken innebär egentligen bara att man ger avkall på de egna principerna	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Finland skulle må bättre om de politiska besluten sköttes av framgångsrika företagsledare	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Finland skulle må bättre om det politiska beslutsfattandet låg i händerna på experter i stället för politiker och medborgare	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Finlands regering skulle fungera bättre om den sköttes som ett företag	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Man borde förbjuda partierna i politiken	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

+ +					
...fråga 13 fortsätter! 13. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden?					
	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Det borde finnas en övre gräns för hur mycket pengar som får användas för politiska kampanjer	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Intresseorganisationers direkta kontakter med riksdagsledamöter borde förbjudas	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Vårt land behöver starka ledare som kan återställa disciplin och ordning i samhället	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Det är viktigt att riksdagsledamöterna diskuterar och debatterar ärenden grundligt innan de fattar beslut	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Flyktingar och invandrare borde ha samma rätt till sociala förmåner som finländare, trots att de inte är finländska medborgare	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Invandring utgör ett allvarligt hot mot vår nationella identitet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Ett problem med demokrati är att de flesta människor inte vet vad som är bäst för dem själva	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ De flesta människor är tillräckligt förståndiga för att kunna bedöma hur väl regeringen lyckas med sitt arbete	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
14. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden angående Finlands internationella relationer?					
	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Medlemskapet i Europeiska unionen har överlag varit en positiv sak för Finland	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Finland bör förstärka det nordiska samarbetet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Finland bör utträda ur EU	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Finland bör aktivt delta i utvecklandet av EU:s försvarssamarbete	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Finländskt deltagande i internationella krishanteringsuppdrag är en positiv sak	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
◆ Finland bör bli NATO-medlem	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
15. Vad är viktigare i ett demokratiskt samhälle: att låta majoriteten bestämma eller att värna om minoriteters behov och rättigheter? (Välj endast ett alternativ) 1 ☐ Låta majoriteten bestämma 2 ☐ Svårt att säga 3 ☐ Värna om minoriteters behov och rättigheter					
16. Medborgarnas valfrihet diskuteras flitigt, t.ex. möjligheten att välja mellan offentlig och privat service eller mellan service som erbjuds av olika delar av den offentliga sektorn. A anser att valfriheten inom den offentliga sektorn bör ökas jämfört med den nuvarande nivån. B anser att den medborgerliga valfriheten redan nu är tillräcklig. Vem är Ni mest enig med? 1 ☐ Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som A 2 ☐ Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som B	17. Nu en fråga om socialutgifter: A anser att de sociala reformerna i landet har gått för långt. Folk borde i större utsträckning klara sig utan socialskyddet och samhällets stöd. B anser att de sociala reformer som genomförts bör kvarstå på åtminstone samma nivå som förr. Vem är Ni mest enig med? 1 ☐ Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som A 2 ☐ Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som B				
18. Om Ni tänker tillbaka på de senaste två åren, anser Ni att följande saker har blivit bättre, sämre eller att de är oförändrade jämfört med tidigare?					
	Bättre	Sämre	Oförändrad/t	Vet ej	
◆ Finlands ekonomiska situation	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	
◆ Er egen eller familjens ekonomiska situation	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	
◆ Finlands sysselsättningsläge	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	
◆ Ert eget och familjemedlemmarnas sysselsättningsläge	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	
+ +					

19. Om Ni tänker två år framåt i tiden, tror Ni att följande saker då kommer att vara bättre, sämre eller att de är oförändrade jämfört med nu?

	Bättre	Sämre	Oförändrad/t	Vet ej
♦ Finlands ekonomiska situation	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Er egen eller familjens ekonomiska situation	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Finlands sysselsättningsläge	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Ert eget och familjemedlemmarnas sysselsättningsläge	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

20. Hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med Er egen ekonomiska situation?

- 1 ☐ Mycket nöjd
 2 ☐ Ganska nöjd
 3 ☐ Ganska missnöjd
 4 ☐ Mycket missnöjd
 5 ☐ Vet ej

21. Och hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med Ert liv i allmänhet?

- 1 ☐ Mycket nöjd
 2 ☐ Ganska nöjd
 3 ☐ Ganska missnöjd
 4 ☐ Mycket missnöjd
 5 ☐ Vet ej

22. I politiken talar man ofta om vänster och höger. Vilka av följande alternativ förknippar Ni med dessa begrepp? (Ni kan också ange att ett alternativ anknyter till båda begreppen eller ingetdera)

	Höger	Vänster	Varken höger eller vänster
♦ Borgerlighet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Stark offentlig sektor	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Arbetarklass	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Konservatism	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Minskade inkomstskillnader	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Reform och förnyelse av samhället	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Statens starka roll i ekonomisk politik	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Miljövänlighet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Positiv inställning till invandring	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Främjande av privat företagsverksamhet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Positiv inställning till globalisering	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
♦ Traditionella värderingar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3

