

PGSW 2001
Polskie Generalne Studium Wyborcze 2001

PNES 2001
Polish National Election Study 2001

Title:

Polish National Election Study (PGSW) 2001

Primary Investigator:

Radosław Markowski, Institute of Political Studies Polish Academy of Sciences (ISP PAN)

Research Group:

Stanisław Gebethner

Mirosława Grabowska

Paweł Grzelak

Krzysztof Jasiewicz

Lena Kolarska-Bobińska

Krzysztof Korzeniowski

Radosław Markowski – Primary Investigator

Jacek Wasilewski

Włodzimierz Wesołowski

Edmund Wnuk-Lipiński

Contact Person:

Paweł Grzelak grzelak@isppan.waw.pl

Copyright:

Institute of Political Studies Polish Academy of Science (ISP PAN)

Fundings:

Institute of Political Studies Polish Academy of Science (ISP PAN), grant number 5H02E02120, Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), Warsaw School of Social Psychology (SWPS)

Citation:

Data were collected and rendered accessible by the staff of PGSW - Polskie Generalne Studium Wyborcze 2001 (Polish National Election Study 2001) affiliated with the Institute of Political Studies of Polish Academy of Sciences. The research was financed by Scientific Research Committee (grant no. 5 H02E 021 20) and subsidized by Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and the Warsaw School of Social Psychology (SWPS)

Keywords:

attitudes, candidates, democracy, economic conditions, election campaigns, elections, European Union, government performance, labor (work), NATO, political attitudes, political change, political interest, political participation, political parties, public opinion, voter attitudes, voting behavior

Abstract:

Polskie Generalne Studium Wyborcze (Polish National Election Study) has a research formula in the field of politics sociology and political sciences. In most of democratic countries there is an institution called National Election Study. Following the decision of Scientific Research Committee (KBN), taken in 1997, Poland joined the group of these countries. Only then was Polish National Election Study (PGSW) set up and it joined international scientific circles. The research tool implemented by PGSW comprises among others of a comparative part; so called CSES module (Comparative Study of Electoral Systems) covering about 50 political systems of the countries of all continents. PGSW research covers: 1) analysis of the social and political awareness of Poles, rendered with an intensified public opinion poll conducted among a big number of participants (questionnaire research); 2) Polish parliamentary elites analysis - both their socio-demographic, as well as - mainly - their attitudes and political views 3) party manifesto research, and 4) so called, political ecology - research of regional political and electoral differences.

Geographic Coverage:

Poland

Unit of Analysis:

individuals

Universe:

adult persons (18+)

Time Method:

September 29 to October 11, 2001

Sampling Procedure:

Sample size N=1794; based on three-level sampling procedure; 1-st -- (so-called) statistical regions (Central Statistical Office regionalization), 2-nd -- residence addresses, 3-rd -- (in case of more than single-person household) selection of the respondent from multi-member household.
response rate=55.4 %

Mode of Data Collection:

In person, face-to face interviews

Weighting:

The weighting factor consists of the following parameters:

1) sex (2 categories: male and female), age (5 different categories for males and females: males: 18-24, 25-34, 35-49, 50-64 and 65 or more; females: 18-24, 25-34, 35-49, 50-59 and 60 or more), educational level (4 categories: elementary, basic vocational, secondary and higher), place of residence (4 categories: villages, cities 20000 or less, cities 20000-100000, and cities more than 100000 inhabitants) and economic activity.

2) Because of the probability of selection inside the household are inversely proportional to the number of adults in the household another procedure was implemented to correct such an unequal probabilities by weighting the results by the number of adults in the household.

voivod Voivodship

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 dolnoslaskie	141	7,8	7,8
	2 kujawsko-pomorskie	90	5,0	5,0
	3 lubelskie	111	6,2	6,2
	4 lubuskie	49	2,7	2,7
	5 lodzkie	123	6,9	6,9
	6 malopolskie	131	7,3	7,3
	7 mazowieckie	197	11,0	11,0
	8 opolskie	50	2,8	2,8
	9 podkarpackie	102	5,7	5,7
	10 podlaskie	62	3,5	3,5
	11 pomorskie	92	5,1	5,1
	12 slaskie	258	14,4	14,4
	13 swietokrzyskie	88	4,9	4,9
	14 warminsko-mazurskie	69	3,8	3,8
	15 wielkopolskie	156	8,7	8,7
	16 zachodniopomorskie	75	4,2	4,2
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

respond Respondent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1	712	39,7	39,7
	2	618	34,5	34,5
	3	287	16,0	16,0
	4	136	7,6	7,6
	5	25	1,4	1,4
	6	7	0,4	0,4
	7	6	0,4	0,4
	8	3	0,2	0,2
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

startho hour of interview beginning

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	1	0,0	0,0
	7	3	0,1	0,1
	8	33	1,8	1,8
	9	76	4,2	4,2
	10	143	8,0	8,0
	11	132	7,3	7,3
	12	143	8,0	8,0
	13	160	8,9	8,9
	14	162	9,0	9,0
	15	201	11,2	11,2
	16	218	12,2	12,2
	17	221	12,3	12,3
	18	170	9,5	9,5
	19	99	5,5	5,5
	20	30	1,7	1,7
	21	1	0,1	0,1
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

startmin minute of interview beginning

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	378	21,0	21,0
	1	6	0,3	0,3
	3	8	0,4	0,4
	5	136	7,6	7,6
	7	4	0,2	0,2
	8	6	0,3	0,3
	9	2	0,1	0,1
	10	222	12,4	12,4
	11	1	0,1	0,1
	12	4	0,2	0,2
	13	2	0,1	0,1
	14	2	0,1	0,1
	15	141	7,9	7,9
	16	3	0,1	0,1
	17	2	0,1	0,1
	18	4	0,2	0,2
	19	1	0,1	0,1
	20	140	7,8	7,8
	21	2	0,1	0,1
	23	1	0,1	0,1
	24	2	0,1	0,1
	25	43	2,4	2,4
	27	2	0,1	0,1
	28	3	0,2	0,2
	30	231	12,9	12,9
	31	1	0,1	0,1
	32	3	0,2	0,2
	33	0	0,0	0,0
	34	3	0,1	0,1
	35	44	2,4	2,4
	36	1	0,0	0,0
	38	1	0,1	0,1
	39	2	0,1	0,1
	40	100	5,6	5,6
	42	2	0,1	0,1
	43	0	0,0	0,0
	44	1	0,1	0,1
	45	95	5,3	5,3
	46	2	0,1	0,1
	47	1	0,0	0,0
	48	5	0,3	0,3
	49	1	0,0	0,0
	50	122	6,8	6,8
	51	0	0,0	0,0
	52	1	0,0	0,0
	53	1	0,0	0,0
	54	3	0,2	0,2
	55	53	3,0	3,0
	56	0	0,0	0,0
	57	1	0,1	0,1
	58	4	0,2	0,2
	59	1	0,0	0,0
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

coordin Coordinator

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1	47	2,6	2,6
	2	123	6,9	6,9
	3	12	0,7	0,7
	4	79	4,4	4,4
	5	49	2,7	2,7
	7	1	0,1	0,1
	8	92	5,1	5,1
	9	30	1,7	1,7
	10	131	7,3	7,3
	11	25	1,4	1,4
	12	99	5,5	5,5
	13	122	6,8	6,8
	14	69	3,8	3,8
	15	17	0,9	0,9
	16	78	4,3	4,3
	17	9	0,5	0,5
	18	99	5,5	5,5
	19	60	3,4	3,4
	20	58	3,2	3,2
	21	54	3,0	3,0
	22	66	3,7	3,7
	23	125	7,0	7,0
	24	93	5,2	5,2
	67	256	14,3	14,3
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

direc008 J1. things in our country go in right or in wrong direction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 right	379	21,1	21,1
	2 wrong	1 154	64,3	64,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	261	14,6	14,6
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

lawsy009 P2. legal equality: poor vs rich

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 laws favor the rich over the poor	1 412	78,7	78,8
	2 laws treat the rich and poor equally	206	11,5	11,5
	3 laws favor the poor over the rich	66	3,7	3,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	108	6,0	6,0
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

regim010 P3. evaluation of our political system

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 is sound and needs no changes	42	2,3	2,3
	2 is generally sound, although it needs minor changes	410	22,8	22,8
	3 is performing poorly and needs lost of changes	749	41,7	41,7
	4 is malfunctioning and needs crucial change	439	24,5	24,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	155	8,6	8,6
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

intpo011 P4. respondent interest in politics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 extremely high	56	3,1	3,1
	2 high	202	11,3	11,3
	3 medium	874	48,7	48,7
	4 marginal	377	21,0	21,0
	5 none	275	15,3	15,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	9	0,5	0,5
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

campg012 C5. things people do during election - persuade to vote for

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	128	7,1	7,1
	2 no	1 658	92,4	92,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	6	0,4	0,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

camfq013 C6. how often persuade to vote

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 frequently	22	1,2	17,2
	2 occasionally	61	3,4	47,7
	3 rarely	45	2,5	35,1
	Total	128	7,1	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	9 not applicable	1 665	92,8	
	Total	1 666	92,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

camac014 C7. things people do during election - support for a party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	74	4,1	4,1
	2 no	1 713	95,5	95,6
	7 don't know, hard to say	6	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

actfq015 C8. how often - showed support for a particular party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 frequently	19	1,1	25,9
	2 occasionally	18	1,0	24,1
	3 rarely	37	2,1	50,0
	Total	74	4,1	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	9 not applicable	1 719	95,8	
	Total	1 720	95,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

persu016 C9. during last campaign did candid. persuade to vote for him

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	105	5,8	5,8
	2 no	1 680	93,7	94,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	4	0,2	0,2
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pt01_017 C10. did respondent vote in most recent election

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes, I did vote	1 045	58,3	58,6
	2 no, I didn't vote	734	40,9	41,2
	3 I am not eligible	3	0,2	0,2
	Total	1 783	99,4	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	11	0,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

vt01_018 C11. which party respondent voted for - Sejm 2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP (koalicja) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	433	24,2	42,8
	2 AWSP (koalicja) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	36	2,0	3,6
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	21	1,2	2,1
	4 SRP ("Samoobrona") Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	111	6,2	10,9
	5 PiS "Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc"	95	5,3	9,4
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	84	4,7	8,3
	7 PO (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow Platforma Obywatelska	132	7,4	13,0
	8 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	2	0,1	0,2
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	69	3,9	6,8
	11 MN (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow "Mniejszosc Niemiecka"	3	0,1	0,3
	13 PUG Polska Unia Gospodarcza	1	0,1	0,1
	14 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	0	0,0	0,0
	15 NMGS (Kom. Wyborcow)Niemiecka Mniejszosc Gornego Slaska	5	0,3	0,5
	96 invalid vote	4	0,2	0,4
	97 DK, don't remember	17	1,0	1,7
	Total	1 014	56,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	31	1,7	
	99 not applicable	749	41,7	
	Total	780	43,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

senat019 C12. 1. which party respondent voted for - Senat 2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	403	22,5	41,0
	2 Blok Senat 2001 (Komitet Wyborczy Wyborcow)	247	13,8	25,1
	3 PSL Polskiego Stronnictwa Ludowego	90	5,0	9,1
	4 Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	62	3,5	6,3
	6 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	34	1,9	3,5
	7 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	1	0,0	0,1
	10 FOChD Forum Obywatelskie Chrzescijanska Demokracja	1	0,0	0,1
	15 Ryszard Matusiak	1	0,0	0,1
	30 Tadeusz Dabrowski	0	0,0	0,0
	31 "Klub Sluzby Niepodleglosci - Okregu Wyborczego Nr 10"	2	0,1	0,2
	44 "Mniejszosc Niemiecka"	6	0,3	0,6
	45 "Opolski Komitet Obywatelski"	1	0,0	0,1
	56 Sympatykow Lecha Kaczynskiego	2	0,1	0,2
	75 Henryk Tadeusz Stoklosa	10	0,6	1,0
	97 DK, don't remember	124	6,9	12,6
	Total	984	54,8	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	25	1,4	
	99 not applicable	785	43,8	
	Total	810	45,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

senat020 C12. 2. which party respondent voted for - Senat 2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	266	14,8	45,6
	2 Blok Senat 2001 (Komitet Wyborczy Wyborcow)	174	9,7	29,8
	3 PSL Polskiego Stronnictwa Ludowego	60	3,3	10,2
	4 Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	32	1,8	5,5
	6 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	17	1,0	3,0
	7 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Społeczny	2	0,1	0,3
	8 PUG Komitet Wyborczy Polskiej Unii Gospodarczej	2	0,1	0,4
	31 "Klub Służby Niepodległości - Okręgu Wyborczego Nr 10"	1	0,0	0,1
	32 Niezależny Pozapartyjny Komitet Wyborczy Wyborcow	2	0,1	0,3
	44 "Mniejszość Niemiecka"	3	0,2	0,5
	56 Sympatyków Lecha Kaczyńskiego	1	0,0	0,1
	97 DK, don't remember	24	1,3	4,1
	Total	583	32,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	3	0,2	
	99 not applicable	1 208	67,3	
	Total	1 211	67,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

senat021 C12. 3. which party respondent voted for - Senat 2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	90	5,0	40,0
	2 Blok Senat 2001 (Komitet Wyborczy Wyborcow)	78	4,4	34,7
	3 PSL Polskiego Stronnictwa Ludowego	27	1,5	11,8
	4 Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	10	0,5	4,3
	5 UPR Konserw.-Liber. Partii Unia Polityki Realnej	1	0,1	0,4
	6 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	11	0,6	4,9
	56 Sympatyków Lecha Kaczyńskiego	1	0,1	0,4
	97 DK, don't remember	8	0,4	3,5
	Total	226	12,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable	1 567	87,4	
	Total	1 568	87,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

senat022 C12. 4. which party respondent voted for - Senat 2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	7	0,4	24,0
	2 Blok Senat 2001 (Komitet Wyborczy Wyborcow)	21	1,2	72,6
	3 PSL Polskiego Stronnictwa Ludowego	1	0,1	3,4
	Total	28	1,6	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 766	98,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

issue023 C13. most important issue facing Poland over the last 4 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 unemployment	1 030	57,4	57,4
	2 poverty, social assistance	69	3,8	3,8
	3 low incomes	19	1,1	1,1
	4 financial problems of pensioners, retired	16	0,9	0,9
	5 increasing prices	7	0,4	0,4
	6 social and economic inequalities	3	0,2	0,2
	7 future uncertainty	4	0,2	0,2
	8 housing problems, construction	3	0,2	0,2
	9 securing higher life standards	7	0,4	0,4
	10 security, fighting crime	18	1,0	1,0
	11 law and courts problems	12	0,7	0,7
	12 combat corruption, theft, state overspending	25	1,4	1,4
	13 bureaucracy	1	0,0	0,0
	14 reforms -in general	79	4,4	4,4
	15 health service reform	34	1,9	1,9
	16 education reform	4	0,2	0,2
	17 pension system reform	5	0,3	0,3
	18 rural & countryside problems	51	2,9	2,9
	19 poor governance, problems with coalition and parties	37	2,0	2,0
	21 budget deficit, public finances	74	4,1	4,1
	22 the economy	90	5,0	5,0
	23 taxes	6	0,3	0,3
	24 mining, steelworks state rail	1	0,1	0,1
	25 closing down enterprises	2	0,1	0,1
	26 privatization & reprivatization	23	1,3	1,3
	27 sell-out of Polish property	16	0,9	0,9
	28 international relations of PL	1	0,1	0,1
	29 EU and NATO access	46	2,5	2,5
	30 youth problems	4	0,2	0,2
	31 ecology, environment pollution	1	0,0	0,0
	32 natural disasters, flood	7	0,4	0,4
	33 election	2	0,1	0,1
	94 other	19	1,0	1,0
	97 don't know	80	4,4	4,4
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

govjb024 C14. issue: how good job gov. has done over past 4 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very good job	3	0,1	0,1
	2 good job	78	4,3	4,3
	3 bad job	742	41,4	41,4
	4 very bad job	856	47,7	47,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	115	6,4	6,4
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

govpe025 C15. performance:how good job gov. has done over past 4 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	2 good job	167	9,3	9,3
	3 bad job	899	50,1	50,1
	4 very bad job	599	33,4	33,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	129	7,2	7,2
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

satde026 C16. satisfaction with the way democracy works

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very satisfied	53	3,0	3,0
	2 fairly satisfied	578	32,2	32,2
	3 not very satisfied	709	39,5	39,6
	4 not at all satisfied	279	15,5	15,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	174	9,7	9,7
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

whogv027 C17. does it make a difference who is in power

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 it makes a big difference	611	34,0	34,1
	2	436	24,3	24,3
	3 middle of the scale	342	19,1	19,1
	4	139	7,7	7,8
	5 it doesn't make any difference	179	10,0	10,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	85	4,7	4,7
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

forvt028 C18. does it make a difference who people vote for

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 won't make any difference	276	15,4	15,4
	2	217	12,1	12,1
	3 middle of the scale	382	21,3	21,4
	4	430	23,9	24,0
	5 can make a big difference	396	22,1	22,1
	7 don't know, hard to say	89	5,0	5,0
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

churl029 C19. democracy may have probl. but it's better than any other form

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	419	23,4	23,4
	2 rather agree	828	46,2	46,2
	3 rather disagree	188	10,5	10,5
	4 disagree strongly	55	3,1	3,1
	7 don't know, hard to say	301	16,8	16,8
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pt97_030 C20. did respondent vote in the parliamentary election 1997

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes, I did vote	1 157	64,5	64,7
	2 no, I didn't vote	362	20,2	20,3
	3 I was not eligible	175	9,8	9,8
	7 don't remember	93	5,2	5,2
	Total	1 788	99,6	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	6	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

vt97_031 C21. which party did R. vote for in election 1997- Sejm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 UP Unia Pracy	40	2,2	3,5
	2 N-Ch-D BdP Nar.-Chrzescij.-Dem. Blok dla Polski	6	0,3	0,5
	3 KPEiR RP Krajowe Porozum. Emerytow i Rencistow RP	3	0,2	0,2
	4 UW Unia Wolnosci	96	5,4	8,4
	5 AWS Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	302	16,8	26,3
	6 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	323	18,0	28,1
	7 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	78	4,4	6,8
	8 UPR Unia Prawicy Rzeczpospolitej	2	0,1	0,2
	9 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	17	1,0	1,5
	10 KPEiR Krajowa Partia Emerytow i Rencistow	3	0,2	0,3
	12 Samoobrona Przymierze Samoobrona	6	0,4	0,6
	13 PPP Porozumienie Prawicy Polskiej	1	0,1	0,1
	21 TS-KN Sl.Op. Tow. Spol.-Kult. Niemcow na Sl. Opolskim	2	0,1	0,1
	97 DK, don't remember	270	15,1	23,5
	Total	1 151	64,1	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	5	0,3	
	99 not applicable	638	35,6	
	Total	643	35,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

perfr032 C22. how well did party R. voted for then perform over past 4 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very good job	28	1,5	3,1
	2 good job	377	21,0	42,7
	3 bad job	318	17,7	36,0
	4 very bad job	94	5,3	10,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	66	3,7	7,4
	Total	883	49,2	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	9 not applicable	908	50,6	
	Total	911	50,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sen97033 C23. 1. which party did R. vote for in election 1997- Senat

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 UP Unia Pracy	20	1,1	1,8
	2 N-Ch-D BdP Nar.-Chrzescij.-Dem. Blok dla Polski	4	0,2	0,3
	3 KPEiR RP Krajowe Porozum. Emerytow i Rencistow RP	1	0,1	0,1
	4 UW Unia Wolnosci	74	4,1	6,7
	5 AWS Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	244	13,6	22,1
	6 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	265	14,8	24,0
	7 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	67	3,8	6,1
	8 UPR Unia Prawicy Rzeczpospolitej	2	0,1	0,1
	9 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	6	0,4	0,6
	10 KPEiR Krajowa Partia Emerytow i Rencistow	3	0,1	0,2
	11 PWN PSN Polska Wspoln. Nar. Polskie Stronn. Nar.	3	0,1	0,2
	12 Samoobrona Przymierze Samoobrona	2	0,1	0,2
	13 PPP Porozumienie Prawicy Polskiej	2	0,1	0,2
	15 NiB "Independent & Non-party"	3	0,2	0,3
	16 MN-Prawoslawni Slavic Ethnic Minority Assoc.-'Orthodox'	0	0,0	0,0
	19 PiP German Society 'Reconciliation & Future'	1	0,0	0,1
	20 TMN Woj. Op. German Minority Assoc. in Olsztyn province	2	0,1	0,2
	21 TS-KN Sl.Op. German Soc.-Cul. Assoc. in Silesia-Opole	1	0,1	0,1
	94 independent candidate	29	1,6	2,6
	97 DK, don't remember	374	20,9	33,9
	Total	1 103	61,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	5	0,3	
	98 refusal to answer	11	0,6	
	99 not applicable	676	37,7	
	Total	691	38,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sen97034 C23. 2. which party did R. vote for in election 1997- Senat

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 UP Unia Pracy	12	0,7	3,6
	2 N-Ch-D BdP Nar.-Chrzescij.-Dem. Blok dla Polski	0	0,0	0,1
	3 KPEiR RP Krajowe Porozum. Emerytow i Rencistow RP	1	0,1	0,4
	4 UW Unia Wolnosci	35	1,9	10,2
	5 AWS Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	108	6,0	32,0
	6 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	136	7,6	40,1
	7 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	31	1,8	9,3
	8 UPR Unia Prawicy Rzeczpospolitej	1	0,0	0,2
	9 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	1	0,1	0,4
	10 KPEiR Krajowa Partia Emerytow i Rencistow	1	0,1	0,4
	12 Samoobrona Przymierze Samoobrona	1	0,0	0,2
	22 SLP-PPS Sojusz Ludzi Pr.-Polska Praca Sprawiedl.	0	0,0	0,1
	94 independent candidate	6	0,4	1,9
	97 DK, don't remember	4	0,2	1,2
	Total	339	18,9	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 455	81,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

snt97035 C23. 3. which party did R. vote for in election 1997- Senat

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 UP Unia Pracy	4	0,2	6,1
	4 UW Unia Wolnosci	6	0,4	10,4
	5 AWS Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	15	0,8	24,0
	6 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	21	1,2	34,0
	7 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	8	0,5	13,3
	9 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	2	0,1	3,2
	10 KPEiR Krajowa Partia Emerytow i Rencistow	1	0,0	1,2
	21 TS-KN Sl.Op. German Soc.-Cul. Assoc. in Silesia-Opole	1	0,1	1,7
	94 independent candidate	2	0,1	3,0
	97 DK, don't remember	2	0,1	3,1
	Total	62	3,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable	1 730	96,5	
	Total	1 732	96,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

reprs036 C24. how well do elections ensure that views of voters are represented by MPs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very well	90	5,0	5,0
	2 quite well	692	38,6	38,7
	3 not very well	664	37,0	37,1
	4 not well at all	167	9,3	9,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	176	9,8	9,8
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

repar037 C25. does any of parties represent your views reasonably well

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	623	34,7	34,7
	2 no	919	51,2	51,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	251	14,0	14,0
	Total	1 793	100,0	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

wichp038 C26. which party represents your views best

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	260	14,5	42,1
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	10	0,6	1,7
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	19	1,1	3,1
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	89	5,0	14,5
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	33	1,8	5,3
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	59	3,3	9,6
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	60	3,4	9,8
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	30	1,7	4,9
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	1	0,1	0,2
	13 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	1	0,1	0,2
	14 UP Unia Pracy	10	0,5	1,6
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	11	0,6	1,8
	19 ZChN Zjednoczenie Chrzescijansko-Narodowe	1	0,0	0,1
	20 PPChD Polska Partia Chrzescijanskich Demokr.	1	0,0	0,1
	21 SKL Stronnictwo Konserwatywno-Ludowe	1	0,1	0,2
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	2	0,1	0,4
	23 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	2	0,1	0,3
	42 PPR-Ch Polska Partia Robotniczo-Chlopska	1	0,1	0,2
	43 SLD/UP koalicja SLD/UP	20	1,1	3,2
	91 Solidarność† (bez nazwy partii)	1	0,0	0,1
	95 other party	2	0,1	0,3
	97 don't know	3	0,2	0,5
	Total	618	34,4	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	98 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
	99 not applicable	1 171	65,3	
	Total	1 176	65,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lider039 C27. does any of party leaders represent R. views reasonably well

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	611	34,0	34,1
	2 no	933	52,0	52,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	250	13,9	13,9
	Total	1 793	100,0	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

wichl040 C28. which party leader represents your views best

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Leszek Miller SLD -Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	206	11,5	34,0
	2 other leader of SLD (M. Borowski, W. Cimoszewicz etc.)	32	1,8	5,3
	3 Jerzy Buzek AWSP -Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	15	0,8	2,4
	4 Bronisław Geremek UW-Unia Wolności	7	0,4	1,1
	5 Andrzej Lepper SRP -Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	113	6,3	18,7
	6 Lech Kaczyński PiS -Prawo i Sprawiedliwość	42	2,4	6,9
	7 Jarosław Kalinowski PSL -Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	49	2,7	8,0
	8 Maciej Płazynski PO -Platforma Obywatelska	11	0,6	1,8
	9 Donald Tusk PO -Platforma Obywatelska	6	0,3	0,9
	10 Andrzej Olechowski PO -Platforma Obywatelska	43	2,4	7,1
	11 Tomasz Karwowski ARS-Alternatywa - Ruch Społeczny	3	0,1	0,4
	12 Bolesław Tejchowski PWN -Polska Wspólnota Narodowa	1	0,1	0,2
	16 Piotr Ikonowicz PPS -Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	1	0,0	0,1
	17 Marek Pol UP -Unia Pracy	8	0,5	1,3
	25 Janusz Korwin-Mikke UPR -Unia Polityki Realnej	8	0,5	1,4
	26 Jan Olszewski ROP -Ruch Odbudowy Polski	8	0,5	1,3
	27 Antoni Macierewicz RK-N -Ruch Katolicko-Narodowy	3	0,1	0,4
	28 Jan Łopuszański PPol-Porozumienie Polskie	3	0,2	0,5
	31 Leszek Moczulski KPN-Konfederacja Polski Niepodległej	1	0,0	0,1
	33 Lech Wałęsa ChD III RP -Chrześcijańska Demokr. III RP	1	0,1	0,2
	90 other leader	40	2,2	6,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	7	0,4	1,1
	Total	607	33,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	99 not applicable	1 183	66,0	
	Total	1 187	66,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

parid041 C29. do you think of yourself as close to any particul. party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	677	37,7	37,8
	2 no	943	52,6	52,6
	7 don't know, hard to say	173	9,6	9,6
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pid01042 C30. 1. mentioned: which party R. feels close to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	252	14,0	37,3
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	17	0,9	2,5
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	24	1,3	3,5
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	71	4,0	10,5
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	44	2,4	6,5
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	75	4,2	11,1
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	63	3,5	9,3
	8 ARS Alternatywa - Ruch Spoieczny	2	0,1	0,3
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	29	1,6	4,2
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	1	0,0	0,1
	13 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	3	0,2	0,4
	14 UP Unia Pracy	13	0,7	1,9
	15 KPEiR Krajowa Partia Emerytow i Rencistow	4	0,2	0,6
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	21	1,2	3,1
	20 PPChD Polska Partia Chrzescijanskich Demokr.	1	0,0	0,1
	21 SKL Stronnictwo Konserwatywno-Ludowe	1	0,1	0,1
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	4	0,2	0,6
	23 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	2	0,1	0,3
	26 SN Stronnictwo Narodowe	1	0,0	0,1
	43 SLD/UP koalicja SLD/UP	48	2,7	7,1
	95 other party	1	0,1	0,2
	97 DK, no party mentioned	1	0,1	0,1
	Total	676	37,7	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable	1 117	62,3	
	Total	1 118	62,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pid02043 C30. 2. mentioned: which party R. feels close to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	11	0,6	10,4
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	4	0,2	3,5
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	28	1,6	25,9
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	14	0,8	13,1
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	11	0,6	10,6
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	13	0,7	11,6
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	10	0,6	9,1
	14 UP Unia Pracy	16	0,9	14,6
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	1	0,1	1,3
	Total	109	6,1	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 685	93,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pid03044 C30. 3. mentioned: which party R. feels close to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	2	0,1	8,9
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	1	0,1	6,6
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	3	0,1	15,2
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	3	0,2	18,6
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	1	0,1	8,6
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	1	0,1	8,1
	12 PUG Polska Unia Gospodarcza	1	0,1	5,3
	14 UP Unia Pracy	3	0,2	18,4
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	2	0,1	10,4
	Total	17	1,0	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 777	99,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidco045 C31. 1. mentioned: which party in block close to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	35	1,9	78,8
	14 UP Unia Pracy	2	0,1	4,7
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	4	0,2	9,1
	97 DK, no party mentioned	3	0,2	7,4
	Total	44	2,4	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 750	97,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidco046 C31. 2. mentioned: which party in block close to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	14 UP Unia Pracy	1	0,0	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 793	100,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidco047 C31. 3. mentioned: which party in block close to

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	99 not applicable	1 794	100,0

pidwh048 C32. which party R. feels closest to

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	38	2,1	34,8
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	1	0,1	1,2
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	8	0,4	6,9
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	9	0,5	8,3
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	7	0,4	6,7
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	10	0,5	8,7
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	11	0,6	10,3
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	7	0,4	6,8
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	1	0,0	0,6
	12 PUG Polska Unia Gospodarcza	1	0,1	0,8
	14 UP Unia Pracy	2	0,1	2,1
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	4	0,2	4,0
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	1	0,1	0,9
	23 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	2	0,1	1,6
	43 SLD/UP koalicja SLD/UP	1	0,0	0,7
	97 no party identified	6	0,3	5,7
	Total	109	6,1	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 685	93,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidcl049 C33. does R. feel closer to one of parties than the others

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	323	18,0	28,9
	2 no	660	36,8	59,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	135	7,5	12,1
	Total	1 118	62,3	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,0	
	9 not applicable	675	37,6	
	Total	676	37,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidcs050 C34. which party closer to than others

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	126	7,0	39,4
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	10	0,6	3,1
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	11	0,6	3,4
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	32	1,8	10,1
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	35	2,0	11,0
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	28	1,5	8,6
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	39	2,2	12,1
	8 ARS Alternatywa - Ruch Spoieczny	1	0,0	0,3
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	13	0,7	4,0
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	4	0,2	1,2
	13 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	1	0,1	0,3
	14 UP Unia Pracy	8	0,4	2,4
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	1	0,1	0,3
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	3	0,2	0,9
	23 ROP Ruch Odbudowy Polski	2	0,1	0,6
	41 KPEiR RP Krajowe Porozum. Emerytow i Rencistow RP	2	0,1	0,5
	43 SLD/UP koalicja SLD/UP	6	0,3	1,9
	Total	320	17,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	3	0,2	
	99 not applicable	1 471	82,0	
	Total	1 474	82,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pidst051 C35. how close to this party/party block

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very close	56	3,1	5,8
	2 somewhat close	300	16,7	30,9
	3 not very close	584	32,6	60,1
	7 don't know, hard to say	32	1,8	3,3
	Total	972	54,2	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,0	
	9 not applicable	821	45,8	
	Total	822	45,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

liksl052 C36A. SLD : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	191	10,6	10,7
	1	94	5,2	5,2
	2	105	5,8	5,9
	3	141	7,9	7,9
	4	105	5,8	5,9
	5 the middle of the scale	252	14,0	14,1
	6	140	7,8	7,9
	7	130	7,3	7,3
	8	163	9,1	9,1
	9	77	4,3	4,3
	10 strongly likes	202	11,3	11,3
	96 haven't heard of it	37	2,0	2,0
	97 hard to say	149	8,3	8,3
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

likaw053 C36B. AWSP: how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	647	36,1	36,2
	1	260	14,5	14,5
	2	199	11,1	11,1
	3	131	7,3	7,3
	4	81	4,5	4,5
	5 the middle of the scale	138	7,7	7,7
	6	46	2,6	2,6
	7	30	1,7	1,7
	8	27	1,5	1,5
	9	19	1,1	1,1
	10 strongly likes	42	2,3	2,3
	96 haven't heard of it	39	2,2	2,2
	97 hard to say	128	7,2	7,2
	Total	1 786	99,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

likuw054 C36C. UW : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	471	26,3	26,4
	1	205	11,4	11,5
	2	213	11,9	11,9
	3	184	10,3	10,3
	4	135	7,5	7,5
	5 the middle of the scale	167	9,3	9,3
	6	44	2,4	2,4
	7	47	2,6	2,6
	8	29	1,6	1,6
	9	13	0,7	0,7
	10 strongly likes	34	1,9	1,9
	96 haven't heard of it	66	3,7	3,7
	97 hard to say	179	10,0	10,0
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

liksa055 C36D. SRP : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	286	16,0	16,0
	1	158	8,8	8,9
	2	147	8,2	8,3
	3	161	8,9	9,0
	4	133	7,4	7,5
	5 the middle of the scale	236	13,2	13,2
	6	107	5,9	6,0
	7	92	5,2	5,2
	8	105	5,9	5,9
	9	43	2,4	2,4
	10 strongly likes	97	5,4	5,4
	96 haven't heard of it	54	3,0	3,0
	97 hard to say	165	9,2	9,3
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

likpi056 C36E. PiS : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	150	8,4	8,4
	1	92	5,1	5,1
	2	115	6,4	6,4
	3	166	9,2	9,3
	4	178	9,9	10,0
	5 the middle of the scale	300	16,7	16,8
	6	122	6,8	6,9
	7	107	6,0	6,0
	8	97	5,4	5,4
	9	59	3,3	3,3
	10 strongly likes	78	4,3	4,4
	96 haven't heard of it	136	7,6	7,6
	97 hard to say	185	10,3	10,4
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

likps057 C36F. PSL : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	165	9,2	9,3
	1	103	5,7	5,7
	2	167	9,3	9,3
	3	214	11,9	12,0
	4	181	10,1	10,1
	5 the middle of the scale	303	16,9	17,0
	6	135	7,5	7,5
	7	100	5,6	5,6
	8	84	4,7	4,7
	9	52	2,9	2,9
	10 strongly likes	43	2,4	2,4
	96 haven't heard of it	61	3,4	3,4
	97 hard to say	179	10,0	10,0
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

likpo058 C36G. PO : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	290	16,2	16,2
	1	138	7,7	7,8
	2	167	9,3	9,3
	3	162	9,0	9,1
	4	133	7,4	7,5
	5 the middle of the scale	218	12,2	12,2
	6	97	5,4	5,4
	7	88	4,9	4,9
	8	81	4,5	4,5
	9	45	2,5	2,5
	10 strongly likes	55	3,1	3,1
	96 haven't heard of it	107	6,0	6,0
	97 hard to say	203	11,3	11,4
	Total	1 785	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	9	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

liklp059 C36H. LPR : how strongly (dis-) likes party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 strongly dislikes	328	18,3	18,4
	1	151	8,4	8,5
	2	161	9,0	9,0
	3	114	6,4	6,4
	4	113	6,3	6,3
	5 the middle of the scale	176	9,8	9,8
	6	86	4,8	4,8
	7	61	3,4	3,4
	8	53	3,0	3,0
	9	36	2,0	2,0
	10 strongly likes	68	3,8	3,8
	96 haven't heard of it	202	11,2	11,3
	97 hard to say	237	13,2	13,3
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irsld060 C37A. SLD : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	888	49,5	49,7
	1	197	11,0	11,0
	2	163	9,1	9,1
	3	85	4,8	4,8
	4	85	4,7	4,7
	5 center	83	4,6	4,6
	6	12	0,7	0,7
	7	8	0,5	0,5
	8	13	0,7	0,7
	9	10	0,6	0,6
	10 right	27	1,5	1,5
	96 haven't heard of it	23	1,3	1,3
	97 hard to say	193	10,7	10,8
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Iraws061 C37B. AWSP: left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	47	2,6	2,6
	1	18	1,0	1,0
	2	31	1,8	1,8
	3	40	2,2	2,2
	4	37	2,1	2,1
	5 center	85	4,7	4,8
	6	91	5,1	5,1
	7	117	6,5	6,6
	8	162	9,1	9,1
	9	173	9,6	9,7
	10 right	704	39,2	39,4
	96 haven't heard of it	32	1,8	1,8
	97 hard to say	248	13,8	13,9
	Total	1 786	99,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Iruw_062 C37C. UW : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	41	2,3	2,3
	1	34	1,9	1,9
	2	48	2,7	2,7
	3	67	3,7	3,7
	4	98	5,5	5,5
	5 center	377	21,0	21,1
	6	156	8,7	8,7
	7	140	7,8	7,8
	8	172	9,6	9,6
	9	78	4,4	4,4
	10 right	199	11,1	11,1
	96 haven't heard of it	51	2,9	2,9
	97 hard to say	323	18,0	18,1
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
	99 no answer	0	0,0	
	Total	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irsam063 C37D. SRP : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	85	4,7	4,8
	1	46	2,6	2,6
	2	122	6,8	6,8
	3	135	7,5	7,5
	4	140	7,8	7,9
	5 center	398	22,2	22,3
	6	124	6,9	7,0
	7	92	5,1	5,2
	8	75	4,2	4,2
	9	37	2,0	2,1
	10 right	59	3,3	3,3
	96 haven't heard of it	50	2,8	2,8
	97 hard to say	422	23,5	23,6
	Total	1 785	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	9	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irpis064 C37E. PiS : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	21	1,2	1,2
	1	7	0,4	0,4
	2	35	1,9	2,0
	3	51	2,8	2,8
	4	64	3,6	3,6
	5 center	253	14,1	14,2
	6	216	12,0	12,1
	7	193	10,7	10,8
	8	213	11,9	11,9
	9	109	6,1	6,1
	10 right	155	8,6	8,7
	96 haven't heard of it	106	5,9	5,9
	97 hard to say	364	20,3	20,4
	Total	1 786	99,6	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irpsi065 C37F. PSL : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	61	3,4	3,4
	1	70	3,9	3,9
	2	148	8,3	8,3
	3	209	11,7	11,7
	4	255	14,2	14,3
	5 center	351	19,6	19,7
	6	129	7,2	7,2
	7	79	4,4	4,4
	8	59	3,3	3,3
	9	31	1,7	1,7
	10 right	35	2,0	2,0
	96 haven't heard of it	45	2,5	2,5
	97 hard to say	312	17,4	17,5
	Total	1 784	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
	99 no answer	2	0,1	
	Total	10	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irpo_066 C37G. PO : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	23	1,3	1,3
	1	20	1,1	1,1
	2	45	2,5	2,5
	3	62	3,4	3,4
	4	85	4,7	4,8
	5 center	279	15,5	15,6
	6	161	9,0	9,0
	7	188	10,5	10,5
	8	208	11,6	11,7
	9	120	6,7	6,7
	10 right	130	7,3	7,3
	96 haven't heard of it	85	4,7	4,7
	97 hard to say	380	21,2	21,3
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
	99 no answer	0	0,0	
	Total	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

Irlpr067 C37H. LPR : left-right placement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	33	1,9	1,9
	1	18	1,0	1,0
	2	38	2,1	2,1
	3	45	2,5	2,5
	4	42	2,3	2,3
	5 center	165	9,2	9,3
	6	84	4,7	4,7
	7	114	6,3	6,4
	8	124	6,9	7,0
	9	158	8,8	8,9
	10 right	339	18,9	19,0
	96 haven't heard of it	162	9,0	9,1
	97 hard to say	462	25,7	25,9
	Total	1 785	99,5	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	8	0,4	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	9	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

cntpl068 C38A. over past 5 years has R. contacted politic. or gov. official				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	81	4,5	4,5
	2 no	1 709	95,2	95,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	5	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
prtst069 C38B. over past 5 years has R. taken part in a protest, demonstr.				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	51	2,8	2,8
	2 no	1 739	96,9	96,9
	7 don't know, hard to say	5	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
joint070 C38C. over past 5 years has R. worked together with people				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	76	4,2	4,2
	2 no	1 713	95,5	95,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	6	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
human071 C39. respect for individ. freedom nowadays in Poland				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot of respect for individual freedom	85	4,7	4,7
	2 some respect	697	38,9	38,9
	3 not much respect, or	691	38,5	38,5
	4 no respect at all	222	12,4	12,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	99	5,5	5,5
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
widcr072 C40. how widespread corruption is amongst politic. in Poland				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very widespread	902	50,3	50,3
	2 quite widespread	615	34,3	34,3
	3 not very widespread	91	5,0	5,0
	4 it hardly happens at all	7	0,4	0,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	179	10,0	10,0
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
lrs1f073 C41. left-right self-placement				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 left	111	6,2	6,2
	1	97	5,4	5,4
	2	109	6,1	6,1
	3	152	8,5	8,5
	4	117	6,5	6,5
	5 center	415	23,1	23,3
	6	54	3,0	3,0
	7	98	5,5	5,5
	8	99	5,5	5,6
	9	50	2,8	2,8
	10 right	94	5,2	5,3
	97 don't know, hard to say	387	21,6	21,7
	Total	1 783	99,4	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	5	0,3	
	99 no answer	6	0,3	
	Total	11	0,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

motiv074 P42. reason R. voted in last election for cand. of this party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 patriotism	5	0,3	0,5
	2 anticommunism, antisovietism	3	0,2	0,3
	3 religious and moral values	12	0,7	1,2
	4 "right" self-identification	21	1,2	2,1
	5 democratic values, citizens duty	32	1,8	3,1
	6 pro-market liberal stance	0	0,0	0,0
	7 ideological "left" values	8	0,5	0,8
	8 "left" self-identification	7	0,4	0,7
	9 secular values	1	0,0	0,1
	10 party represents R. class	57	3,2	5,6
	11 ideological fit - in general	42	2,3	4,1
	20 generally: best party and its candidates	21	1,2	2,0
	21 positive assessment of the leader	15	0,8	1,5
	22 generally: sound program	149	8,3	14,5
	23 sound economic program	12	0,7	1,2
	24 positive personal traits of candidate	20	1,1	2,0
	25 competence of candidate or party	22	1,2	2,2
	27 because party is postcommunist	0	0,0	0,0
	28 strong party: vote for the strongest	5	0,3	0,5
	29 weak party: help the weaker	0	0,0	0,0
	30 positive feeling towards party - liking, sentiment	17	1,0	1,7
	31 trust in the party, candidate	30	1,6	2,9
	43 against joining, or postponing EU entry	3	0,2	0,3
	44 will guarantee security, order, law enforcement	34	1,9	3,3
	45 abortion issue	1	0,1	0,1
	46 fighting unemployment	31	1,8	3,1
	47 improve privatization procedures	2	0,1	0,2
	48 reduce taxes	5	0,3	0,5
	49 secure secondary school leaving examination - status quo	8	0,4	0,7
	50 evaluation of party's job when governing	6	0,3	0,6
	51 give chance to new party which never governed	20	1,1	2,0
	52 positive evaluation of socialist Poland	3	0,2	0,3
	53 negative evaluation of socialist Poland	0	0,0	0,0
	55 against former government	30	1,7	2,9
	56 against other party/ies e.g. SLD, AWS, UW	22	1,2	2,1
	57 disappointment, disillusionment	11	0,6	1,1
	58 "smaller evil" - tactical voting	5	0,3	0,5
	60 best candidate	1	0,0	0,1
	61 good evaluation of candidate skills and personality	21	1,2	2,1
	62 local, well-known candidate	21	1,2	2,0
	70 to foster changes	20	1,1	2,0
	71 to improve future	117	6,5	11,4
	72 to improve the economic situation	16	0,9	1,6
	73 to improve particular groups' situation	23	1,3	2,2
	74 party represents R. own interest	16	0,9	1,5
	80 influence of the Church & priests	3	0,2	0,3
	81 influence of the family, friends	38	2,1	3,7
	82 influence of the TV campaign	3	0,1	0,2
	90 lack of alternative, no other close	21	1,1	2,0
	91 R. votes always for this party/candidate	15	0,8	1,4
	94 other reason	38	2,1	3,7
	97 don't know	13	0,7	1,3
	Total	1 027	57,2	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	13	0,8	
	98 refusal to answer	5	0,3	
	99 not applicable	749	41,7	
	Total	767	42,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lognm075 P43. motive of voting: personal traits vs party s/he represents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 because of him/her personally	308	17,2	29,6
	2 because of the party she/he represents	683	38,1	65,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	49	2,8	4,7
	Total	1 041	58,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	749	41,7	
	Total	753	42,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