23. Det finns olika uppfattningar om politiskt beslutsfattande. Ange på en skala mellan 0 och 10 Er uppfattning om följande påståenden genom att för varje punkt (a, b, c) kryssa för det nummer som bäst motsvarar Er åsikt.

a. I politiken är det viktigt att diskutera och debattera grundligt innan man fattar beslut

I politiken är det viktigt att koncentrera sig på beslutsfattande snarare än att diskutera och debattera

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

b. Vanliga medborgare borde fatta politiska beslut

Folkvalda representanter borde fatta politiska beslut

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

c. Politiskt obundna experter borde fatta politiska beslut

Folkvalda representanter borde fatta politiska beslut

☐ 0 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

24. Hur stort inflytande anser Ni följande aktörer ha när det gäller den samhälleliga utvecklingen i dagens Finland?

	Väldigt mycket	Ganska mycket	Ganska lite	Inget alls
♦ Riksdagen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Finlands regering	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Republikens president	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Kommunala beslutsfattare	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Evangelisk-lutherska kyrkan	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Massmedier	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Medborgarorganisationer	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Banker	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Polisen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Politiska partier	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Försvarsmakten	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Näringslivet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Arbetsgivarorganisationer	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Fackföreningar	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Tjänstemän	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Europeiska unionen	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
♦ Universitet och forskningsinstitut	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

25. I vilken utsträckning har Ni följt med de senaste årens valfinansieringshärva?

- 1 ☐ Väldigt mycket
 2 ☐ Ganska mycket
 3 ☐ Inte särskilt mycket
 4 ☐ Inte alls

26. Hur påverkade valfinansieringshärvan Er uppfattning om politikerna inför riksdagsvalet?

Min uppfattning om politikerna blev...

- 1 ☐ Mycket mer positiv
 2 ☐ Delvis mer positiv
 3 ☐ Delvis mer negativ
 4 ☐ Mycket mer negativ
 5 ☐ Min uppfattning förändrades inte alls

27. Hur påverkade valfinansieringshärvan Er uppfattning om följande partier inför riksdagsvalet?

Min uppfattning om partiet blev...

	Mycket mer positiv	Något mer positiv	Något mer negativ	Mycket mer negativ	Förändrades inte alls
♦ Centern i Finland	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Samlingspartiet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Vänsterförbundet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Gröna förbundet	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Svenska folkpartiet i Finland	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Kristdemokraterna i Finland	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5
♦ Sannfinländarna	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5

28. Många berättar öppet vilken kandidat de röstar på. Kan Ni tänka Er att berätta vilken kandidat Ni röstade på i riksdagsvalet? Uppgifterna behandlas konfidentiellt och kommer endast att användas till statistiska analyser i forskningssyfte.

- 1 ☐ Jag röstade och jag kan meddela kandidatens namn
 2 ☐ Jag röstade inte
 3 ☐ Jag röstade, men vill inte uppge kandidatens namn
 4 ☐ Jag röstade, men kommer inte ihåg kandidatens namn

(Endast de som angett alternativ 1 besvarar de två följande frågorna)

29. Vilken kandidat röstade Ni på? Vänligen skriv kandidatens namn och parti på följande linje.

(Information om kandidaterna i valkretsarna kan vid behov kontrolleras på Internet: www.vaalit.fi och http://192.49.229.35/E2011/r/ehd_listat/kokomaa.htm)

30. Övervägde Ni andra kandidater?

- 1 ☐ Nej, jag övervägde inte andra kandidater
- 2 ☐ Jag övervägde andra kandidater, men inte särskilt allvarligt
- 3 ☐ Jag övervägde allvarligt också följande kandidat: _____
(namn och parti)

Till sist några frågor om hur Ni karakteriserar Er själv som person.

31. Vi ber Er att för varje påstående ange hur väl de nämnda egenskaperna beskriver Er själv. Beakta båda egenskaperna i svaret.

<i>Jag anser att jag är:</i>	Helt av annan åsiikt	Delvis av annan åsiikt	Lite av annan åsiikt	Varken av samma eller annan åsiikt	Lite av samma åsiikt	Delvis av samma åsiikt	Helt av samma åsiikt
♦ Utåtriktad, impulsiv	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Kritisk, debattlysten	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Pålitlig, disciplinerad	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Lätt att oroa eller irritera	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Öppen för nya erfarenheter, flerdimensionell	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Reserverad, tystlåten	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Empatisk, varm	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Oorganiserad, sorglös	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Lugn, känslostabil	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7
♦ Konventionell, håller mig till det invanda	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4	☐ 5	☐ 6	☐ 7

Taloustutkimus Oy T-3140 19.4.2011 TTu/rrl

TACK FÖR ERA SVAR!

taloustutkimus oy

Appendix C

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

C. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix D

Information on the weight variables in English

FSD2653 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2011

FSD2653 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2011

Tämä dokumentti on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietöarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Dokumenttia hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

This document forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the document is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

Detta dokument utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om dokument är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Updated weight variables for the Finnish National Election Study datasets

The new weights were calculated using the same variables for each of the 2003-2019 Finnish National Election Study datasets. The variables that were used included the respondent's age and gender (combined), constituency, party choice and whether R voted (combined), mother tongue, and level of education.