first076 R44. has candidate R. voted for been placed on the top of list

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	295	16,4	28,3
	2 no	525	29,3	50,4
	6 don't remember	210	11,7	20,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	11	0,6	1,1
	Total	1 041	58,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	749	41,7	
	Total	753	42,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

milie077 P46. voting of the closest social milieu

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 all the same	167	9,3	16,1
	2 most of them the same	380	21,2	36,5
	3 most of them different	110	6,1	10,6
	4 all different	41	2,3	4,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	343	19,1	32,9
	Total	1 041	58,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	749	41,7	
	Total	753	42,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nonvt078 P47. why did you refrain from voting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	11 work	26	1,5	3,6
	12 travel	69	3,9	9,6
	13 illness	94	5,2	12,9
	14 age, problems with mobility	15	0,8	2,0
	15 taking care of other person(s)	6	0,4	0,9
	16 other-independent from respondent	16	0,9	2,3
	17 communication problems, long distance	20	1,1	2,8
	18 lack of incentive	69	3,8	9,5
	21 skepticism, apathy	66	3,7	9,1
	22 lack of positive changes	34	1,9	4,7
	23 distrust towards parties and politicians	42	2,3	5,8
	24 hostility towards political system	9	0,5	1,2
	25 conviction of lack of influence over the results	57	3,2	7,8
	26 strong confidence of own party winning (or losing)	2	0,1	0,3
	31 protest against politicians & government	2	0,1	0,3
	32 protest against former government	9	0,5	1,3
	33 protest against poor financial condition	5	0,3	0,6
	34 protest against poor economic situation	1	0,1	0,1
	35 protest against SLD's economic propositions	2	0,1	0,3
	41 ignorance of programs, candidates	16	0,9	2,3
	42 generally: hesitation	28	1,6	3,8
	43 lack of interest in politics	61	3,4	8,5
	51 lack of relevant parties, programs	26	1,4	3,5
	52 lack of relevant candidates	29	1,6	3,9
	61 leaving decision to others	2	0,1	0,2
	62 ideology, religion etc	3	0,2	0,5
	94 other reasons	9	0,5	1,3
	97 don't know	6	0,3	0,8
	Total	726	40,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	5	0,3	
	98 refusal to answer	4	0,2	
	99 not applicable	1 060	59,1	
	Total	1 068	59,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

oblig079 R48. compulsory voting - which party you'd have chosen to vote for

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP (koalicja) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	159	8,9	21,7
	2 AWSP (koalicja) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	13	0,7	1,8
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	9	0,5	1,2
	4 SRP ("Samoobrona") Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	54	3,0	7,3
	5 PiS "Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc"	42	2,3	5,7
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	30	1,7	4,1
	7 PO (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow Platforma Obywatelska	38	2,1	5,2
	8 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	1	0,1	0,2
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	18	1,0	2,5
	11 MN (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow "Mniejszosc Niemiecka"	1	0,1	0,1
	14 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	0	0,0	0,1
	92 I would not vote despite the sanction	51	2,9	7,0
	93 I would cast invalid ballot	81	4,5	11,1
	97 hard to say	235	13,1	32,1
	Total	734	40,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,0	
	99 not applicable	1 060	59,1	
	Total	1 060	59,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

slidup080 R49. size of governmental coalition: SLD/UP alone or not

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 I'd prefer SLD/UP to govern alone	719	40,1	40,1
	2 I'd prefer other parties join SLD/UP form coalition gov.	616	34,3	34,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	457	25,5	25,5
	Total	1 791	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

coali081 R49-2. which parties should join SLD/UP form coalition gov.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 only PO	74	4,1	15,2
	2 only Samoobrona	83	4,6	17,0
	3 only PiS	44	2,5	9,0
	4 only PSL	133	7,4	27,1
	5 only LPR	12	0,7	2,5
	6 Samoobrona + PiS	16	0,9	3,2
	7 PiS + PSL	4	0,3	0,9
	8 PO + PiS	8	0,5	1,7
	9 PiS + LPR	4	0,2	0,8
	10 Samoobrona + PO	4	0,2	0,9
	11 Samoobrona + PSL	28	1,5	5,6
	12 Samoobrona + LPR	2	0,1	0,3
	13 PO + PSL	7	0,4	1,4
	14 PSL + LPR	1	0,1	0,2
	15 PO + LPR	3	0,2	0,6
	17 PO+Samoobrona+LPR	1	0,1	0,2
	18 Samoobrona+ PSL+ LPR	1	0,0	0,2
	19 PO+ Samoobrona+ PSL	2	0,1	0,3
	21 PO + PiS + PSL	1	0,0	0,2
	22 PiS + PSL + LPR	2	0,1	0,4
	23 Samoobrona+ PiS+ LPR	4	0,2	0,8
	24 PO+ Samoobrona+ PiS	1	0,0	0,2
	25 Samoobrona+ PiS+ PSL	1	0,1	0,2
	26 SRP + PiS + PSL+ LPR	1	0,1	0,2
	30 PO + SRP + PiS + PSL	2	0,1	0,4
	31 PO+SRP+PiS+PSL+LPR	3	0,2	0,6
	97 don't know	50	2,8	10,1
	Total	490	27,3	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	126	7,0	
	99 not applicable	1 178	65,7	
	Total	1 304	72,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nosld082 R50. all other (without SLD/UP) parties form gov. coalition

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	153	8,5	8,5
	2 no	1 163	64,8	64,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	478	26,7	26,7
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

mpm1_083 P51. 1. choice: materialist/post-materialist goals

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 maintaining order	817	45,6	45,6
	2 giving people more say	341	19,0	19,0
	3 fighting rising prices	534	29,8	29,8
	4 protect freedom of speech	54	3,0	3,0
	7 don't know, hard to say	45	2,5	2,5
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

mpm2_084 P52. 2. choice: materialist/post-materialist goals

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 maintaining order	568	31,7	31,7
	2 giving people more say	376	20,9	21,0
	3 fighting rising prices	636	35,4	35,5
	4 protect freedom of speech	115	6,4	6,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	98	5,5	5,5
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_crm085 P53A. important issue or not: crime

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	3	0,2	0,2
	1	5	0,3	0,3
	2	13	0,7	0,7
	3	11	0,6	0,6
	4	18	1,0	1,0
	5 fairly important issue	69	3,8	3,8
	6	40	2,2	2,2
	7	115	6,4	6,4
	8	253	14,1	14,1
	9	257	14,3	14,4
	10 a priority issue	990	55,2	55,2
	97 don't know, hard to say	18	1,0	1,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_prv086 P53B. important issue or not: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	159	8,8	8,9
	1	103	5,7	5,7
	2	151	8,4	8,4
	3	143	8,0	8,0
	4	121	6,7	6,7
	5 fairly important issue	397	22,1	22,2
	6	120	6,7	6,7
	7	112	6,2	6,2
	8	148	8,2	8,2
	9	76	4,2	4,2
	10 a priority issue	151	8,4	8,4
	97 don't know, hard to say	113	6,3	6,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_rel087 P53C. important issue or not: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	213	11,9	11,9
	1	186	10,4	10,4
	2	142	7,9	7,9
	3	145	8,1	8,1
	4	111	6,2	6,2
	5 fairly important issue	405	22,6	22,6
	6	92	5,1	5,1
	7	76	4,3	4,3
	8	118	6,6	6,6
	9	69	3,8	3,8
	10 a priority issue	159	8,8	8,8
	97 don't know, hard to say	77	4,3	4,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_nom088 P53D. important issue or not: communist nomenclatura probl.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	341	19,0	19,0
	1	212	11,8	11,9
	2	188	10,5	10,5
	3	185	10,3	10,3
	4	133	7,4	7,4
	5 fairly important issue	300	16,7	16,7
	6	56	3,1	3,1
	7	42	2,3	2,3
	8	58	3,2	3,2
	9	31	1,7	1,7
	10 a priority issue	74	4,1	4,1
	97 don't know, hard to say	175	9,7	9,7
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_une089 P53E. important issue or not: unemployment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	1	0,1	0,1
	1	1	0,1	0,1
	2	4	0,2	0,2
	4	1	0,1	0,1
	5 fairly important issue	7	0,4	0,4
	6	13	0,7	0,7
	7	27	1,5	1,5
	8	59	3,3	3,3
	9	165	9,2	9,2
	10 a priority issue	1 498	83,5	83,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	15	0,8	0,8
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_tax090 P53F. important issue or not: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	6	0,3	0,3
	1	7	0,4	0,4
	2	16	0,9	0,9
	3	19	1,1	1,1
	4	24	1,3	1,3
	5 fairly important issue	179	10,0	10,0
	6	93	5,2	5,2
	7	166	9,3	9,3
	8	318	17,7	17,7
	9	243	13,5	13,6
	10 a priority issue	656	36,5	36,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	66	3,7	3,7
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_eu0091 P53G. important issue or not: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	100	5,6	5,6
	1	60	3,3	3,3
	2	84	4,7	4,7
	3	83	4,6	4,6
	4	86	4,8	4,8
	5 fairly important issue	371	20,7	20,7
	6	149	8,3	8,3
	7	158	8,8	8,8
	8	183	10,2	10,2
	9	130	7,3	7,3
	10 a priority issue	263	14,7	14,7
	97 don't know, hard to say	125	7,0	7,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_soc092 P53H. important issue or not: state social respons.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	12	0,6	0,6
	1	6	0,3	0,3
	2	19	1,0	1,0
	3	33	1,8	1,8
	4	47	2,6	2,6
	5 fairly important issue	185	10,3	10,3
	6	128	7,1	7,1
	7	213	11,9	11,9
	8	312	17,4	17,4
	9	208	11,6	11,6
	10 a priority issue	547	30,5	30,5
	97 don't know, hard to say	84	4,7	4,7
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_agr093 P53I. important issue or not: state subsidies to agriculture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	18	1,0	1,0
	1	11	0,6	0,6
	2	32	1,8	1,8
	3	38	2,1	2,1
	4	64	3,6	3,6
	5 fairly important issue	233	13,0	13,0
	6	144	8,0	8,0
	7	183	10,2	10,2
	8	259	14,4	14,4
	9	201	11,2	11,2
	10 a priority issue	532	29,7	29,7
	97 don't know, hard to say	79	4,4	4,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

w_frc094 P53J. important issue or not: foreign capital in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 a marginal issue	123	6,9	6,9
	1	76	4,2	4,2
	2	99	5,5	5,5
	3	111	6,2	6,2
	4	103	5,7	5,8
	5 fairly important issue	386	21,5	21,5
	6	183	10,2	10,2
	7	142	7,9	7,9
	8	159	8,8	8,9
	9	75	4,2	4,2
	10 a priority issue	185	10,3	10,3
	97 don't know, hard to say	151	8,4	8,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_crm095 P54A. own position: crime

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 tough fight, even at the expense of citizen rights	700	39,0	39,0
	1	114	6,4	6,4
	2	128	7,2	7,2
	3	83	4,6	4,6
	4	60	3,4	3,4
	5 middle of the scale	132	7,4	7,4
	6	54	3,0	3,0
	7	60	3,4	3,4
	8	95	5,3	5,3
	9	64	3,6	3,6
	10 fighting with attention for citizens rights	276	15,4	15,4
	97 don't know, hard to say	26	1,4	1,5
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_prv096 P54B. own position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	88	4,9	4,9
	1	15	0,8	0,8
	2	38	2,1	2,1
	3	57	3,2	3,2
	4	75	4,2	4,2
	5 middle of the scale	305	17,0	17,0
	6	95	5,3	5,3
	7	119	6,7	6,7
	8	206	11,5	11,5
	9	102	5,7	5,7
	10 modernization financed by state budget	545	30,4	30,4
	97 don't know, hard to say	149	8,3	8,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_rel097 P54C. own position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	914	50,9	51,0
	1	169	9,4	9,5
	2	126	7,0	7,1
	3	77	4,3	4,3
	4	48	2,7	2,7
	5 middle of the scale	197	11,0	11,0
	6	38	2,1	2,1
	7	34	1,9	1,9
	8	38	2,1	2,1
	9	17	0,9	0,9
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	80	4,5	4,5
	97 don't know, hard to say	54	3,0	3,0
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_nom098 P54D. own position: communist nomenclature probl.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 nomenclature; banned from state functions	287	16,0	16,0
	1	71	4,0	4,0
	2	92	5,1	5,1
	3	90	5,0	5,0
	4	89	5,0	5,0
	5 middle of the scale	354	19,7	19,7
	6	65	3,6	3,6
	7	72	4,0	4,0
	8	102	5,7	5,7
	9	53	3,0	3,0
	10 nomenclature; the same rights as all others	307	17,1	17,1
	97 don't know, hard to say	210	11,7	11,7
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_une099 P54E. own position: unemployment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 absolute policy priority	1 011	56,4	56,4
	1	173	9,7	9,7
	2	147	8,2	8,2
	3	90	5,0	5,0
	4	61	3,4	3,4
	5 middle of the scale	117	6,5	6,5
	6	31	1,8	1,8
	7	24	1,3	1,3
	8	30	1,7	1,7
	9	15	0,8	0,8
	10 unemployment less important than other policy domains	69	3,9	3,9
	97 don't know, hard to say	25	1,4	1,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_tax100 P54F. own position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	704	39,3	39,3
	1	120	6,7	6,7
	2	144	8,0	8,0
	3	118	6,6	6,6
	4	50	2,8	2,8
	5 middle of the scale	193	10,8	10,8
	6	44	2,4	2,4
	7	34	1,9	1,9
	8	59	3,3	3,3
	9	33	1,8	1,8
	10 linear taxes	197	11,0	11,0
	97 don't know, hard to say	95	5,3	5,3
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_eu0101 P54G. own position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	267	14,9	14,9
	1	68	3,8	3,8
	2	83	4,6	4,6
	3	87	4,8	4,8
	4	101	5,6	5,6
	5 middle of the scale	375	20,9	20,9
	6	83	4,6	4,6
	7	74	4,1	4,1
	8	110	6,1	6,1
	9	65	3,6	3,6
	10 protect our sovereignty	295	16,4	16,4
	97 don't know, hard to say	185	10,3	10,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_agr102 P54H. own position: state subsidies to agriculture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 state subsidies for agriculture	667	37,2	37,2
	1	111	6,2	6,2
	2	188	10,5	10,5
	3	171	9,5	9,5
	4	98	5,5	5,5
	5 middle of the scale	252	14,0	14,1
	6	40	2,2	2,2
	7	46	2,6	2,6
	8	37	2,1	2,1
	9	33	1,9	1,9
	10 no subsidies for agriculture	55	3,1	3,1
	97 don't know, hard to say	94	5,2	5,2
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_soc103 P54I. own position: state social respons.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 state should take care of citizens social safety net	942	52,5	52,5
	1	175	9,8	9,8
	2	163	9,1	9,1
	3	132	7,4	7,4
	4	64	3,6	3,6
	5 middle of the scale	161	9,0	9,0
	6	28	1,6	1,6
	7	23	1,3	1,3
	8	32	1,8	1,8
	9	12	0,6	0,6
	10 citizens should take care of the safety net on their own	27	1,5	1,5
	97 don't know, hard to say	36	2,0	2,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

p_frc104 P54J. own position: foreign capital in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 free inflow of foreign capital	255	14,2	14,2
	1	83	4,7	4,7
	2	94	5,3	5,3
	3	106	5,9	5,9
	4	71	4,0	4,0
	5 middle of the scale	323	18,0	18,0
	6	53	3,0	3,0
	7	86	4,8	4,8
	8	140	7,8	7,8
	9	79	4,4	4,4
	10 limiting inflow of foreign capital	324	18,1	18,1
	97 don't know, hard to say	179	10,0	10,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

slprv105 P55B. SLD position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	100	5,6	5,6
	1	61	3,4	3,4
	2	81	4,5	4,5
	3	114	6,4	6,4
	4	147	8,2	8,3
	5 middle of the scale	368	20,5	20,7
	6	103	5,8	5,8
	7	104	5,8	5,8
	8	125	7,0	7,0
	9	38	2,1	2,1
	10 modernization financed by state budget	98	5,4	5,5
	97 don't know, hard to say	442	24,6	24,8
	Total	1 782	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	12	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

slrel106 P55C. SLD position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	651	36,3	36,5
	1	216	12,0	12,1
	2	167	9,3	9,4
	3	111	6,2	6,3
	4	56	3,1	3,2
	5 middle of the scale	108	6,0	6,1
	6	20	1,1	1,1
	7	20	1,1	1,1
	8	19	1,0	1,0
	9	9	0,5	0,5
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	22	1,2	1,2
	97 don't know, hard to say	384	21,4	21,5
	Total	1 782	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	12	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sltax107 P55F. SLD position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	267	14,9	15,0
	1	104	5,8	5,8
	2	170	9,5	9,5
	3	151	8,4	8,5
	4	105	5,9	5,9
	5 middle of the scale	244	13,6	13,7
	6	64	3,6	3,6
	7	48	2,7	2,7
	8	47	2,6	2,6
	9	24	1,3	1,3
	10 linear taxes	75	4,2	4,2
	97 don't know, hard to say	481	26,8	27,0
	Total	1 782	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	12	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sleu0108 P55G. SLD position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	395	22,0	22,2
	1	161	8,9	9,0
	2	173	9,6	9,7
	3	124	6,9	7,0
	4	94	5,2	5,3
	5 middle of the scale	192	10,7	10,8
	6	69	3,8	3,9
	7	47	2,6	2,6
	8	48	2,7	2,7
	9	18	1,0	1,0
	10 protect our sovereignty	70	3,9	3,9
	97 don't know, hard to say	391	21,8	22,0
	Total	1 782	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	12	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

psprv109 P56B. PSL position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	42	2,4	2,4
	1	19	1,0	1,1
	2	51	2,8	2,9
	3	85	4,7	4,7
	4	117	6,5	6,6
	5 middle of the scale	279	15,6	15,7
	6	131	7,3	7,3
	7	144	8,0	8,1
	8	176	9,8	9,9
	9	93	5,2	5,2
	10 modernization financed by state budget	121	6,8	6,8
	97 don't know, hard to say	524	29,2	29,4
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

psrel110 P56C. PSL position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	118	6,6	6,6
	1	28	1,6	1,6
	2	98	5,5	5,5
	3	132	7,4	7,4
	4	144	8,0	8,1
	5 middle of the scale	435	24,2	24,4
	6	114	6,3	6,4
	7	77	4,3	4,3
	8	91	5,1	5,1
	9	12	0,7	0,7
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	35	2,0	2,0
	97 don't know, hard to say	497	27,7	27,9
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pstax111 P56F. PSL position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	185	10,3	10,4
	1	63	3,5	3,5
	2	154	8,6	8,7
	3	157	8,7	8,8
	4	123	6,9	6,9
	5 middle of the scale	281	15,7	15,8
	6	87	4,9	4,9
	7	56	3,1	3,2
	8	45	2,5	2,5
	9	16	0,9	0,9
	10 linear taxes	28	1,6	1,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	584	32,6	32,8
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pseu0112 P56G. PSL position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	69	3,9	3,9
	1	54	3,0	3,0
	2	73	4,1	4,1
	3	86	4,8	4,8
	4	108	6,0	6,0
	5 middle of the scale	308	17,2	17,3
	6	107	6,0	6,0
	7	114	6,3	6,4
	8	155	8,6	8,7
	9	77	4,3	4,3
	10 protect our sovereignty	145	8,1	8,1
	97 don't know, hard to say	485	27,0	27,2
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

poprv113 P57B. PO position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	243	13,5	13,6
	1	93	5,2	5,2
	2	154	8,6	8,6
	3	139	7,7	7,8
	4	107	6,0	6,0
	5 middle of the scale	219	12,2	12,3
	6	69	3,8	3,9
	7	51	2,9	2,9
	8	66	3,7	3,7
	9	18	1,0	1,0
	10 modernization financed by state budget	48	2,7	2,7
	97 don't know, hard to say	573	32,0	32,2
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

porel114 P57C. PO position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	148	8,2	8,3
	1	50	2,8	2,8
	2	81	4,5	4,6
	3	116	6,5	6,5
	4	135	7,5	7,6
	5 middle of the scale	362	20,2	20,3
	6	111	6,2	6,2
	7	79	4,4	4,4
	8	69	3,8	3,9
	9	21	1,2	1,2
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	35	2,0	2,0
	97 don't know, hard to say	573	31,9	32,2
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

potax115 P57F. PO position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	71	4,0	4,0
	1	29	1,6	1,6
	2	67	3,7	3,8
	3	63	3,5	3,6
	4	95	5,3	5,3
	5 middle of the scale	255	14,2	14,3
	6	90	5,0	5,1
	7	90	5,0	5,1
	8	123	6,9	6,9
	9	73	4,1	4,1
	10 linear taxes	228	12,7	12,8
	97 don't know, hard to say	593	33,0	33,3
	Total	1 779	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	2	0,1	
	Total	15	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

poeu0116 P57G. PO position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	352	19,6	19,8
	1	135	7,5	7,6
	2	150	8,4	8,4
	3	113	6,3	6,4
	4	77	4,3	4,3
	5 middle of the scale	164	9,2	9,2
	6	62	3,4	3,5
	7	36	2,0	2,0
	8	66	3,7	3,7
	9	27	1,5	1,5
	10 protect our sovereignty	69	3,9	3,9
	97 don't know, hard to say	527	29,4	29,6
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

piprv117 P58B. PiS position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	80	4,5	4,5
	1	47	2,6	2,6
	2	82	4,6	4,6
	3	93	5,2	5,2
	4	145	8,1	8,2
	5 middle of the scale	320	17,9	18,0
	6	109	6,1	6,1
	7	77	4,3	4,3
	8	52	2,9	2,9
	9	29	1,6	1,6
	10 modernization financed by state budget	44	2,5	2,5
	97 don't know, hard to say	701	39,1	39,4
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pirel118 P58C. PiS position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	114	6,4	6,4
	1	38	2,1	2,1
	2	67	3,8	3,8
	3	81	4,5	4,6
	4	128	7,1	7,2
	5 middle of the scale	345	19,2	19,4
	6	120	6,7	6,7
	7	89	5,0	5,0
	8	73	4,1	4,1
	9	23	1,3	1,3
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	46	2,5	2,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	655	36,5	36,8
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pitax119 P58F. PiS position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	76	4,3	4,3
	1	31	1,7	1,8
	2	66	3,7	3,7
	3	78	4,4	4,4
	4	99	5,5	5,6
	5 middle of the scale	357	19,9	20,1
	6	108	6,0	6,1
	7	84	4,7	4,7
	8	85	4,8	4,8
	9	24	1,3	1,4
	10 linear taxes	57	3,2	3,2
	97 don't know, hard to say	711	39,6	40,0
	Total	1 777	99,0	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	4	0,2	
	Total	17	1,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pieu0120 P58G. PiS position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	133	7,4	7,4
	1	46	2,5	2,6
	2	99	5,5	5,5
	3	107	6,0	6,0
	4	122	6,8	6,8
	5 middle of the scale	281	15,7	15,8
	6	110	6,2	6,2
	7	77	4,3	4,3
	8	72	4,0	4,0
	9	24	1,4	1,4
	10 protect our sovereignty	50	2,8	2,8
	97 don't know, hard to say	659	36,7	37,0
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

saprv121 P59B. SRP position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	51	2,8	2,8
	1	30	1,7	1,7
	2	48	2,7	2,7
	3	52	2,9	2,9
	4	66	3,7	3,7
	5 middle of the scale	146	8,1	8,2
	6	78	4,4	4,4
	7	119	6,7	6,7
	8	199	11,1	11,2
	9	133	7,4	7,5
	10 modernization financed by state budget	348	19,4	19,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	509	28,4	28,6
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sarel122 P59C. SRP position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	126	7,0	7,1
	1	39	2,2	2,2
	2	71	4,0	4,0
	3	80	4,4	4,5
	4	118	6,6	6,6
	5 middle of the scale	386	21,5	21,7
	6	113	6,3	6,3
	7	107	6,0	6,0
	8	85	4,7	4,8
	9	32	1,8	1,8
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	58	3,2	3,3
	97 don't know, hard to say	565	31,5	31,8
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

satax123 P59F. SRP position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	303	16,9	17,0
	1	136	7,6	7,6
	2	142	7,9	8,0
	3	108	6,0	6,1
	4	82	4,5	4,6
	5 middle of the scale	204	11,4	11,5
	6	60	3,4	3,4
	7	38	2,1	2,1
	8	40	2,2	2,2
	9	26	1,5	1,5
	10 linear taxes	47	2,6	2,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	594	33,1	33,4
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

saeu0124 P59G. SRP position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	55	3,1	3,1
	1	31	1,7	1,7
	2	52	2,9	2,9
	3	40	2,2	2,2
	4	31	1,7	1,8
	5 middle of the scale	192	10,7	10,8
	6	71	4,0	4,0
	7	112	6,2	6,3
	8	193	10,8	10,8
	9	139	7,7	7,8
	10 protect our sovereignty	380	21,2	21,3
	97 don't know, hard to say	485	27,0	27,3
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,1	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lpprv125 P60B. LPR position: privatization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 privatizing quickly: inefficient liquidate	55	3,1	3,1
	1	28	1,6	1,6
	2	46	2,6	2,6
	3	38	2,1	2,1
	4	64	3,6	3,6
	5 middle of the scale	227	12,6	12,7
	6	63	3,5	3,6
	7	77	4,3	4,3
	8	119	6,6	6,7
	9	81	4,5	4,6
	10 modernization financed by state budget	182	10,1	10,2
	97 don't know, hard to say	798	44,5	44,9
	Total	1 779	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	2	0,1	
	Total	15	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lprel126 P60C. LPR position: role of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 Church should be separated from state policies	36	2,0	2,0
	1	18	1,0	1,0
	2	24	1,4	1,4
	3	40	2,2	2,3
	4	37	2,1	2,1
	5 middle of the scale	144	8,0	8,1
	6	44	2,5	2,5
	7	94	5,2	5,3
	8	159	8,9	8,9
	9	149	8,3	8,4
	10 Church should exert influence over state policies	403	22,4	22,6
	97 don't know, hard to say	632	35,2	35,5
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	1	0,0	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lptax127 P60F. LPR position: tax policy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 progressive taxes	138	7,7	7,7
	1	59	3,3	3,3
	2	84	4,7	4,7
	3	82	4,5	4,6
	4	71	4,0	4,0
	5 middle of the scale	261	14,5	14,7
	6	64	3,6	3,6
	7	71	4,0	4,0
	8	53	3,0	3,0
	9	27	1,5	1,5
	10 linear taxes	52	2,9	2,9
	97 don't know, hard to say	817	45,6	45,9
	Total	1 779	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
	99 no answer	2	0,1	
	Total	15	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lpeu0128 P60G. LPR position: joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 joining EU as soon as possible	60	3,4	3,4
	1	29	1,6	1,6
	2	24	1,3	1,4
	3	28	1,6	1,6
	4	41	2,3	2,3
	5 middle of the scale	177	9,9	10,0
	6	58	3,2	3,2
	7	80	4,4	4,5
	8	108	6,0	6,1
	9	119	6,6	6,7
	10 protect our sovereignty	350	19,5	19,7
	97 don't know, hard to say	705	39,3	39,6
	Total	1 780	99,2	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	12	0,6	
	99 no answer	3	0,2	
	Total	14	0,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pcare129 D61. do political parties care what people think

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 political parties care what ordinary people think	41	2,3	2,3
	2	122	6,8	6,8
	3 middle of the scale	481	26,8	26,8
	4	466	26,0	26,0
	5 political parties in Poland don't care what people think	606	33,8	33,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	77	4,3	4,3
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pnecr130 D62. are political parties necessary

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 political parties are necessary to make our polit.syst. work	401	22,4	22,4
	2	521	29,0	29,1
	3 middle of the scale	439	24,5	24,5
	4	141	7,9	7,9
	5 political parties are not needed in Poland	131	7,3	7,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	157	8,8	8,8
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	3	0,2	
	Total	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

contc131 D63. R. contact politician in past year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	87	4,9	4,9
	2 no; no contact at all	1 699	94,7	94,8
	7 hard to say, don't remember	7	0,4	0,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

paltr132 J64. are there any programmatic differences among parties

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 there are programmatic differences	522	29,1	29,1
	2	545	30,4	30,4
	3 middle of the scale	336	18,7	18,7
	4	120	6,7	6,7
	5 there are no programmatic differences	65	3,6	3,6
	7 don't know, hard to say	204	11,4	11,4
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eleff133 J65. are elections effective way to evaluate leaders & parties

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely yes	418	23,3	23,3
	2 rather yes	805	44,9	44,9
	3 rather no	282	15,7	15,7
	4 definitely no	94	5,2	5,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	194	10,8	10,8
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eljus134 J66. did parties receive more or less as much votes as they deserve

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely fair	536	29,9	30,1
	2 rather fair	487	27,1	27,3
	3 rather not fair	236	13,2	13,3
	4 definitely not fair	84	4,7	4,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	438	24,4	24,6
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	8 refusal to answer	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lessv135 J67. which party received fewer votes than it deserves

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP (koalicja) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	115	6,4	14,3
	2 AWSP (koalicja) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	66	3,7	8,2
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	68	3,8	8,4
	4 SRP ("Samoobrona") Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	53	3,0	6,6
	5 PiS "Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc"	59	3,3	7,3
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	50	2,8	6,2
	7 PO (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow Platforma Obywatelska	66	3,7	8,2
	8 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	5	0,3	0,6
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	34	1,9	4,2
	11 MN (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow "Mniejszosc Niemiecka"	1	0,1	0,1
	13 PUG Polska Unia Gospodarcza	2	0,1	0,2
	15 NMGS (Kom. Wyborcow)Niemiecka Mniejszosc Gornego Slaska	1	0,1	0,2
	94 none	182	10,2	22,6
	97 don't know	104	5,8	12,9
	Total	807	45,0	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	987	55,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

morev136 J68. which party received more votes than it deserves

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP (koalicja) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	174	9,7	21,5
	2 AWSP (koalicja) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	12	0,6	1,4
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	5	0,3	0,6
	4 SRP ("Samoobrona") Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	162	9,0	20,0
	5 PiS "Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc"	8	0,4	1,0
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	4	0,2	0,5
	7 PO (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow Platforma Obywatelska	68	3,8	8,4
	8 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	3	0,2	0,4
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	51	2,8	6,3
	11 MN (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow "Mniejszosc Niemiecka"	1	0,0	0,1
	15 NMGS (Kom. Wyborcow)Niemiecka Mniejszosc Gornego Slaska	2	0,1	0,3
	94 none	188	10,5	23,3
	97 don't know	131	7,3	16,2
	Total	807	45,0	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	987	55,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prmis137 R69A. agree: politicians promise more than can deliver

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely agree	1 186	66,1	66,2
	2 rather agree	516	28,8	28,8
	3 rather disagree	33	1,9	1,9
	4 definitely disagree	10	0,5	0,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	46	2,6	2,6
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	0	0,0	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lose0138 R69B. agree: new MP-s lose touch with people quickly

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely agree	988	55,1	55,2
	2 rather agree	640	35,7	35,7
	3 rather disagree	66	3,7	3,7
	4 definitely disagree	6	0,3	0,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	91	5,1	5,1
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	0	0,0	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ownin139 R69C. agree: politicians are concerned mainly with their own interests

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely agree	928	51,7	51,8
	2 rather agree	616	34,3	34,4
	3 rather disagree	143	8,0	8,0
	4 definitely disagree	4	0,2	0,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	100	5,6	5,6
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	0	0,0	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pfrds140 R69D. agree: MP-s elecion depends on having political connections not skills

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely agree	749	41,7	41,8
	2 rather agree	580	32,3	32,4
	3 rather disagree	206	11,5	11,5
	4 definitely disagree	23	1,3	1,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	234	13,0	13,1
	Total	1 791	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	0	0,0	
	Total	3	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

noinf141 R69E. agree: people don't have any say what gov. does

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely agree	1 119	62,4	62,4
	2 rather agree	468	26,1	26,1
	3 rather disagree	122	6,8	6,8
	4 definitely disagree	31	1,7	1,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	52	2,9	2,9
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	0	0,0	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

hatrd142 R70. which party R. feels real aversion and anger towards

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	97	5,4	5,4
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	139	7,8	7,8
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	49	2,7	2,7
	4 SRP, ZZ Samoobrona Samoobrona Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej	77	4,3	4,3
	5 PiS Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc	3	0,2	0,2
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	5	0,3	0,3
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	18	1,0	1,0
	8 ARS Alternatywa - Ruch Spoieczny	0	0,0	0,0
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	40	2,2	2,2
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	4	0,2	0,2
	13 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	2	0,1	0,1
	14 UP Unia Pracy	1	0,0	0,0
	18 RS AWS Ruch Spol. Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc	190	10,6	10,6
	19 ZChN Zjednoczenie Chrzescijansko-Narodowe	6	0,3	0,3
	28 KPN Konfederacja Polski Niepodleglej	1	0,1	0,1
	29 KPN-O Konf. Polski Niep.-Ojczyzna (Konfeder.)	1	0,1	0,1
	36 ChDIIIRP Chrzescij. Demokracja III Rz. Posp.	1	0,1	0,1
	43 SLD/UP koalicja SLD/UP	2	0,1	0,1
	91 Solidarność† (bez nazwy partii)	13	0,7	0,7
	95 other party	0	0,0	0,0
	96 there is no such party	922	51,4	51,4
	97 don't know	222	12,4	12,4
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

banpr143 R71. should party R. feels real aversion to be banned

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	310	17,3	47,7
	2 no	291	16,2	44,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	49	2,7	7,6
	Total	650	36,2	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	1 144	63,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

winer144 Z72. does it make a difference who wins elections

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 an enormous difference	176	9,8	9,8
	2 a great difference	712	39,7	39,8
	3 a small difference	538	30,0	30,0
	4 no difference	265	14,7	14,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	100	5,6	5,6
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

decvt145 Z73. what are chances R. vote has a decisive impact on election results

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very high	93	5,2	5,2
	2 rather high	324	18,0	18,1
	3 rather low	523	29,2	29,2
	4 very low	389	21,7	21,7
	5 no chances	332	18,5	18,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	132	7,4	7,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

plcvt146 Z74. how easy for R. to get to polling station

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very easy	1 179	65,7	65,8
	2 somewhat easy	454	25,3	25,3
	3 somewhat difficult	91	5,1	5,1
	4 very difficult	43	2,4	2,4
	7 don't know, hard to say	25	1,4	1,4
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dutvt147 Z75. agree: voting is citizens duty

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	915	51,0	51,0
	2 rather agree	521	29,0	29,0
	3 rather disagree	216	12,0	12,0
	4 disagree strongly	69	3,8	3,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	73	4,1	4,1
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ecgov148 P76. gov. policies have impact on the condition of our economy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 considerably	1 120	62,4	62,5
	2 moderately	445	24,8	24,8
	3 insignificantly	102	5,7	5,7
	4 does not depend at all	20	1,1	1,1
	7 don't know, hard to say	106	5,9	5,9
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

figv149 P77. does R. household situation depend on gov. policies

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 depends extremely highly	635	35,4	35,4
	2 depends considerably	707	39,4	39,4
	3 depends moderately	266	14,8	14,8
	4 is totally independent	81	4,5	4,5
	7 don't know, hard to say	104	5,8	5,8
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pobck150 E78A. political situation in Poland during last 12 mnths

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improved	11	0,6	0,6
	2 rather improved	107	6,0	6,0
	3 did not change	447	24,9	25,0
	4 rather deteriorated	762	42,5	42,6
	5 definitely deteriorated	328	18,3	18,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	135	7,5	7,5
	Total	1 791	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	3	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ecbck151 E78B. economic situation in Poland during last 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improved	7	0,4	0,4
	2 rather improved	49	2,7	2,7
	3 did not change	278	15,5	15,5
	4 rather deteriorated	857	47,8	47,8
	5 definitely deteriorated	536	29,9	29,9
	7 don't know, hard to say	65	3,6	3,6
	9	0	0,0	0,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

hhbck152 E78C. mat. sit. of household during last 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improved	12	0,7	0,7
	2 rather improved	64	3,6	3,6
	3 did not change	666	37,1	37,2
	4 rather deteriorated	620	34,6	34,6
	5 definitely deteriorated	417	23,3	23,3
	7 don't know, hard to say	12	0,7	0,7
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

poprs153 E79A. evaluation of political situation in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very good	6	0,3	0,3
	2 good	114	6,4	6,4
	3 neither good nor bad	746	41,6	41,7
	4 bad	625	34,8	34,9
	5 very bad	154	8,6	8,6
	7 don't know, hard to say	145	8,1	8,1
	Total	1 791	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	3	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ecprs154 E79B. evaluation of economic situation in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very good	0	0,0	0,0
	2 good	44	2,5	2,5
	3 neither good nor bad	298	16,6	16,6
	4 bad	1 018	56,8	56,8
	5 very bad	373	20,8	20,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	59	3,3	3,3
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

hhmat155 E79C. evaluation of your material situation of household

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very good	8	0,4	0,4
	2 good	194	10,8	10,8
	3 neither good nor bad	710	39,6	39,6
	4 bad	616	34,3	34,4
	5 very bad	246	13,7	13,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	18	1,0	1,0
	Total	1 792	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	2	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pofut156 E80A. political situation in Poland in next 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improve	36	2,0	2,0
	2 rather improve	536	29,9	29,9
	3 not change	745	41,5	41,6
	4 rather deteriorate	144	8,0	8,0
	5 definitely deteriorate	32	1,8	1,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	298	16,6	16,7
	Total	1 791	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
	8 refusal to answer	1	0,1	
	Total	3	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ecfut157 E80B. economic situation in Poland in next 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improve	17	0,9	0,9
	2 rather improve	399	22,2	22,3
	3 not change	791	44,1	44,2
	4 rather deteriorate	273	15,2	15,2
	5 definitely deteriorate	47	2,6	2,6
	7 don't know, hard to say	264	14,7	14,8
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

hhfut158 E80C. material situation of household in next 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely improve	14	0,8	0,8
	2 rather improve	233	13,0	13,0
	3 not change	950	52,9	53,0
	4 rather deteriorate	288	16,0	16,1
	5 definitely deteriorate	75	4,2	4,2
	7 don't know, hard to say	233	13,0	13,0
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

fmreg159 P81. compare regime: current - former before 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 curr.regime is much better than previous	257	14,3	14,3
	2 slightly better	412	22,9	23,0
	3 nor better, nor worse	335	18,7	18,7
	4 slightly worse	234	13,0	13,0
	5 curr. regime is much worse than previous	339	18,9	18,9
	7 don't know, hard to say	216	12,1	12,1
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ecreg160 J82. compare economy: current - former before 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 our economy works much better then before 1989	125	7,0	7,0
	2 somewhat better	310	17,3	17,3
	3 neither better nor worse	264	14,7	14,7
	4 somewhat worse	364	20,3	20,3
	5 our economy works much worse then before 1989	463	25,8	25,8
	7 don't know, hard to say	267	14,9	14,9
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lifst161 J83. comp. standards of living: curr.-former before 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 now better off then before 1989	92	5,1	5,1
	2 somewhat better	253	14,1	14,2
	3 neither better nor worse	400	22,3	22,4
	4 somewhat worse	379	21,1	21,3
	5 now much worse off then before 1989	530	29,6	29,7
	7 don't know, hard to say	130	7,2	7,3
	Total	1 784	99,4	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	10	0,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

welth162 P84A. WEALTH: place on the ladder

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 poorest people	209	11,6	11,7
	2 ---2----	290	16,1	16,2
	3 ---3----	398	22,2	22,3
	4 ---4----	374	20,8	20,9
	5 ---5----	380	21,2	21,2
	6 ---6----	88	4,9	4,9
	7 ---7----	40	2,2	2,2
	8 ---8----	10	0,6	0,6
	10 wealthiest people	1	0,0	0,0
	Total	1 789	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
	98 refused	1	0,1	
	Total	5	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

influ163 P84B. INFLUENCE: place on the ladder

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 least influential people	486	27,1	27,2
	2 ---2----	402	22,4	22,5
	3 ---3----	365	20,3	20,4
	4 ---4----	259	14,4	14,5
	5 ---5----	169	9,4	9,4
	6 ---6----	53	3,0	3,0
	7 ---7----	34	1,9	1,9
	8 ---8----	12	0,7	0,7
	9 ---9----	1	0,1	0,1
	10 most influential people	6	0,3	0,3
	97 don't know	1	0,0	0,0
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
	98 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prest164 P84C. PRESTIGE: place on the ladder

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the least prestigious	96	5,3	5,3
	2 ---2----	110	6,1	6,2
	3 ---3----	222	12,4	12,4
	4 ---4----	272	15,2	15,2
	5 ---5----	496	27,6	27,7
	6 ---6----	217	12,1	12,1
	7 ---7----	157	8,8	8,8
	8 ---8----	139	7,7	7,8
	9 ---9----	22	1,2	1,2
	10 the most prestigious	55	3,1	3,1
	97 don't know	2	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 789	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	98 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	5	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prosp165 P84D. PROSPECTS: place on the ladder