Further information on how the data were collected and operationalised for each variable is detailed below. The aim was to collect data on target population level (people residing in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands, and entitled to vote in the Finnish parliamentary elections), but this could not be achieved for all variables. In these cases, the closest possible match to the target population was strived for.

The new weight variables were formed using the *anesrake* package.

Age – gender

Data on the target population distributions of age and gender were retrieved from the Statistics Finland free-of-charge statistical database (https://pxnet2.stat.fi/PXWeb/pxweb/fi/StatFin/StatFin_vrm_vaerak/statfin_vaerak_pxt_11rg.px/table/tableViewLayout1/). The target population was determined as people residing in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands, at the end of the year preceding the parliamentary elections (e.g. for the 2003 Finnish National Election Study, the target population was Finland's population on 31.12.2002). Because the data do not include a separate category for people aged 18-19, it was estimated that this age group accounted for 40% of the 15-19 age group. The age groups from the Statistics Finland data were combined so that one category covers 10 years.

The final age groups are 18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 55–64, 65–75, 75–.

Constituency

The data on target population distribution in terms of constituency were retrieved from the Ministry of Justice election information service (no data were collected for the Åland Islands constituency). Below are links to the source material for each year:

2003 <https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2003/e/aanioikeutetut/kokomaa.htm>

2007 <https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2007/e/aanaktiivisuus/aanestys1.htm>

2011 <https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2011/e/aanaktiivisuus/aanestys1.htm>

2015 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E-2015/en/aoik_kokomaa.html

2019 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/EKV-2019/en/aoik_kokomaa.html

Party choice – whether R voted

The data on voters' party choice were retrieved from the Ministry of Justice election information service. The final number of votes for each party was divided by the total number of confirmed votes to form a percentage result (rounded to the nearest hundredth percent). Then, the percentages

were multiplied by the voting activity of people residing in Finland who were entitled to vote (data retrieved from the election information service's voter turnout tab for each election).

Invalid ballots were not included in the calculations, because invalid ballots were not reported in 2003 and some of the datasets do not include any respondents who had returned an invalid ballot.

In the 2003 dataset, the share of votes received by True Finns was fairly small, so it was combined with the category 'Other'.

Below are links to the source material for each year:

2003 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2003/e/tulos/tulos_kokomaa.html

2007 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2007/e/tulos/tulos_kokomaa.html

2011 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E2011/e/tulos/tulos_kokomaa.html

2015 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E-2015/en/tulos_kokomaa.html

2019 https://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/EKV-2019/en/tulos_kokomaa.html

Mother tongue

Exact information on the target population distribution of mother tongue was not available for any of the election years. The earliest information available on the mother tongue distribution of Finnish citizens is from the end of 2004. Information on the mother tongue distribution (Finnish, Swedish, and other) of people entitled to vote is available from the 2015 parliamentary elections onwards.

In the 2011 dataset, only eight people responded that they spoke some other language than Finnish or Swedish as their mother tongue.

Below are links to the source material for each year:

2003 (31.12.2004) https://www.tilastokeskus.fi/til/vaerak/2004/vaerak_2004_2005-03-18_tie_001.html (in Finnish)

2007 (31.12.2006) https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/19178/jamk_1199789501_4.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y (in Finnish)

2011 (31.12.2010) https://www.stat.fi/til/vaerak/2010/vaerak_2010_2011-03-18_fi.pdf (in Finnish)

2015 (people entitled to vote in 2015, note that Finnish and Sami speakers were combined into one category) https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2015/evaa_2015_2015-04-30_kat_001_en.html

2019 (people entitled to vote in 2019, note that Finnish and Sami speakers were combined into one category) https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2019/02/evaa_2019_02_2019-04-29_kat_001_en.html

Level of education

Information on the level of education of people entitled to vote was retrieved from the background analysis done by Statistics Finland on election candidates and elected MPs. Statistics Finland uses a five-level scale for education: basic level, secondary level, lowest level of tertiary level, lower university degree, and higher university degree.

In the Finnish National Election Studies, it is not possible to differentiate respondents who have completed a lower university degree from those who have completed a higher university degree. As such, these levels were combined when forming the weights.

2003 https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2003/evaa_2003_2004-05-31_kat_002_en.html

2007 https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2007/evaa_2007_2007-03-22_kat_001_en.html

2011 https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2011/evaa_2011_2011-04-29_kat_001_en.html

2015 https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2015/evaa_2015_2015-04-30_kat_001_en.html

2019 https://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2019/02/evaa_2019_02_2019-04-29_kat_001_en.html