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 worst prospects for the future	268	15,0	15,0
	2 ---2-----	231	12,9	12,9
	3 ---3-----	295	16,4	16,5
	4 ---4-----	235	13,1	13,1
	5 ---5-----	370	20,6	20,7
	6 ---6-----	142	7,9	7,9
	7 ---7-----	109	6,1	6,1
	8 ---8-----	82	4,6	4,6
	9 ---9-----	26	1,4	1,4
	10 best prospects for the future	29	1,6	1,6
	97 don't know	1	0,0	0,0
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	5	0,3	
	98 refused	1	0,1	
	Total	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

welth166 P85A. wealth: evaluation of changes since 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definite improvement	68	3,8	3,8
	2 moderate improvement	323	18,0	18,0
	3 no change	560	31,2	31,3
	4 moderate worsening	499	27,8	27,9
	5 definite worsening	268	15,0	15,0
	7 don't know	72	4,0	4,0
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

influ167 P85B. influence: evaluation of changes since 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definite improvement	50	2,8	2,8
	2 moderate improvement	282	15,7	15,7
	3 no change	995	55,5	55,5
	4 moderate worsening	285	15,9	15,9
	5 definite worsening	97	5,4	5,4
	7 don't know	83	4,6	4,6
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prest168 P85C. prestige: evaluation of changes since 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definite improvement	59	3,3	3,3
	2 moderate improvement	296	16,5	16,6
	3 no change	1 196	66,6	66,7
	4 moderate worsening	118	6,5	6,6
	5 definite worsening	43	2,4	2,4
	7 don't know	80	4,5	4,5
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prosp169 P85D. prospects: evaluation of changes since 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definite improvement	64	3,6	3,6
	2 moderate improvement	283	15,7	15,8
	3 no change	594	33,1	33,2
	4 moderate worsening	464	25,9	25,9
	5 definite worsening	274	15,3	15,3
	7 don't know	113	6,3	6,3
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

rich0170 P86A. CONFLICTS: poor vs rich

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	353	19,6	19,7
	2 rather strong conflict	700	39,0	39,1
	3 moderate	492	27,4	27,5
	4 no conflicted all	137	7,6	7,6
	7 don't know	110	6,1	6,1
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

workr171 P86B. CONFLICTS: workers vs middle class

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	98	5,4	5,5
	2 rather strong conflict	370	20,6	20,6
	3 moderate	870	48,5	48,6
	4 no conflicted all	280	15,6	15,6
	7 don't know	174	9,7	9,7
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

farmr172 P86C. CONFLICTS: farmers vs urban population

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	130	7,3	7,3
	2 rather strong conflict	400	22,3	22,3
	3 moderate	761	42,4	42,5
	4 no conflicted all	353	19,7	19,7
	7 don't know	147	8,2	8,2
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

beliv173 P86D. CONFLICTS: believers vs atheists

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	169	9,4	9,4
	2 rather strong conflict	287	16,0	16,0
	3 moderate	690	38,5	38,5
	4 no conflicted all	505	28,1	28,2
	7 don't know	141	7,8	7,8
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prl00174 P86E. CONFLICTS: positive vs critical eval.of social. Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	237	13,2	13,2
	2 rather strong conflict	566	31,5	31,6
	3 moderate	520	29,0	29,0
	4 no conflicted all	201	11,2	11,2
	7 don't know	267	14,9	14,9
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	8 refused	1	0,1	
	Total	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

educa175 P86F. CONFLICTS: educated vs uneducated

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong conflict	89	5,0	5,0
	2 rather strong conflict	321	17,9	17,9
	3 moderate	745	41,5	41,6
	4 no conflicted all	458	25,5	25,6
	7 don't know	178	9,9	9,9
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

supgv176 S87. evaluation of new government that has just been elected

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 support new government	956	53,3	60,9
	2 oppose new government	168	9,4	10,7
	8 other answer	446	24,9	28,4
	Total	1 570	87,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	224	12,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

gv97_177 S88. evaluation of former government 1997-2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 supported former government	294	16,4	17,5
	2 opposed former government	930	51,9	55,5
	8 other answer (neither / mixed / depends)	454	25,3	27,0
	Total	1 677	93,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	117	6,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

unite178 S89A. compare old and new gov. politicians-united

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the old government	164	9,2	12,2
	2 new government	1 089	60,7	81,1
	8 other answer	90	5,0	6,7
	Total	1 344	74,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	450	25,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

relia179 S89B. compare old and new gov. politicians-keep promises

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the old government	175	9,7	14,6
	2 new government	867	48,4	72,3
	8 other answer	158	8,8	13,2
	Total	1 200	66,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	594	33,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

defen180 S89C. comp. old and new gov. politicians-stand up for Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the old government	186	10,4	15,2
	2 new government	872	48,6	71,0
	8 other answer	169	9,4	13,8
	Total	1 228	68,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	566	31,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

acorr181 S89D. compare old and new gov. politicians-combat corruption

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the old government	136	7,6	11,4
	2 new government	876	48,8	73,6
	8 other answer	178	9,9	14,9
	Total	1 190	66,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	604	33,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

negeu182 S89E. comp.old and new gov.politic.-better at bargaining (EU)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 the old government	232	12,9	20,7
	2 new government	743	41,4	66,2
	8 other answer	147	8,2	13,1
	Total	1 122	62,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	672	37,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

jedwa183 S90. Presidential apologies for massacre of Jews in Jedwabne

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 right	607	33,8	36,4
	2 wrong	306	17,1	18,4
	3 not completely wrong, but unnecessary and inadvisable	618	34,5	37,1
	8 other answer	137	7,6	8,2
	Total	1 668	93,0	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	126	7,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

npart184 S91. better for Poland - gov. formed by one or more parties

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 one party	816	45,5	50,3
	2 more parties together in coalition	645	36,0	39,7
	8 other answer	162	9,0	10,0
	Total	1 623	90,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	171	9,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

inenf185 S92. how adequate is your family income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 not really enough to survive on	235	13,1	13,2
	2 only just enough to survive on	767	42,8	43,3
	3 enough for a fair standard of living	729	40,6	41,1
	4 enough for a good standard of living	42	2,3	2,4
	Total	1 773	98,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	21	1,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

speeu186 S93. joining Poland with EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 join the EU now	416	23,2	24,9
	2 join the EU at more favorable time in the future	906	50,5	54,3
	3 stay out of the EU	203	11,3	12,2
	8 other answer	145	8,1	8,7
	Total	1 670	93,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	124	6,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

refeu187 S94. suppose - voting today in a referendum on joining EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 for joining the EU	956	53,3	60,3
	2 against joining the EU	454	25,3	28,6
	8 would not vote	176	9,8	11,1
	Total	1 585	88,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	209	11,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

voteu188 S95. voting in a referendum on joining EU - main reason

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 effect of EU entry on our economy	996	55,5	72,0
	2 effect of EU entry on our political and cultural development	273	15,2	19,7
	8 other answer	114	6,3	8,2
	Total	1 383	77,1	100,0
Missing	0 would not vote	385	21,5	
	9 don't know, no answer	26	1,5	
	Total	411	22,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pareu189 S96. participation: if there really was referendum on EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 certain to go out and vote	943	52,5	56,3
	2 very likely to vote	413	23,0	24,6
	3 not very likely to vote	199	11,1	11,9
	4 certain not to vote	121	6,7	7,2
	Total	1 675	93,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	119	6,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

memnt190 S97. Poland should be a member of NATO or not

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 should be a member of NATO	1 260	70,2	76,5
	2 should not have joined NATO	218	12,2	13,3
	3 should leave NATO	59	3,3	3,6
	8 other answer	109	6,1	6,6
	Total	1 646	91,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	148	8,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

euroz191 S98. future of the zloty if we join EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 give up zloty - use only Euro	430	24,0	26,3
	2 use both zloty and Euro	668	37,3	40,8
	3 keep zloty as the only currency	411	22,9	25,1
	8 other answer	128	7,1	7,8
	Total	1 637	91,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	157	8,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

agreu192 S99A. If Poland joins EU our agriculture will

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 will benefit	528	29,4	35,6
	2 will be damaged	893	49,8	60,3
	8 other answer	61	3,4	4,1
	Total	1 482	82,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	312	17,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

staeu193 S99B. If Poland joins EU our state industries will

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 will benefit	382	21,3	27,1
	2 will be damaged	964	53,7	68,4
	8 other answer	64	3,6	4,6
	Total	1 410	78,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	384	21,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prieu194 S99C. If Poland joins EU our private industries will

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 will benefit	633	35,3	46,0
	2 will be damaged	667	37,2	48,4
	8 other answer	78	4,3	5,6
	Total	1 378	76,8	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	416	23,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

foreu195 S99D. If Poland joins EU foreign-owned industries will

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 will benefit	1 247	69,5	84,2
	2 will be damaged	180	10,0	12,2
	8 other answer	53	3,0	3,6
	Total	1 481	82,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	313	17,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

fameu196 S99E. If Poland joins EU R.& family standard of living will

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 will benefit	494	27,5	41,1
	2 will be damaged	536	29,9	44,6
	8 other answer	172	9,6	14,3
	Total	1 202	67,0	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	592	33,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

woreu197 S100A. Poles should have a right to take jobs in all EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	1 280	71,4	73,7
	2 rather agree	421	23,4	24,2
	3 rather disagree	20	1,1	1,1
	4 strongly disagree	6	0,3	0,3
	8 other answer	10	0,6	0,6
	Total	1 737	96,8	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	57	3,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

worpl198 S100B. workers from EU should have right to take jobs in Pol

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	963	53,7	56,3
	2 rather agree	581	32,4	34,0
	3 rather disagree	115	6,4	6,7
	4 strongly disagree	40	2,2	2,3
	8 other answer	12	0,7	0,7
	Total	1 711	95,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	83	4,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

landp199 S100C. foreigners from EU should have right to own land in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	150	8,3	8,9
	2 rather agree	232	12,9	13,8
	3 rather disagree	509	28,4	30,3
	4 strongly disagree	757	42,2	45,0
	8 other answer	33	1,9	2,0
	Total	1 681	93,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	113	6,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

indnt200 S101A. joining NATO is affecting Poland's independence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a great deal	112	6,2	7,6
	2 quite a lot	443	24,7	30,0
	3 only a little	699	38,9	47,3
	4 not much at all	184	10,2	12,4
	8 other answer	41	2,3	2,8
	Total	1 478	82,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	316	17,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

point201 S101B. Poland joining NATO is affecting behavior of NATO

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a great deal	40	2,2	2,8
	2 quite a lot	277	15,4	19,2
	3 only a little	878	49,0	61,0
	4 not much at all	212	11,8	14,7
	8 other answer	33	1,9	2,3
	Total	1 440	80,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	354	19,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

indeu202 S101C. joining the EU would affect Poland's independence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a great deal	106	5,9	7,5
	2 quite a lot	524	29,2	37,1
	3 only a little	596	33,2	42,2
	4 not much at all	137	7,6	9,7
	8 other answer	49	2,7	3,5
	Total	1 412	78,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	382	21,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

poleu203 S101D. Poland joining EU will affect behavior of EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a great deal	44	2,5	3,2
	2 quite a lot	276	15,4	19,6
	3 only a little	786	43,8	56,0
	4 not much at all	247	13,8	17,6
	8 other answer	51	2,8	3,6
	Total	1 404	78,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	390	21,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

bordr204 S102. joining EU - new laws on immigration will be good or bad for Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 good for Poland	774	43,2	51,4
	2 bad for Poland	474	26,4	31,5
	8 other answer	257	14,3	17,1
	Total	1 505	83,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	289	16,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

egoeu206 S103A. EU will always pay more attention to interest of West than Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	422	23,5	27,1
	2 rather agree	759	42,3	48,7
	3 rather disagree	314	17,5	20,1
	4 strongly disagree	31	1,7	2,0
	8 other answer	33	1,8	2,1
	Total	1 559	86,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	235	13,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

egont207 S103B. in a real crisis NATO would never take risks to defend Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	116	6,5	7,8
	2 rather agree	339	18,9	22,8
	3 rather disagree	659	36,7	44,3
	4 strongly disagree	322	17,9	21,7
	8 other answer	51	2,8	3,4
	Total	1 486	82,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	308	17,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eugoo208 S104A. EU is good or bad for Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 is good for Poland	875	48,8	61,9
	2 is bad for Poland	408	22,8	28,9
	8 other answer	130	7,2	9,2
	Total	1 413	78,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	381	21,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eufre209 S104B. EU defends or threatens freedom in Central Europe

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 EU defends or freedom in central Europe	1 080	60,2	76,4
	2 EU threatens freedom in central Europe	223	12,4	15,8
	8 other answer	111	6,2	7,8
	Total	1 414	78,8	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	380	21,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eplin210 S104C. EU protects or threatens Poland's independence & culture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 EU protects Poland's independence and culture	634	35,3	45,8
	2 EU threatens Poland's independence and culture	617	34,4	44,6
	8 other answer	133	7,4	9,6
	Total	1 384	77,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	410	22,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

brxls211 S104D. EU politicians and officials are corrupt or honest

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 EU politicians are honest and efficient	625	34,8	58,7
	2 EU politicians are corrupt and wasteful	306	17,0	28,8
	8 other answer	133	7,4	12,5
	Total	1 063	59,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	731	40,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ntgoo212 S105A. NATO is good - bad for Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 NATO is good for Poland	1 307	72,9	87,1
	2 NATO is bad for Poland	113	6,3	7,5
	8 other answer	81	4,5	5,4
	Total	1 501	83,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	293	16,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ntdef213 S105B. NATO is aggressive - defensive

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 NATO is defensive	1 436	80,1	91,9
	2 NATO is aggressive	70	3,9	4,5
	8 other answer	57	3,2	3,6
	Total	1 563	87,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	231	12,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ntfre214 S105C. NATO defends or threatens freedom in Central Europe

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 NATO defends freedom in central Europe	1 373	76,5	90,5
	2 NATO threatens freedom in central Europe	64	3,6	4,2
	8 other answer	80	4,4	5,3
	Total	1 517	84,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	277	15,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nplin215 S105D. NATO protects or restricts Poland's independence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 NATO protects Poland's independence	1 200	66,9	81,8
	2 NATO restricts Poland's independence	151	8,4	10,3
	8 other answer	115	6,4	7,8
	Total	1 466	81,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	328	18,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

thret216 S106. Who will be greater threat to Poland's independence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Russia	579	32,3	38,1
	2 EU	115	6,4	7,5
	3 NATO	34	1,9	2,2
	6 such a threat does not exist	432	24,1	28,4
	7 both Russia and EU/NATO equally	61	3,4	4,0
	8 depends, other answer	299	16,7	19,7
	Total	1 521	84,8	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	273	15,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

learn217 S107A. AGREE: Poland has a lot to learn from other countries

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	592	33,0	34,7
	2 rather agree	957	53,3	56,1
	3 rather disagree	118	6,6	6,9
	4 strongly disagree	26	1,5	1,5
	8 other answer	14	0,8	0,8
	Total	1 706	95,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	88	4,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

plcit218 S107B. AGREE: I would rather be citizen of Poland than any other country

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	1 044	58,2	59,9
	2 rather agree	534	29,8	30,6
	3 rather disagree	128	7,1	7,3
	4 strongly disagree	22	1,2	1,3
	8 other answer	16	0,9	0,9
	Total	1 743	97,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	51	2,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

shame219 S107C. AGREE: some things make me ashamed to be Polish

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	226	12,6	13,2
	2 rather agree	528	29,4	30,8
	3 rather disagree	623	34,7	36,3
	4 strongly disagree	310	17,3	18,1
	8 other answer	29	1,6	1,7
	Total	1 715	95,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	79	4,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

crtse220 S107D. AGREE: Poles are too ready to criticize their country

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	395	22,0	23,4
	2 rather agree	834	46,5	49,3
	3 rather disagree	354	19,7	20,9
	4 strongly disagree	78	4,4	4,6
	8 other answer	29	1,6	1,7
	Total	1 691	94,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	103	5,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

coprt221 S107E. AGREE: Poland should co-operate with other countries, even if it restricts independence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	215	12,0	13,7
	2 rather agree	626	34,9	39,8
	3 rather disagree	502	28,0	31,9
	4 strongly disagree	186	10,4	11,9
	8 other answer	43	2,4	2,7
	Total	1 572	87,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	222	12,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

wrkeu222 S108. work only in Poland or in another EU country

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 only in Poland	977	54,5	56,3
	2 in another EU country for a few years	482	26,9	27,8
	6 somewhere else - including the USA	16	0,9	0,9
	7 do not wish to work	148	8,3	8,5
	8 other answer	111	6,2	6,4
	Total	1 734	96,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	60	3,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prflv223 S109. if people from other countries come to live in PL: better place or worse

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a batter place to live and work, on the whole	567	31,6	34,8
	2 a worse place to live and work, on the whole	466	26,0	28,6
	8 neither better, nor worse	595	33,2	36,6
	Total	1 627	90,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	167	9,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

howpl224 S110. Self-identification: Polish or European

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 only Polish, not at all European	613	34,2	34,4
	2 very much more Polish than European	418	23,3	23,4
	3 more Polish than European	323	18,0	18,1
	4 equally European and Polish	411	22,9	23,0
	5 more European than Polish	12	0,7	0,7
	6 other description	6	0,3	0,3
	8 other answer	1	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 784	99,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	10	0,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

famsy225 S111A. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards family

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	1 608	89,6	89,9
	2 rather strong	162	9,0	9,1
	3 rather weak	12	0,6	0,6
	4 very weak/none	3	0,2	0,2
	8 other answer	3	0,2	0,2
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

parsy226 S111B. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards political party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	39	2,2	2,2
	2 rather strong	279	15,6	16,0
	3 rather weak	721	40,2	41,3
	4 very weak/none	694	38,7	39,8
	8 other answer	11	0,6	0,6
	Total	1 744	97,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	50	2,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

relsy227 S111C. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	604	33,7	34,0
	2 rather strong	790	44,0	44,4
	3 rather weak	289	16,1	16,3
	4 very weak/none	86	4,8	4,9
	8 other answer	8	0,4	0,4
	Total	1 777	99,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	17	0,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

clasy228 S111D. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards class

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	103	5,8	6,1
	2 rather strong	464	25,8	27,4
	3 rather weak	747	41,6	44,2
	4 very weak/none	331	18,5	19,6
	8 other answer	44	2,4	2,6
	Total	1 689	94,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	105	5,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

regsy229 S111E. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards voivodship you live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	455	25,4	25,5
	2 rather strong	881	49,1	49,4
	3 rather weak	352	19,6	19,8
	4 very weak/none	87	4,9	4,9
	8 other answer	7	0,4	0,4
	Total	1 782	99,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	12	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

eursy230 S111F. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards Europe

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	179	10,0	10,3
	2 rather strong	738	41,2	42,3
	3 rather weak	637	35,5	36,5
	4 very weak/none	177	9,9	10,2
	8 other answer	13	0,7	0,7
	Total	1 744	97,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	50	2,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

polsy231 S111G. how strong sense of sympathy/belonging towards Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very strong	1 071	59,7	59,9
	2 rather strong	617	34,4	34,5
	3 rather weak	75	4,2	4,2
	4 very weak/none	22	1,2	1,2
	8 other answer	3	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 789	99,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	5	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ppltr232 S112A. trust or distrust of ordinary people

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	148	8,3	8,3
	2 trust a little	1 201	66,9	67,5
	3 distrust a little	390	21,7	21,9
	4 distrust a lot	29	1,6	1,6
	8 other answer	10	0,5	0,6
	Total	1 779	99,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	15	0,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

chrtr233 S112B. trust or distrust of Church

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	342	19,1	19,4
	2 trust a little	963	53,7	54,6
	3 distrust a little	338	18,9	19,2
	4 distrust a lot	103	5,8	5,9
	8 other answer	17	0,9	0,9
	Total	1 763	98,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	31	1,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

crtrtr234 S112C. trust or distrust of judges and courts

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	42	2,4	2,5
	2 trust a little	783	43,6	46,7
	3 distrust a little	634	35,3	37,8
	4 distrust a lot	184	10,3	11,0
	8 other answer	32	1,8	1,9
	Total	1 675	93,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	119	6,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

admtr235 S112D. trust or distrust of officials in state and local gov.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	18	1,0	1,1
	2 trust a little	596	33,2	35,3
	3 distrust a little	818	45,6	48,4
	4 distrust a lot	230	12,8	13,6
	8 other answer	28	1,6	1,6
	Total	1 690	94,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	104	5,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

mpstr236 S112E. trust or distrust of MP since 1989

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	8	0,4	0,5
	2 trust a little	432	24,1	26,1
	3 distrust a little	921	51,3	55,7
	4 distrust a lot	255	14,2	15,4
	8 other answer	38	2,1	2,3
	Total	1 653	92,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	141	7,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

loctr237 S112F. trust or distrust of members of local councils

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	13	0,7	0,8
	2 trust a little	572	31,9	34,7
	3 distrust a little	792	44,1	48,0
	4 distrust a lot	238	13,3	14,5
	8 other answer	34	1,9	2,1
	Total	1 649	91,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	145	8,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pretr238 S112G. trust or distrust of President Kwasniewski

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	259	14,4	15,0
	2 trust a little	1 066	59,4	62,0
	3 distrust a little	278	15,5	16,1
	4 distrust a lot	92	5,1	5,3
	8 other answer	26	1,5	1,5
	Total	1 720	95,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	74	4,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ngvtr239 S112H. trust or distrust of new government

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	119	6,6	8,2
	2 trust a little	862	48,0	59,0
	3 distrust a little	318	17,7	21,8
	4 distrust a lot	89	5,0	6,1
	8 other answer	72	4,0	5,0
	Total	1 460	81,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	334	18,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

oldtr240 S112I. trust or distrust of former government 1997-2001

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	11	0,6	0,6
	2 trust a little	237	13,2	14,2
	3 distrust a little	727	40,5	43,5
	4 distrust a lot	657	36,6	39,3
	8 other answer	39	2,1	2,3
	Total	1 670	93,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	124	6,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

plctr241 S112J. trust or distrust of police

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	73	4,1	4,3
	2 trust a little	1 066	59,4	61,8
	3 distrust a little	415	23,1	24,1
	4 distrust a lot	142	7,9	8,2
	8 other answer	28	1,5	1,6
	Total	1 723	96,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	71	3,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

armtr242 S112K. trust or distrust of army

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	237	13,2	13,8
	2 trust a little	1 244	69,3	72,5
	3 distrust a little	172	9,6	10,0
	4 distrust a lot	34	1,9	2,0
	8 other answer	29	1,6	1,7
	Total	1 715	95,6	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	79	4,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nbptr243 S112L. trust or distrust of Central Bank

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	110	6,1	6,8
	2 trust a little	1 062	59,2	65,7
	3 distrust a little	323	18,0	20,0
	4 distrust a lot	89	5,0	5,5
	8 other answer	32	1,8	2,0
	Total	1 616	90,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	178	9,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

tvptr244 S112M. trust or distrust of public TV

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	108	6,0	6,2
	2 trust a little	1 027	57,2	59,5
	3 distrust a little	454	25,3	26,3
	4 distrust a lot	109	6,1	6,3
	8 other answer	29	1,6	1,7
	Total	1 727	96,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	67	3,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

trutr245 S112N. trust or distrust of trade unions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	26	1,4	1,8
	2 trust a little	481	26,8	33,7
	3 distrust a little	627	35,0	44,0
	4 distrust a lot	214	11,9	15,0
	8 other answer	79	4,4	5,5
	Total	1 426	79,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	368	20,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

euntr246 S112O. trust or distrust of EU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	70	3,9	4,5
	2 trust a little	766	42,7	49,5
	3 distrust a little	488	27,2	31,5
	4 distrust a lot	169	9,4	10,9
	8 other answer	56	3,1	3,6
	Total	1 549	86,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	245	13,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nattr247 S112P. trust or distrust of NATO

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	184	10,3	11,6
	2 trust a little	1 028	57,3	64,8
	3 distrust a little	252	14,0	15,9
	4 distrust a lot	76	4,2	4,8
	8 other answer	47	2,6	2,9
	Total	1 587	88,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	207	11,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

usatr248 S113A. trust or distrust of USA

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	157	8,8	9,9
	2 trust a little	988	55,0	62,4
	3 distrust a little	319	17,8	20,1
	4 distrust a lot	77	4,3	4,9
	8 other answer	41	2,3	2,6
	Total	1 582	88,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	212	11,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

gbrtr249 S113B. trust or distrust of Britain

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	115	6,4	7,5
	2 trust a little	967	53,9	63,3
	3 distrust a little	317	17,7	20,7
	4 distrust a lot	81	4,5	5,3
	8 other answer	49	2,7	3,2
	Total	1 529	85,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	265	14,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

rustr250 S113C. trust or distrust of Russia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	3	0,2	0,2
	2 trust a little	265	14,8	16,8
	3 distrust a little	910	50,7	57,6
	4 distrust a lot	359	20,0	22,7
	8 other answer	43	2,4	2,7
	Total	1 580	88,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	214	11,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ukrtr251 S113D. trust or distrust of Ukraine

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	8	0,4	0,5
	2 trust a little	272	15,1	17,4
	3 distrust a little	886	49,4	56,7
	4 distrust a lot	359	20,0	22,9
	8 other answer	39	2,2	2,5
	Total	1 564	87,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	230	12,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

gertr252 S113E. trust or distrust of Germany

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 trust a lot	39	2,2	2,5
	2 trust a little	684	38,1	43,8
	3 distrust a little	563	31,4	36,0
	4 distrust a lot	226	12,6	14,5
	8 other answer	50	2,8	3,2
	Total	1 561	87,0	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	233	13,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

proud253 H114. how proud to be Polish citizen

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very proud	962	53,6	53,8
	2 quite proud	725	40,4	40,6
	3 not very proud	68	3,8	3,8
	4 not at all proud	8	0,4	0,4
	7 don't know	24	1,3	1,3
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dfree254 H115A. democracy association: freedom of speech and association

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	935	52,1	52,3
	2 something	598	33,4	33,5
	3 not much	95	5,3	5,3
	4 nothing to do with democracy	35	1,9	2,0
	7 don't know	125	7,0	7,0
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

degal255 H115B. democracy association: greater social equality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	522	29,1	29,2
	2 something	612	34,1	34,2
	3 not much	395	22,0	22,1
	4 nothing to do with democracy	132	7,3	7,4
	7 don't know	127	7,1	7,1
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dcorr256 H115C. democracy association: less corruption

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	309	17,2	17,3
	2 something	391	21,8	21,9
	3 not much	591	33,0	33,1
	4 nothing to do with democracy	340	19,0	19,0
	7 don't know	156	8,7	8,7
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

djust257 H115D. democracy association: equal justice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	550	30,7	30,8
	2 something	560	31,2	31,3
	3 not much	373	20,8	20,9
	4 nothing to do with democracy	180	10,0	10,1
	7 don't know	125	6,9	7,0
	Total	1 788	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	6	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dgend258 H115E. democracy association: right for women

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	690	38,4	38,6
	2 something	657	36,6	36,7
	3 not much	228	12,7	12,7
	4 nothing to do with democracy	90	5,0	5,0
	7 don't know	124	6,9	6,9
	Total	1 788	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	6	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dunem259 H115F. democracy association: less unemployment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	389	21,7	21,8
	2 something	359	20,0	20,1
	3 not much	434	24,2	24,3
	4 nothing to do with democracy	482	26,9	27,0
	7 don't know	124	6,9	6,9
	Total	1 788	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	6	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dstrd260 H115G. democracy association: improved economic conditions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	382	21,3	21,4
	2 something	468	26,1	26,2
	3 not much	468	26,1	26,2
	4 nothing to do with democracy	339	18,9	19,0
	7 don't know	129	7,2	7,2
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dmult261 H115H. democracy association: multi-party system

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	680	37,9	38,1
	2 something	643	35,8	36,0
	3 not much	187	10,4	10,5
	4 nothing to do with democracy	69	3,9	3,9
	7 don't know	208	11,6	11,6
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dpart262 H115I. democracy association: particip. in social & polit. life

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 a lot	715	39,8	40,0
	2 something	665	37,1	37,2
	3 not much	195	10,9	10,9
	4 nothing to do with democracy	61	3,4	3,4
	7 don't know	150	8,4	8,4
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	8	0,4	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nondm263 J116A. AGREE: undemocratic gov. preferable to democratic

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	304	16,9	17,0
	2 rather agree	596	33,2	33,3
	3 rather disagree	380	21,2	21,2
	4 strongly disagree	126	7,0	7,1
	7 don't know	384	21,4	21,5
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

govdm264 J116B. AGREE: it doesn't matter if gov. is democr. or undemocr.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 strongly agree	322	17,9	18,0
	2 rather agree	516	28,7	28,8
	3 rather disagree	463	25,8	25,8
	4 strongly disagree	234	13,0	13,1
	7 don't know	256	14,3	14,3
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

helth265 J117A. evaluation of health care reform

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 much better	35	1,9	1,9
	2 somewhat better	196	10,9	11,0
	3 neither better nor worse	277	15,4	15,5
	4 somewhat worse	517	28,8	28,9
	5 much worse	727	40,5	40,6
	7 don't know	39	2,2	2,2
	Total	1 791	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

local266 J117B. evaluation of local government reform

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 much better	21	1,2	1,2
	2 somewhat better	278	15,5	15,5
	3 neither better nor worse	694	38,7	38,8
	4 somewhat worse	339	18,9	19,0
	5 much worse	205	11,4	11,4
	7 don't know	252	14,1	14,1
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

educt267 J117C. evaluation of education reform

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 much better	25	1,4	1,4
	2 somewhat better	239	13,3	13,3
	3 neither better nor worse	399	22,2	22,3
	4 somewhat worse	496	27,6	27,7
	5 much worse	329	18,3	18,4
	7 don't know	303	16,9	16,9
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
	8 refused	0	0,0	
	Total	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pensn268 J117D. evaluation of social security system reform

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 much better	42	2,3	2,3
	2 somewhat better	247	13,8	13,8
	3 neither better nor worse	357	19,9	19,9
	4 somewhat worse	379	21,1	21,1
	5 much worse	393	21,9	21,9
	7 don't know	374	20,8	20,9
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

infct269 K118. how well aware of the role of Constitutional Court

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 very aware	147	8,2	8,2
	2 somewhat aware	347	19,3	19,3
	3 not very aware	820	45,7	45,7
	4 have never heard	449	25,0	25,0
	7 don't know	31	1,7	1,7
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

rolct270 K119. how good job does Constitutional Court

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 great job	65	3,6	4,8
	2 pretty good job	676	37,7	50,2
	3 not very good job	122	6,8	9,1
	4 a poor job	14	0,8	1,0
	7 don't know	468	26,1	34,8
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

liqct271 K120A. if CC takes decision people disagree - down with CC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	228	12,7	16,9
	2 agree somewhat	351	19,6	26,1
	3 neither agree nor disagree	233	13,0	17,3
	4 disagree somewhat	228	12,7	17,0
	5 disagree strongly	71	4,0	5,3
	7 don't know	233	13,0	17,3
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

fvrct272 K120B. decisions of CC favor some groups more than others

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	110	6,1	8,2
	2 agree somewhat	299	16,7	22,2
	3 neither agree nor disagree	221	12,3	16,4
	4 disagree somewhat	274	15,3	20,4
	5 disagree strongly	91	5,1	6,7
	7 don't know	350	19,5	26,0
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

polct273 K120C. Constitutional Court gets too mixed up in politics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	162	9,0	12,1
	2 agree somewhat	319	17,8	23,7
	3 neither agree nor disagree	213	11,9	15,9
	4 disagree somewhat	207	11,5	15,4
	5 disagree strongly	72	4,0	5,4
	7 don't know	372	20,7	27,6
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

rspct274 K120D. people should obey CC even when they disagree with its decisions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	239	13,3	17,8
	2 agree somewhat	474	26,4	35,3
	3 neither agree nor disagree	187	10,4	13,9
	4 disagree somewhat	162	9,0	12,0
	5 disagree strongly	42	2,3	3,1
	7 don't know	241	13,4	17,9
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

chgct275 K120E. majority wants to change Constitution - it should be changed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 agree strongly	379	21,1	28,2
	2 agree somewhat	540	30,1	40,2
	3 neither agree nor disagree	124	6,9	9,2
	4 disagree somewhat	82	4,6	6,1
	5 disagree strongly	14	0,8	1,0
	7 don't know	206	11,5	15,3
	Total	1 345	75,0	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	449	25,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

cross276 G121A. how displeased with crosses in public offices

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 I feel displeased	173	9,6	9,7
	2 I don't feel displeased	1 583	88,2	88,4
	7 don't know	35	1,9	1,9
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

rlgsc277 G121B. how displeased with religious instruction at schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 I feel displeased	197	11,0	11,0
	2 I don't feel displeased	1 555	86,7	86,9
	7 don't know	38	2,1	2,1
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

chbil278 G121C. how displeased with taking official stands on parliamentary legislation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 I feel displeased	1 038	57,9	58,0
	2 I don't feel displeased	615	34,3	34,3
	7 don't know	137	7,7	7,7
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

offpr279 S122. do officials treat citizens fairly in government offices

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	766	42,7	45,5
	2 no	659	36,7	39,1
	7 only by using connections or bribes	57	3,2	3,4
	8 other answer	202	11,3	12,0
	Total	1 685	93,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	109	6,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

gcrpl280 S123A. did former government combat corruption - top govern. officials

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	204	11,4	12,4
	2 no	1 335	74,4	81,3
	8 other answer	103	5,7	6,3
	Total	1 642	91,5	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	152	8,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

gcrs281 S123B. did former government combat corruption - low levels officials

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	196	10,9	12,1
	2 no	1 312	73,2	80,9
	8 other answer	113	6,3	7,0
	Total	1 622	90,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	172	9,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

corpl282 S124. compare: corruption officials in Poland with EU countries

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 PL more corrupt than officials in EU countries	816	45,5	58,4
	2 PL less corrupt than officials in EU countries	204	11,4	14,6
	8 other answer	378	21,1	27,0
	Total	1 398	77,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	396	22,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

opncs283 S125. feelings about behavior of officials in Poland are based on

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 on newspapers, television, radio	756	42,1	44,4
	2 what people generally say about officials	335	18,7	19,7
	3 personal experiences	529	29,5	31,1
	8 other answer	82	4,6	4,8
	Total	1 703	94,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	91	5,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

percs284 S126. evaluation of personal experiences with officials

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 satisfactory	822	45,8	50,1
	2 unsatisfactory	605	33,7	36,9
	8 other answer	213	11,9	13,0
	Total	1 639	91,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	155	8,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

fairt285 S127A. how often officials treat you fairly

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 usually	622	34,7	37,6
	2 sometimes	602	33,6	36,4
	3 rarely	332	18,5	20,1
	4 never	60	3,3	3,6
	8 other answer	38	2,1	2,3
	Total	1 655	92,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	139	7,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

bribe286 S127B. how often officials make problems, expect money or present

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 usually	113	6,3	7,0
	2 sometimes	456	25,4	28,2
	3 rarely	318	17,7	19,7
	4 never	688	38,4	42,6
	8 other answer	41	2,3	2,5
	Total	1 617	90,1	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	177	9,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

expbr287 S128. officials corruption-ask directly for money,present,seem to expect

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 ask you or your family directly for money or a present	82	4,6	4,8
	2 seem to expect something - not ask directly	372	20,8	21,8
	6 never	1 191	66,4	69,7
	8 other answer	64	3,6	3,8
	Total	1 710	95,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	84	4,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

infof288 S129. evaluation: use of money, presents, favors to influence officials

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 it is bad for Poland and for those involved	1 210	67,5	70,1
	2 it is bad for Poland, but unavoidable for people	429	23,9	24,9
	3 I prefer it that way	36	2,0	2,1
	7 it never happens in Poland	3	0,2	0,2
	8 other answer	48	2,7	2,8
	Total	1 726	96,2	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	68	3,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

srpro289 S130. in case of an important problem, if officials ask for money

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 pay, if R. could afford it	447	24,9	26,4
	2 refuse to pay, even if R. could afford it	931	51,9	55,0
	8 other answer	314	17,5	18,6
	Total	1 692	94,3	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	102	5,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

cntct290 S131A. corruption in personal experiences - approach an official

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 usually	40	2,2	2,4
	2 sometimes	374	20,9	22,3
	3 rarely	357	19,9	21,2
	4 never	890	49,6	53,0
	8 other answer	20	1,1	1,2
	Total	1 680	93,7	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	114	6,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

presn291 S131B. corruption in personal experiences - offer small present

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 usually	14	0,8	0,8
	2 sometimes	171	9,6	10,3
	3 rarely	210	11,7	12,6
	4 never	1 247	69,5	74,8
	8 other answer	24	1,3	1,4
	Total	1 666	92,9	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	128	7,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

money292 S131C. corruption in personal experiences-offer money, expensive present

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 usually	11	0,6	0,6
	2 sometimes	59	3,3	3,6
	3 rarely	90	5,0	5,4
	4 never	1 479	82,4	89,2
	8 other answer	18	1,0	1,1
	Total	1 657	92,4	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	137	7,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

intrf293 S132. intervention of international org. against corruption in Poland

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 unacceptable interference in Poland's internal affairs	528	29,4	34,2
	2 a good way to reduce corruption in Poland	761	42,4	49,3
	8 other answer	255	14,2	16,5
	Total	1 544	86,0	100,0
Missing	9 don't know, no answer	250	14,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pt93_294 P133. R. participation in parliamentary election 93

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	979	54,6	54,7
	2 no	256	14,3	14,3
	3 I was less than 18 years old	327	18,2	18,3
	7 don't remember	227	12,6	12,7
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	8 refused	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

vt93_295 P134. which party list did R. vote for: parliament 93

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 PC Porozumienie Centrum	8	0,4	0,8
	2 KKW "Ojczyzna"(ZChN)	3	0,2	0,3
	3 PL Porozumienie Ludowe	3	0,2	0,3
	4 KPN Konfederacja Polski Niepodleglej	10	0,6	1,1
	5 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	253	14,1	25,9
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	56	3,1	5,7
	7 KLD Kongres Liberalno-Demokratyczny	7	0,4	0,7
	8 NSZZ "Solidarnosc"	201	11,2	20,7
	9 UD Unia Demokratyczna	56	3,1	5,8
	10 BBWR Bezpartyjny Blok Wspieranie Reform	8	0,4	0,8
	11 UP Unia Pracy	10	0,6	1,0
	12 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	8	0,4	0,8
	15 KdR Koalicja dla Rzeczypospolitej	13	0,7	1,4
	17 X Partia "X"	3	0,2	0,3
	19 Samoobrona	2	0,1	0,3
	96 inne ugrupowanie	1	0,0	0,1
	97 don't know, don't remember	331	18,5	34,0
	Total	975	54,3	100,0
Missing	98 refused	4	0,2	
	99 not applicable	815	45,4	
	Total	819	45,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

pt00_296 P135. R. participation in presidential election 2000

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	1 343	74,8	75,5
	2 no	370	20,6	20,8
	3 I was less than 18 years old	45	2,5	2,5
	7 don't remember	21	1,2	1,2
	Total	1 778	99,1	100,0
Missing	8 refused	16	0,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

vt00_297 P136. which candidate R. vote for: presidential elect. 2000

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Dariusz Grabowski	3	0,2	0,2
	3 Jaroslaw Kalinowski	32	1,8	2,4
	4 Janusz Korwin-Mikke	15	0,8	1,1
	5 Marian Krzaklewski	70	3,9	5,2
	6 Aleksander Kwasniewski	979	54,6	73,5
	7 Andrzej Lepper	20	1,1	1,5
	8 Jan Lopuszanski	8	0,4	0,6
	9 Andrzej Olechowski	108	6,0	8,1
	10 Jan Olszewski	21	1,1	1,5
	12 Lech Walesa	43	2,4	3,3
	97 don't know, don't remember	34	1,9	2,6
	Total	1 333	74,3	100,0
Missing	98 refused	9	0,5	
	99 not applicable	451	25,2	
	Total	461	25,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

news0298 G137. how often R watches TV political programs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 everyday	705	39,3	39,5
	2 several times a week	454	25,3	25,4
	3 several times a month	227	12,6	12,7
	4 more seldom	250	13,9	14,0
	5 never	134	7,5	7,5
	7 don't know	16	0,9	0,9
	Total	1 786	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,4	
	8 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	8	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

daily299 G138. daily title R. reads most frequently

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 DZIENNIK BALTYCKI	32	1,8	1,8
	2 DZIENNIK CHELMSKI	2	0,1	0,1
	3 DZIENNIK INFORMACYJNY ABC	1	0,1	0,1
	4 DZIENNIK LODZKI	9	0,5	0,5
	6 DZIENNIK POLSKI	23	1,3	1,3
	9 DZIENNIK WSCHODNI	5	0,3	0,3
	10 DZIENNIK ZACHODNI	67	3,7	3,7
	11 ECHO DNIA	34	1,9	1,9
	12 EXPRESS BYDGOSKI	10	0,6	0,6
	13 EXPRESS ILUSTROWANY	19	1,1	1,1
	15 EXPRESS WIECZORNY	7	0,4	0,4
	16 GAZETA KRAKOWSKA	21	1,2	1,2
	17 GAZETA KUJAWSKA	3	0,2	0,2
	18 GAZETA LUBUSKA	27	1,5	1,5
	19 GAZETA OLSZTYNSKA	16	0,9	0,9
	20 GAZETA POMORSKA	15	0,8	0,8
	21 GAZETA POZNANSKA	13	0,7	0,7
	24 GAZETA TCZEWSKA	1	0,0	0,0
	25 GAZETA WSPOLCZESNA	12	0,7	0,7
	26 GAZETA WYBORCZA	196	10,9	10,9
	28 GLOS KOSZALINSKI	9	0,5	0,5
	29 GLOS POMORZA	5	0,3	0,3
	30 GLOS SZCZECINSKI	12	0,7	0,7
	31 GLOS WIELKOPOLSKI	17	0,9	0,9
	33 GLOS WYBRZEZA	3	0,1	0,1
	36 KURIER LUBELSKI	11	0,6	0,6
	37 KURIER PODLASKI	1	0,1	0,1
	39 KURIER PORANNY	12	0,6	0,6
	40 KURIER SZCZECINSKI	13	0,7	0,7
	41 NASZ DZIENNIK	6	0,3	0,3
	42 NOW. TRYBUNA OPOLSKA	16	0,9	0,9
	43 NOWINY	15	0,9	0,9
	44 NOWOSCI	25	1,4	1,4
	47 PRZEGLAD SPORTOWY	5	0,3	0,3
	49 ROBOTN. GAZETA WROCLAWSKA	11	0,6	0,6
	50 RZECZPOSPOLITA	64	3,6	3,6
	51 SLOWO LUDU	6	0,4	0,4
	52 SLOWO POLSKIE	12	0,7	0,7
	55 SUPER EXPRESS	69	3,9	3,9
	56 SUPER NOWOSCI	19	1,0	1,0
	57 TEMPO	1	0,0	0,0
	58 TRYBUNA	10	0,6	0,6
	60 TRYBUNA SLASKA	24	1,3	1,3
	61 WIADOMOSCI DNIA	8	0,5	0,5
	62 WIECZOR WROCLAWIA	11	0,6	0,6
	63 WIECZOR WYBRZEZA	8	0,5	0,5
	65 ZYCIE	6	0,4	0,4
	66 ZYCIE CZESTOCHOWY	0	0,0	0,0
	67 ZYCIE WARSZAWY	1	0,0	0,0
	94 other	37	2,1	2,1
	97 don't remember	4	0,2	0,2
	99 I don't read dailies	840	46,8	46,8
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

week1300 G139. title of weekly or journal R. reads most frequently

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	3 Angora	20	1,1	1,1
	4 Antena	2	0,1	0,1
	8 Auto	3	0,2	0,2
	10 Auto Moto	2	0,1	0,1
	12 Auto Swiat	13	0,7	0,7
	13 Autobit	2	0,1	0,1
	17 Bravo	3	0,2	0,2
	20 Burda	1	0,0	0,0
	23 CD Action	3	0,2	0,2
	26 Chip	2	0,1	0,1
	27 Chwila dla Ciebie	27	1,5	1,5
	31 Claudia	25	1,4	1,4
	32 Cogito	2	0,1	0,1
	33 Cosmopolitan	1	0,1	0,1
	34 Cztery Katy	3	0,1	0,1
	35 Detektyw	2	0,1	0,1
	42 Działkowiec	3	0,2	0,2
	47 Echo Gmin	2	0,1	0,1
	48 Echo Gminy	1	0,0	0,0
	51 Elle	2	0,1	0,1
	54 Farmer	2	0,1	0,1
	57 Focus	2	0,1	0,1
	58 Forum	1	0,0	0,0
	60 Gala	4	0,2	0,2
	61 Gazeta Bankowa	2	0,1	0,1
	65 Gazeta Polska	2	0,1	0,1
	71 Głos Zabrze i Rudy Śl.	1	0,1	0,1
	74 Gospodyni	2	0,1	0,1
	75 Gosc Niedzielny	4	0,2	0,2
	80 Imperium TV	5	0,3	0,3
	82 Jestem	1	0,1	0,1
	84 Kobieta i Styl	1	0,0	0,0
	85 Kobieta i Życie	11	0,6	0,6
	86 Kobra	2	0,1	0,1
	89 Kontakty	1	0,1	0,1
	91 Kresy Tyg. Chelmski	6	0,3	0,3
	92 Kronika Beskidzka	0	0,0	0,0
	94 Kulisy	3	0,1	0,1
	104 Majster	1	0,0	0,0
	106 Mamo, to ja	1	0,1	0,1
	107 Mazowieckie To i OWO	4	0,2	0,2
	115 Motor	6	0,3	0,3
	121 Na Żywo	3	0,2	0,2
	122 Naj	35	2,0	2,0
	125 Nasza Polska	1	0,0	0,0
	127 National Geographic	2	0,1	0,1
	128 Newsweek (pol. edition)	15	0,9	0,9
	130 Nie	26	1,4	1,4
	132 Niedziela	9	0,5	0,5
	135 Nowa Fantastyka	1	0,1	0,1
	141 Nowiny Jeleniogorskie	2	0,1	0,1
	144 Nowy Tyg. Popularny	4	0,2	0,2
	145 Olivia	8	0,5	0,5
	147 Pani Domu	63	3,5	3,5
	148 Panorama Leszczyńska	19	1,0	1,0
	149 PC Kurier	1	0,1	0,1
	150 PC World Komputer	2	0,1	0,1
	152 Piłka Nożna	2	0,1	0,1
	153 Playboy	1	0,0	0,0
	158 Polityka	72	4,0	4,0
	159 Polska Zbrojna	4	0,2	0,2

161	Poradnik Domowy	4	0,2	0,2
165	Poznaniak	4	0,2	0,2
178	Reader's Digest	2	0,1	0,1
181	Przekroj	3	0,1	0,1
184	Przyjaciolka	77	4,3	4,3
188	Rozanec	1	0,1	0,1
189	Rycerz Niepokalanej	2	0,1	0,1
191	Samo Zdrowie	2	0,1	0,1
194	Sekrety Serca	1	0,1	0,1
201	Sukces	1	0,1	0,1
202	Sukcesy i Porazki	1	0,0	0,0
204	Super TV	0	0,0	0,0
209	Swiat Kobiety	2	0,1	0,1
211	Swiat Wiedzy	1	0,1	0,1
212	Tele Swiat	18	1,0	1,0
213	Tele Tydzien	77	4,3	4,3
214	Temi	2	0,1	0,1
216	Tina	20	1,1	1,1
220	Twoje Imperium	6	0,4	0,4
222	Twoj Styl	8	0,5	0,5
223	Twoj Weekend	2	0,1	0,1
227	Tygodnik Nadwislanski	6	0,3	0,3
228	Tygodnik Nowy	6	0,3	0,3
230	Tygodnik Pilski	2	0,1	0,1
231	Tygodnik Plocki	1	0,1	0,1
232	Tygodnik Powszechny	3	0,2	0,2
233	Tygodnik Siedlecki	11	0,6	0,6
235	Tygodnik Walbrzyski	1	0,1	0,1
236	Tygodnik Zuzlowy	4	0,2	0,2
239	Vita	3	0,1	0,1
240	Viva	12	0,7	0,7
246	Wiadomosci Wedkarskie	3	0,2	0,2
251	Wprost	74	4,1	4,1
252	Wrozka	1	0,0	0,0
253	Z zycia wziete	8	0,4	0,4
259	Zrodlo	1	0,0	0,0
264	Zycie na Goraco	48	2,7	2,7
301	other related to housekeeping	1	0,1	0,1
302	other related to health	2	0,1	0,1
303	other feminine	2	0,1	0,1
304	other related to computers	3	0,2	0,2
305	other local	35	1,9	1,9
306	other related to cars	7	0,4	0,4
307	other related to religion	2	0,1	0,1
308	other professional	6	0,3	0,3
994	other	37	2,1	2,1
997	don't remember	4	0,2	0,2
999	I don't read weeklies or journals	833	46,5	46,5
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

tvele301 G140. Did R. watch election programs in TV

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes, all of them	78	4,3	4,4
	2 yes, most of them	382	21,3	21,4
	3 yes, only some of them	857	47,8	47,9
	4 no	463	25,8	25,8
	7 don't know	9	0,5	0,5
	Total	1 789	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	5	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

uwaws302 P141. is it good/bad for Poland that UW & AWSP replaced by SRP LPR in Sejm				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 it is good for Poland	743	41,4	41,5
	2 it does not matter for Poland	326	18,2	18,2
	3 it is bad for Poland	260	14,5	14,5
	7 don't know	461	25,7	25,7
	Total	1 790	99,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	4	0,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

repet303 P142. knowing the results, would R. vote in hypothetical repeated elections				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	1 220	68,0	68,9
	2 no	404	22,5	22,8
	7 don't know	147	8,2	8,3
	Total	1 770	98,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	8 refused	18	1,0	
	Total	24	1,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

reptv304 P143. which party would you vote for, in such repeated Sejm elections				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD UP (koalicja) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej Unia Pracy	550	30,7	45,7
	2 AWSP (koalicja) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	34	1,9	2,9
	3 UW Unia Wolnosci	22	1,2	1,8
	4 SRP ("Samoobrona") Samoobrona Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej	145	8,1	12,0
	5 PiS "Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc"	110	6,1	9,1
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	94	5,2	7,8
	7 PO (Kom. Wyborcow) Komitet Wyborcow Platforma Obywatelska	138	7,7	11,4
	8 ARS Alternatywa Ruch Spoeczny	2	0,1	0,2
	10 LPR Liga Polskich Rodzin	73	4,1	6,1
	14 PPS Polska Partia Socjalistyczna	0	0,0	0,0
	15 NMGS (Kom. Wyborcow) Niemiecka Mniejszosc Gornego Slaska	3	0,2	0,2
	97 don't know	32	1,8	2,7
	Total	1 203	67,1	100,0
Missing	98 refusal to answer	16	0,9	
	99 not applicable	574	32,0	
	Total	591	32,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sldlm305 C144. who is the chairman of the SLD				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 correct answer	1 353	75,4	75,7
	2 incorrect answer	32	1,8	1,8
	7 don't know	401	22,4	22,5
	Total	1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	8 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

rusvp306 C145. who currently is the President of Russia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 correct answer	1 380	76,9	77,3
	2 incorrect answer	55	3,1	3,1
	7 don't know	351	19,6	19,6
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	8 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	7	0,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

nato_307 C146. name military alliance Poland currently is a member of

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 correct answer	1 419	79,1	79,3
	2 incorrect answer	31	1,7	1,7
	7 don't know	339	18,9	18,9
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sepn308 P147. tragedy in New York - impact on your personal life

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes, I was really terrified	1 028	57,3	57,5
	2 it wasn't a dread, although I was afraid	213	11,9	11,9
	3 I felt clear fear	240	13,4	13,4
	4 I did not feel any anxiety	266	14,8	14,9
	7 don't know	42	2,3	2,3
	Total	1 789	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	5	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

yrbir309 M1. year of birth

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 903	2	0,1	0,1
	1 909	0	0,0	0,0
	1 910	0	0,0	0,0
	1 912	2	0,1	0,1
	1 913	3	0,2	0,2
	1 914	2	0,1	0,1
	1 915	2	0,1	0,1
	1 916	3	0,2	0,2
	1 917	2	0,1	0,1
	1 919	1	0,1	0,1
	1 920	4	0,2	0,2
	1 921	9	0,5	0,5
	1 922	10	0,5	0,5
	1 923	13	0,7	0,7
	1 924	14	0,8	0,8
	1 925	14	0,8	0,8
	1 926	12	0,7	0,7
	1 927	20	1,1	1,1
	1 928	15	0,9	0,9
	1 929	12	0,7	0,7
	1 930	17	0,9	0,9
	1 931	13	0,7	0,7
	1 932	25	1,4	1,4
	1 933	18	1,0	1,0
	1 934	23	1,3	1,3
	1 935	33	1,9	1,9
	1 936	14	0,8	0,8
	1 937	18	1,0	1,0
	1 938	26	1,5	1,5
	1 939	17	0,9	0,9
	1 940	26	1,5	1,5
	1 941	20	1,1	1,1
	1 942	28	1,6	1,6
	1 943	23	1,3	1,3
	1 944	13	0,7	0,7
	1 945	18	1,0	1,0
	1 946	25	1,4	1,4
	1 947	35	2,0	2,0
	1 948	31	1,7	1,7
	1 949	27	1,5	1,5
	1 950	33	1,9	1,9
	1 951	27	1,5	1,5
	1 952	42	2,3	2,3
	1 953	53	3,0	3,0
	1 954	40	2,2	2,2
	1 955	23	1,3	1,3
	1 956	52	2,9	2,9
	1 957	56	3,1	3,1
	1 958	35	2,0	2,0
	1 959	38	2,1	2,1
	1 960	39	2,2	2,2
	1 961	29	1,6	1,6
	1 962	39	2,2	2,2
	1 963	32	1,8	1,8
	1 964	22	1,2	1,2
	1 965	20	1,1	1,1
	1 966	20	1,1	1,1
	1 967	34	1,9	1,9
	1 968	35	2,0	2,0
	1 969	28	1,6	1,6
	1 970	23	1,3	1,3

1 971	26	1,4	1,4
1 972	27	1,5	1,5
1 973	44	2,5	2,5
1 974	44	2,4	2,4
1 975	27	1,5	1,5
1 976	39	2,2	2,2
1 977	30	1,7	1,7
1 978	44	2,4	2,4
1 979	44	2,5	2,5
1 980	50	2,8	2,8
1 981	28	1,5	1,5
1 982	40	2,2	2,2
1 983	38	2,1	2,1
Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

sex_310 M2. sex of respondent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid 1 male	856	47,7	47,7
2 female	938	52,3	52,3
Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

educ_311 M3. education of respondent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid 1 no education	7	0,4	0,4
2 elementary uncompleted	62	3,4	3,4
3 elementary	380	21,2	21,2
4 vocational	481	26,8	26,8
5 secondary uncompleted	57	3,2	3,2
6 secondary technical	393	21,9	21,9
7 secondary	160	8,9	8,9
8 post-secondary	55	3,1	3,1
9 university uncompleted (6 sem. or more)	14	0,8	0,8
10 licentiate or 3-year vocational college	11	0,6	0,6
11 university	173	9,6	9,6
Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

edfat312 M4. father's education

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid 1 no education	52	2,9	2,9
2 elementary uncompleted	167	9,3	9,3
3 elementary	713	39,8	40,0
4 vocational	502	28,0	28,1
5 secondary uncompleted	11	0,6	0,6
6 secondary technical	179	10,0	10,0
7 secondary	55	3,1	3,1
8 post-secondary	10	0,6	0,6
9 university uncompleted (6 sem. or more)	7	0,4	0,4
10 licentiate or 3-year vocational college	1	0,1	0,1
11 university	78	4,3	4,4
97 don't know	11	0,6	0,6
Total	1 785	99,5	100,0
Missing 0 no answer	9	0,5	
Total	1 794	100,0	

jobnu313 M5. number of job

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid 1 only one job	707	39,4	39,4
2 apart from first job, regular other income	80	4,5	4,5
3 only incidental job	61	3,4	3,4
4 have no job	946	52,8	52,8
Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

empl1314 M6A. 1. employment status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 full time (32 + hours weekly)	677	37,8	37,8
	2 part time(15-32 hours a week)	49	2,8	2,8
	3 less than 15 hours	25	1,4	1,4
	4 helping family member	74	4,2	4,2
	5 unemployed	223	12,4	12,4
	6 student, in school	113	6,3	6,3
	7 retired	324	18,1	18,1
	8 home duties, housewife	83	4,6	4,6
	9 permanently disabled	97	5,4	5,4
	10 disabled with limited ability to work	91	5,1	5,1
	11 others	36	2,0	2,0
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

empl2315 M6B. 2. employment status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 full time (32 + hours weekly)	2	0,1	0,3
	2 part time(15-32 hours a week)	6	0,4	1,4
	3 less than 15 hours	16	0,9	3,5
	4 helping family member	79	4,4	16,8
	5 unemployed	22	1,2	4,7
	6 student, in school	23	1,3	4,9
	7 retired	14	0,8	2,9
	8 home duties, housewife	235	13,1	50,2
	9 permanently disabled	23	1,3	4,9
	10 disabled with limited ability to work	12	0,7	2,5
	11 others	36	2,0	7,8
	Total	468	26,1	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 326	73,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

empl3316 M6C. 3. employment status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	2 part time(15-32 hours a week)	1	0,1	2,3
	4 helping family member	3	0,2	5,8
	5 unemployed	2	0,1	3,3
	6 student, in school	3	0,2	4,9
	7 retired	1	0,1	2,4
	8 home duties, housewife	29	1,6	49,3
	9 permanently disabled	5	0,3	7,8
	10 disabled with limited ability to work	1	0,0	1,5
	11 others	13	0,8	22,7
	Total	59	3,3	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 735	96,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

insow317 M11. ownership status of the institution/firm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 state office/institution financed by state budget	238	13,3	14,9
	2 state owned company/enterprise	392	21,9	24,5
	3 mixed	123	6,9	7,7
	4 self-employed	125	6,9	7,8
	5 private company/enterprise	400	22,3	24,9
	6 communal/local owned company/enterprise	11	0,6	0,7
	7 cooperative	74	4,1	4,6
	8 individual/private farm	35	2,0	2,2
	97 don't know, no answer	204	11,4	12,7
	Total	1 603	89,3	100,0
Missing	98 refused	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable, never works	190	10,6	
	Total	191	10,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

owner318 M12. owner or hired employee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 owner/co-owner	288	16,1	18,0
	2 hired employee	1 286	71,7	80,4
	3 other	26	1,4	1,6
	Total	1 600	89,2	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refused	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	190	10,6	
	Total	194	10,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

found320 M13. establishing of respondents firm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 before II world war	242	13,5	15,1
	2 1945 - 1959	342	19,0	21,3
	3 1960-1979	220	12,2	13,7
	4 1980-1988	112	6,3	7,0
	5 1989 or later	404	22,5	25,2
	7 don't remember	283	15,8	17,6
	Total	1 602	89,3	100,0
Missing	8 refused	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	190	10,6	
	Total	192	10,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

yrfnd321 M13. establishing of the firm (if 1989 or later)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 989	17	0,9	4,1
	1 990	30	1,7	7,4
	1 991	45	2,5	11,1
	1 992	31	1,7	7,7
	1 993	29	1,6	7,1
	1 994	26	1,5	6,5
	1 995	27	1,5	6,6
	1 996	19	1,1	4,8
	1 997	15	0,9	3,8
	1 998	24	1,4	6,0
	1 999	29	1,6	7,1
	2 000	16	0,9	4,0
	2 001	11	0,6	2,8
	9997 don't remember	85	4,7	21,0
	Total	404	22,5	100,0
Missing	9999 not applicable	1 390	77,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

yrbgi322 M14A. last work: when begins (year)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 920	2	0,1	0,1
	1 930	1	0,1	0,1
	1 933	1	0,0	0,0
	1 935	0	0,0	0,0
	1 937	1	0,1	0,1
	1 938	1	0,1	0,1
	1 942	0	0,0	0,0
	1 943	3	0,1	0,2
	1 944	1	0,1	0,1
	1 945	9	0,5	0,6
	1 946	10	0,6	0,6
	1 947	7	0,4	0,4
	1 948	7	0,4	0,4
	1 949	3	0,2	0,2
	1 950	18	1,0	1,1
	1 951	8	0,4	0,5
	1 952	7	0,4	0,4
	1 953	7	0,4	0,4
	1 954	8	0,4	0,5
	1 955	6	0,3	0,4
	1 956	15	0,8	0,9
	1 957	10	0,6	0,7
	1 958	16	0,9	1,0
	1 959	7	0,4	0,4
	1 960	13	0,7	0,8
	1 961	8	0,4	0,5
	1 962	9	0,5	0,6
	1 963	12	0,7	0,8
	1 964	9	0,5	0,6
	1 965	20	1,1	1,2
	1 966	10	0,5	0,6
	1 967	17	1,0	1,1
	1 968	37	2,0	2,3
	1 969	12	0,6	0,7
	1 970	23	1,3	1,5
	1 971	18	1,0	1,1
	1 972	30	1,7	1,9
	1 973	19	1,1	1,2
	1 974	23	1,3	1,4
	1 975	16	0,9	1,0
	1 976	22	1,2	1,4
	1 977	18	1,0	1,1
	1 978	31	1,7	1,9
	1 979	35	1,9	2,2
	1 980	23	1,3	1,4
	1 981	20	1,1	1,3
	1 982	22	1,2	1,4
	1 983	26	1,4	1,6
	1 984	20	1,1	1,3
	1 985	25	1,4	1,6
	1 986	19	1,1	1,2
	1 987	33	1,8	2,0
	1 988	26	1,5	1,6
	1 989	37	2,0	2,3
	1 990	30	1,7	1,9
	1 991	42	2,4	2,6
	1 992	29	1,6	1,8
	1 993	29	1,6	1,8
	1 994	32	1,8	2,0
	1 995	49	2,8	3,1

	1 996	63	3,5	3,9
	1 997	72	4,0	4,5
	1 998	76	4,2	4,7
	1 999	76	4,2	4,8
	2 000	81	4,5	5,0
	2 001	95	5,3	5,9
	9997 don't remember	149	8,3	9,3
	Total	1 604	89,4	100,0
Missing	9999 not applicable	190	10,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

mnbg323 M14B. last work: when begins (month)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 January	68	3,8	4,3
	2 February	78	4,3	4,8
	3 March	83	4,6	5,2
	4 April	109	6,1	6,8
	5 May	82	4,5	5,1
	6 June	92	5,2	5,8
	7 July	115	6,4	7,2
	8 August	78	4,3	4,8
	9 September	238	13,3	14,8
	10 October	116	6,5	7,2
	11 November	67	3,7	4,2
	12 December	46	2,5	2,8
	97 don't remember	433	24,2	27,0
	Total	1 604	89,4	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	190	10,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

numem324 M15. number of people employed in company/farm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1	82	4,6	5,2
	2	126	7,0	7,9
	3	52	2,9	3,3
	4	56	3,1	3,5
	5	34	1,9	2,2
	6	23	1,3	1,5
	7	12	0,7	0,8
	8	18	1,0	1,1
	9	3	0,2	0,2
	10	29	1,6	1,8
	11	7	0,4	0,5
	12	27	1,5	1,7
	13	10	0,6	0,6
	14	5	0,3	0,3
	15	27	1,5	1,7
	16	6	0,3	0,4
	17	4	0,2	0,3
	18	8	0,5	0,5
	19	1	0,1	0,1
	20	30	1,7	1,9
	21	1	0,1	0,1
	22	4	0,2	0,2
	23	0	0,0	0,0
	24	5	0,3	0,3
	25	10	0,6	0,6
	26	4	0,2	0,2
	27	2	0,1	0,1
	28	3	0,1	0,2
	30	32	1,8	2,0
	32	5	0,3	0,3
	33	3	0,2	0,2

34	3	0,1	0,2
35	12	0,7	0,8
36	2	0,1	0,1
37	1	0,1	0,1
40	23	1,3	1,4
41	1	0,1	0,1
42	4	0,2	0,3
45	4	0,2	0,3
48	2	0,1	0,1
50	27	1,5	1,7
52	1	0,1	0,1
54	1	0,1	0,1
55	2	0,1	0,1
56	1	0,1	0,1
57	1	0,1	0,1
59	1	0,1	0,1
60	14	0,8	0,9
64	2	0,1	0,1
65	1	0,0	0,0
66	1	0,1	0,1
67	1	0,0	0,1
70	18	1,0	1,2
73	1	0,1	0,1
75	1	0,1	0,1
76	1	0,1	0,1
80	25	1,4	1,6
87	0	0,0	0,0
90	6	0,3	0,4
95	2	0,1	0,1
97	0	0,0	0,0
100	47	2,6	3,0
110	4	0,2	0,3
120	11	0,6	0,7
122	2	0,1	0,1
127	1	0,1	0,1
130	5	0,3	0,3
136	2	0,1	0,1
138	1	0,0	0,1
140	4	0,2	0,2
150	28	1,6	1,8
160	3	0,2	0,2
170	3	0,1	0,2
180	4	0,2	0,3
190	1	0,0	0,0
200	38	2,1	2,4
210	1	0,1	0,1
220	1	0,1	0,1
230	2	0,1	0,1
240	1	0,1	0,1
250	10	0,6	0,6
260	1	0,1	0,1
300	26	1,5	1,7
320	2	0,1	0,1
330	1	0,1	0,1
360	2	0,1	0,2
400	10	0,6	0,6
420	1	0,1	0,1
450	7	0,4	0,5
480	1	0,0	0,1
500	22	1,2	1,4
520	2	0,1	0,1
550	1	0,1	0,1
600	10	0,6	0,7

620		1	0,1	0,1
650		1	0,0	0,0
700		7	0,4	0,4
750		2	0,1	0,1
800		10	0,5	0,6
897		1	0,0	0,1
900		2	0,1	0,2
1 000		35	1,9	2,2
1 100		2	0,1	0,1
1 200		7	0,4	0,4
1 300		5	0,3	0,3
1 400		1	0,1	0,1
1 500		6	0,3	0,4
1 600		2	0,1	0,1
1 700		2	0,1	0,2
1 800		3	0,1	0,2
1 900		1	0,0	0,1
2 000		15	0,8	0,9
2 400		2	0,1	0,1
2 500		5	0,3	0,3
2 570		1	0,1	0,1
2 611		1	0,1	0,1
2 700		1	0,0	0,0
2 800		1	0,0	0,0
3 000		11	0,6	0,7
3 400		1	0,0	0,0
3 500		3	0,1	0,2
3 700		1	0,1	0,1
4 000		6	0,4	0,4
4 100		1	0,1	0,1
4 200		0	0,0	0,0
4 500		4	0,2	0,2
5 000		12	0,7	0,7
5 800		1	0,1	0,1
6 000		4	0,2	0,3
7 000		6	0,3	0,4
7 500		0	0,0	0,0
7 720		1	0,0	0,1
8 000		1	0,0	0,0
10 000		4	0,2	0,2
14 000		0	0,0	0,0
15 000		3	0,2	0,2
17 000		1	0,0	0,1
99997 don't remember		372	20,7	23,4
Total		1 589	88,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	8	0,4	
	99998 refused	7	0,4	
	99999 not applicable	190	10,6	
Total		205	11,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sectr325 M16. industrial sector

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 energy industry	47	2,6	3,0
	2 metallurgy industry	32	1,8	2,0
	3 electro-mechanical	33	1,8	2,1
	4 chemical	24	1,3	1,5
	5 raw material - mining	23	1,3	1,5
	6 timber	19	1,1	1,2
	7 light industry	84	4,7	5,3
	8 food industry	71	4,0	4,5
	9 other industry branches	67	3,7	4,2
	10 construction	93	5,2	5,9
	11 agriculture	227	12,7	14,4
	12 forestry	7	0,4	0,4
	13 fishing	2	0,1	0,1
	14 transport	76	4,3	4,9
	15 communication	21	1,2	1,3
	16 commerce	199	11,1	12,7
	17 municipal branch	19	1,1	1,2
	18 housing sector, municipal services	18	1,0	1,1
	19 sciences & technology	10	0,6	0,6
	20 education	117	6,5	7,5
	21 culture	9	0,5	0,6
	22 health	67	3,7	4,2
	23 tourism, leisure, sport	3	0,2	0,2
	24 public administration & justice	18	1,0	1,1
	25 finances	29	1,6	1,8
	27 military, police	37	2,0	2,3
	28 services	158	8,8	10,0
	29 crafts	29	1,6	1,8
	97 DK, don't remember	35	2,0	2,2
	Total	1 574	87,8	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	16	0,9	
	98 refused	14	0,8	
	99 never had a job	190	10,6	
	Total	220	12,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

supvs326 M17. supervision of others'work

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	377	21,0	23,5
	2 no	1 219	68,0	76,0
	7 don't know	8	0,4	0,5
	Total	1 604	89,4	100,0
Missing	9 not applicable	190	10,6	
Total		1 794	100,0	

susup327 M18. subordinates has own subordinates

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	119	6,6	31,6
	2 no	257	14,4	68,4
	Total	376	21,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refused	2	0,1	
	9 not applicable	1 414	78,8	
	Total	1 418	79,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

numsu328 M19. number of all respondent's subordinates

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1	25	1,4	7,0
	2	35	1,9	9,6
	3	30	1,7	8,4
	4	21	1,2	5,8
	5	31	1,8	8,7
	6	14	0,8	3,9
	7	18	1,0	5,1
	8	14	0,8	3,8
	9	4	0,2	1,2
	10	26	1,4	7,1
	11	5	0,3	1,3
	12	13	0,7	3,7
	13	1	0,1	0,3
	14	1	0,1	0,4
	15	10	0,5	2,7
	16	3	0,2	0,8
	17	9	0,5	2,5
	18	1	0,1	0,4
	20	21	1,2	5,8
	23	0	0,0	0,1
	24	1	0,1	0,4
	28	1	0,0	0,2
	30	14	0,8	3,9
	31	1	0,1	0,3
	32	1	0,0	0,2
	35	2	0,1	0,5
	39	2	0,1	0,6
	40	6	0,3	1,6
	45	3	0,2	0,8
	48	1	0,0	0,2
	50	5	0,3	1,5
	52	0	0,0	0,1
	57	1	0,1	0,3
	60	5	0,3	1,4
	70	3	0,2	0,8
	80	1	0,1	0,3
	90	0	0,0	0,1
	95	2	0,1	0,5
	100	3	0,2	1,0
	120	2	0,1	0,5
	150	3	0,1	0,7
	160	1	0,0	0,2
	199	1	0,1	0,3
	200	2	0,1	0,6
	250	2	0,1	0,4
	300	2	0,1	0,4
	400	0	0,0	0,1
	450	2	0,1	0,5
	500	1	0,1	0,3
	3 000	1	0,0	0,2
	3 400	1	0,0	0,2
	9997 don't remember	8	0,4	2,1
	Total	362	20,2	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	3	0,2	
	9998 refused	6	0,3	
	9999 not applicable	1 423	79,3	
	Total	1 432	79,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

occup329 M20. main occupation of respondent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 armed forces	12	0,6	0,7
	11 legislators and senior officials	2	0,1	0,2
	12 corporate managers	3	0,1	0,2
	13 general managers	42	2,3	2,6
	21 physical, mathematical, engineering science professionals	35	2,0	2,2
	22 life science and health professionals	16	0,9	1,0
	23 teaching professionals	59	3,3	3,7
	24 other professionals	35	1,9	2,2
	31 physical and engineering science associate professionals	35	2,0	2,2
	32 life science and health associate professionals	24	1,3	1,5
	33 teaching associate professionals	11	0,6	0,7
	34 other associate professionals	81	4,5	5,1
	41 office clerks	102	5,7	6,4
	42 customer service clerks	54	3,0	3,4
	51 personal and protective service workers	48	2,7	3,0
	52 models, sales persons and demonstrators	118	6,6	7,4
	61 market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers	152	8,5	9,5
	62 subsistence agricultural and fishery workers	41	2,3	2,6
	71 extraction and building trade workers	61	3,4	3,8
	72 metal, machinery and related trade workers	80	4,5	5,0
	73 precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers	35	2,0	2,2
	74 other craft and related trade workers	185	10,3	11,5
	81 stationary-plant and related operators	34	1,9	2,1
	82 machine operators and assemblers	15	0,9	1,0
	83 drivers and mobile-plant operators	70	3,9	4,3
	91 sales and services elementary occupations	131	7,3	8,2
	92 agricultural, fishery and related laborers	15	0,8	0,9
	93 laborers in mining, construction,manufacturing and transport	88	4,9	5,5
	97 don't know	13	0,7	0,8
	Total	1 599	89,1	100,0
Missing	98 refused	5	0,3	
	99 never had a job	190	10,6	
	Total	195	10,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

emspo331 M21. employment status of spouse

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 full time (32 + hours weekly)	498	27,8	27,8
	2 part time(15-32 hours a week)	31	1,7	1,7
	3 less than 15 hours	3	0,2	0,2
	4 helping family member	51	2,8	2,8
	5 unemployed	134	7,5	7,5
	6 student, in school	2	0,1	0,1
	7 retired	226	12,6	12,6
	8 home duties, housewife	73	4,1	4,1
	9 permanently disabled	65	3,6	3,6
	10 disabled with limited ability to work	52	2,9	2,9
	11 others	31	1,7	1,7
	96 missing spouse	621	34,6	34,6
	97 hard to say	6	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

isspo332 M22. ownership status of the institution/firm of spouse

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 state office/institution financed by state budget	162	9,0	9,6
	2 state owned company/enterprise	239	13,3	14,1
	3 mixed	70	3,9	4,1
	4 self-employed	83	4,6	4,9
	5 private company/enterprise	210	11,7	12,5
	6 communal/local owned company/enterprise	5	0,3	0,3
	7 cooperative	45	2,5	2,6
	8 individual/private farm	116	6,5	6,9
	96 missing spouse	621	34,6	36,8
	97 don't know, no answer	137	7,6	8,1
	Total	1 688	94,1	100,0
Missing	98 refused	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable	105	5,9	
	Total	106	5,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

scspo333 M23. industrial sector of spouse's firm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 energy industry	35	2,0	2,1
	2 metallurgy industry	22	1,2	1,3
	3 electro-mechanical	17	0,9	1,0
	4 chemical	9	0,5	0,5
	5 raw material - mining	16	0,9	1,0
	6 timber	12	0,7	0,7
	7 light industry	39	2,2	2,3
	8 food industry	38	2,1	2,2
	9 other industry branches	38	2,1	2,3
	10 construction	47	2,6	2,8
	11 agriculture	153	8,6	9,1
	12 forestry	6	0,3	0,3
	13 fishing	2	0,1	0,1
	14 transport	59	3,3	3,5
	15 communication	11	0,6	0,7
	16 commerce	92	5,1	5,5
	17 municipal branch	9	0,5	0,5
	18 housing sector, municipal services	14	0,8	0,9
	19 sciences & technology	7	0,4	0,4
	20 education	83	4,6	4,9
	21 culture	3	0,2	0,2
	22 health	53	3,0	3,2
	23 tourism, leisure, sport	8	0,4	0,5
	24 public administration & justice	20	1,1	1,2
	25 finances	15	0,9	0,9
	26 political organizations, trade unions etc	2	0,1	0,1
	27 military, police	16	0,9	1,0
	28 services	100	5,6	6,0
	29 crafts	18	1,0	1,1
	96 missing spouse	621	34,6	37,0
	97 DK, don't remember	112	6,3	6,7
	Total	1 678	93,6	100,0
Missing	98 refused	11	0,6	
	99 not applicable	105	5,9	
	Total	116	6,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ocspo334 M24. occupation of spouse

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 armed forces	9	0,5	0,5
	11 legislators and senior officials	4	0,2	0,2
	12 corporate managers	1	0,1	0,1
	13 general managers	27	1,5	1,6
	21 physical, mathematical, engineering science professionals	15	0,8	0,9
	22 life science and health professionals	8	0,4	0,5
	23 teaching professionals	26	1,5	1,6
	24 other professionals	13	0,7	0,8
	31 physical and engineering science associate professionals	29	1,6	1,7
	32 life science and health associate professionals	28	1,6	1,7
	33 teaching associate professionals	27	1,5	1,6
	34 other associate professionals	34	1,9	2,0
	41 office clerks	84	4,7	5,0
	42 customer service clerks	30	1,6	1,8
	51 personal and protective service workers	21	1,2	1,2
	52 models, sales persons and demonstrators	76	4,3	4,6
	61 market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers	100	5,6	6,0
	62 subsistence agricultural and fishery workers	40	2,2	2,4
	71 extraction and building trade workers	41	2,3	2,4
	72 metal, machinery and related trade workers	54	3,0	3,2
	73 precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers	19	1,1	1,1
	74 other craft and related trade workers	112	6,2	6,7
	81 stationary-plant and related operators	20	1,1	1,2
	82 machine operators and assemblers	19	1,1	1,1
	83 drivers and mobile-plant operators	45	2,5	2,7
	91 sales and services elementary occupations	95	5,3	5,6
	92 agricultural, fishery and related laborers	9	0,5	0,5
	93 laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transport	55	3,1	3,3
	96 missing spouse	621	34,6	37,0
	97 don't know	19	1,0	1,1
	Total	1 680	93,6	100,0
Missing	98 refused	9	0,5	
	99 not applicable	105	5,9	
	Total	114	6,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ocfat336 M25. father's profession (when R. was 14 years old)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 armed forces	32	1,8	1,9
	11 legislators and senior officials	3	0,2	0,2
	12 corporate managers	4	0,2	0,2
	13 general managers	27	1,5	1,6
	21 physical, mathematical, engineering science professionals	14	0,8	0,8
	22 life science and health professionals	8	0,4	0,5
	23 teaching professionals	11	0,6	0,7
	24 other professionals	24	1,4	1,5
	31 physical and engineering science associate professionals	22	1,2	1,3
	32 life science and health associate professionals	2	0,1	0,1
	33 teaching associate professionals	10	0,6	0,6
	34 other associate professionals	30	1,7	1,8
	41 office clerks	35	1,9	2,1
	42 customer service clerks	23	1,3	1,4
	51 personal and protective service workers	34	1,9	2,0
	52 models, sales persons and demonstrators	13	0,7	0,8
	61 market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers	305	17,0	18,3
	62 subsistence agricultural and fishery workers	197	11,0	11,8
	71 extraction and building trade workers	117	6,5	7,0
	72 metal, machinery and related trade workers	107	6,0	6,4
	73 precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers	48	2,7	2,9
	74 other craft and related trade workers	188	10,5	11,2
	81 stationary-plant and related operators	11	0,6	0,6
	82 machine operators and assemblers	10	0,6	0,6
	83 drivers and mobile-plant operators	101	5,6	6,0
	91 sales and services elementary occupations	84	4,7	5,0
	92 agricultural, fishery and related laborers	32	1,8	1,9
	93 laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transport	91	5,1	5,5
	97 don't know	87	4,9	5,2
	Total	1 668	93,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	15	0,8	
	98 refused	9	0,5	
	99 not applicable	102	5,7	
	Total	126	7,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

ino89337 M27. June '89. ownership status of the instit./firm

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 state office/institution financed by state budget	75	4,2	9,2
	2 state owned company/enterprise	226	12,6	27,9
	3 mixed	5	0,3	0,6
	4 self-employed	21	1,2	2,6
	5 private company/enterprise	26	1,4	3,2
	7 cooperative	37	2,0	4,5
	8 individual/private farm	42	2,3	5,1
	94 not applicable, no changes	375	20,9	46,2
	97 don't know, no answer	6	0,3	0,7
	Total	811	45,2	100,0
Missing	98 refused	1	0,1	
	99 not applicable, doesn't work	982	54,7	
	Total	983	54,8	
Total		1 794	100,0	

sec89338 M16. June '89. respondent's firm industrial sector

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 energy industry	14	0,8	3,2
	2 metallurgy industry	13	0,7	2,9
	3 electro-mechanical	25	1,4	5,8
	4 chemical	5	0,3	1,2
	5 raw material - mining	9	0,5	2,2
	6 timber	4	0,2	0,9
	7 light industry	21	1,1	4,8
	8 food industry	20	1,1	4,7
	9 other industry branches	21	1,1	4,8
	10 construction	27	1,5	6,3
	11 agriculture	61	3,4	14,1
	12 forestry	5	0,3	1,2
	13 fishing	1	0,0	0,2
	14 transport	35	2,0	8,1
	15 communication	6	0,3	1,3
	16 commerce	37	2,1	8,6
	17 municipal branch	3	0,1	0,6
	18 housing sector, municipal services	6	0,3	1,4
	19 sciences & technology	2	0,1	0,4
	20 education	25	1,4	5,7
	21 culture	2	0,1	0,5
	22 health	13	0,7	3,0
	23 tourism, leisure, sport	3	0,2	0,7
	24 public administration & justice	6	0,3	1,4
	25 finances	2	0,1	0,4
	26 political organizations, trade unions etc	1	0,0	0,2
	27 military, police	6	0,3	1,4
	28 services	35	1,9	8,0
	29 crafts	11	0,6	2,6
	94 not applicable, no changes	2	0,1	0,3
	97 DK, don't remember	13	0,8	3,1
	Total	433	24,1	100,0
Missing	98 refused	6	0,4	
	99 not applicable, doesn't work	1 355	75,5	
	Total	1 361	75,9	
Total		1 794	100,0	

occ89339 M29. June '89. respondent's occupation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 armed forces	4	0,2	0,9
	11 legislators and senior officials	5	0,3	1,1
	13 general managers	18	1,0	4,1
	21 physical, mathematical, engineering science professionals	10	0,6	2,3
	22 life science and health professionals	3	0,2	0,7
	23 teaching professionals	13	0,7	2,9
	24 other professionals	6	0,4	1,5
	31 physical and engineering science associate professionals	12	0,7	2,8
	32 life science and health associate professionals	5	0,3	1,1
	33 teaching associate professionals	1	0,0	0,2
	34 other associate professionals	14	0,8	3,1
	41 office clerks	33	1,8	7,5
	42 customer service clerks	14	0,8	3,3
	51 personal and protective service workers	4	0,2	1,0
	52 models, sales persons and demonstrators	18	1,0	4,0
	61 market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers	31	1,7	7,1
	62 subsistence agricultural and fishery workers	10	0,5	2,2
	71 extraction and building trade workers	22	1,2	5,0
	72 metal, machinery and related trade workers	38	2,1	8,7
	73 precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers	13	0,7	2,9
	74 other craft and related trade workers	58	3,2	13,3
	81 stationary-plant and related operators	2	0,1	0,6
	82 machine operators and assemblers	8	0,4	1,8
	83 drivers and mobile-plant operators	33	1,9	7,7
	91 sales and services elementary occupations	23	1,3	5,3
	92 agricultural, fishery and related laborers	12	0,7	2,7
	93 laborers in mining, construction,manufacturing and transport	15	0,9	3,5
	94 not applicable, no changes	2	0,1	0,3
	97 don't know	11	0,6	2,5
	Total	436	24,3	100,0
Missing	98 refused	3	0,2	
	99 not applicable, doesn't work	1 355	75,5	
	Total	1 358	75,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

iinc3341 M30. regular monthly income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 no income	98	5,5	7,1
	20	0	0,0	0,0
	41	1	0,0	0,1
	76	2	0,1	0,1
	100	5	0,3	0,4
	120	2	0,1	0,1
	136	1	0,1	0,1
	150	1	0,1	0,1
	200	5	0,3	0,4
	240	1	0,1	0,1
	250	1	0,0	0,1
	260	3	0,2	0,2
	261	1	0,1	0,1
	300	11	0,6	0,8
	308	1	0,0	0,1
	350	9	0,5	0,7
	360	5	0,3	0,3
	370	3	0,2	0,2
	371	2	0,1	0,1
	375	1	0,0	0,1
	380	3	0,2	0,2
	384	0	0,0	0,0
	386	1	0,0	0,1
	400	35	2,0	2,5
	408	1	0,1	0,1
	409	1	0,0	0,1
	410	1	0,1	0,1
	415	3	0,2	0,2
	416	2	0,1	0,1
	418	1	0,1	0,1
	420	5	0,3	0,3
	421	1	0,0	0,1
	430	5	0,3	0,4
	434	1	0,1	0,1
	440	1	0,1	0,1
	445	0	0,0	0,0
	447	1	0,1	0,1
	450	18	1,0	1,3
	452	0	0,0	0,0
	454	1	0,0	0,1
	457	1	0,1	0,1
	460	4	0,2	0,3
	461	1	0,0	0,1
	470	16	0,9	1,1
	471	0	0,0	0,0
	477	1	0,0	0,1
	479	0	0,0	0,0
	480	11	0,6	0,8
	483	2	0,1	0,1
	486	2	0,1	0,1
	487	1	0,0	0,1
	490	17	0,9	1,2
	498	1	0,0	0,1
	500	40	2,2	2,8
	510	2	0,1	0,2
	513	3	0,1	0,2
	517	3	0,2	0,2
	520	7	0,4	0,5
	522	1	0,1	0,1
	525	1	0,1	0,1
	528	2	0,1	0,1

530	8	0,4	0,5
535	3	0,2	0,2
540	5	0,3	0,3
541	1	0,1	0,1
543	1	0,1	0,1
547	1	0,1	0,1
548	1	0,0	0,0
550	10	0,5	0,7
560	10	0,6	0,7
561	1	0,1	0,1
570	9	0,5	0,6
580	3	0,2	0,2
583	2	0,1	0,1
588	1	0,0	0,1
590	3	0,1	0,2
600	73	4,1	5,2
603	0	0,0	0,0
606	5	0,3	0,4
607	2	0,1	0,1
610	4	0,2	0,3
618	1	0,1	0,1
619	0	0,0	0,0
620	12	0,6	0,8
621	1	0,0	0,1
623	1	0,1	0,1
630	6	0,3	0,4
640	6	0,3	0,4
642	1	0,1	0,1
650	19	1,1	1,4
658	1	0,1	0,1
660	2	0,1	0,1
670	8	0,4	0,6
680	3	0,1	0,2
682	1	0,1	0,1
683	1	0,0	0,1
690	5	0,3	0,4
694	1	0,0	0,0
698	1	0,0	0,1
700	68	3,8	4,9
703	1	0,0	0,1
710	1	0,1	0,1
717	0	0,0	0,0
720	2	0,1	0,2
723	1	0,0	0,1
725	1	0,1	0,1
730	2	0,1	0,1
740	1	0,1	0,1
741	1	0,0	0,1
745	1	0,1	0,1
750	16	0,9	1,1
756	1	0,1	0,1
759	1	0,1	0,1
760	12	0,7	0,9
765	0	0,0	0,0
770	3	0,2	0,2
780	3	0,2	0,2
790	2	0,1	0,1
792	1	0,0	0,1
799	0	0,0	0,0
800	65	3,6	4,7
814	1	0,1	0,1
820	1	0,1	0,1
824	1	0,0	0,1

830	4	0,2	0,3
835	1	0,0	0,1
836	1	0,0	0,1
840	6	0,3	0,4
845	1	0,1	0,1
847	1	0,1	0,1
850	17	1,0	1,2
860	2	0,1	0,2
869	1	0,1	0,1
870	1	0,1	0,1
873	1	0,1	0,1
880	4	0,2	0,3
890	2	0,1	0,1
892	1	0,0	0,1
900	42	2,4	3,0
914	1	0,1	0,1
926	1	0,1	0,1
940	2	0,1	0,2
950	5	0,3	0,4
960	3	0,1	0,2
961	2	0,1	0,1
962	0	0,0	0,0
970	2	0,1	0,1
980	1	0,1	0,1
990	1	0,0	0,1
998	1	0,0	0,1
1 000	87	4,8	6,2
1 002	1	0,0	0,1
1 013	1	0,0	0,1
1 015	0	0,0	0,0
1 020	0	0,0	0,0
1 025	0	0,0	0,0
1 026	1	0,0	0,1
1 046	2	0,1	0,1
1 050	1	0,1	0,1
1 058	0	0,0	0,0
1 060	1	0,1	0,1
1 065	1	0,0	0,1
1 080	0	0,0	0,0
1 100	38	2,1	2,7
1 120	1	0,1	0,1
1 129	1	0,1	0,1
1 140	0	0,0	0,0
1 150	2	0,1	0,1
1 160	1	0,0	0,1
1 180	1	0,0	0,0
1 200	62	3,4	4,4
1 250	2	0,1	0,2
1 260	0	0,0	0,0
1 273	1	0,0	0,1
1 280	1	0,0	0,1
1 296	0	0,0	0,0
1 300	34	1,9	2,4
1 340	0	0,0	0,0
1 350	3	0,2	0,2
1 400	26	1,5	1,9
1 428	1	0,0	0,1
1 430	0	0,0	0,0
1 449	2	0,1	0,1
1 450	1	0,0	0,1
1 453	0	0,0	0,0
1 460	2	0,1	0,2
1 470	0	0,0	0,0

1 471		2	0,1	0,2
1 480		1	0,1	0,1
1 500		56	3,1	4,0
1 505		0	0,0	0,0
1 546		0	0,0	0,0
1 550		1	0,0	0,1
1 600		14	0,8	1,0
1 650		2	0,1	0,1
1 680		3	0,2	0,2
1 700		8	0,4	0,5
1 719		0	0,0	0,0
1 733		1	0,0	0,1
1 750		3	0,2	0,2
1 760		3	0,2	0,2
1 800		8	0,4	0,6
1 854		0	0,0	0,0
1 860		2	0,1	0,1
1 900		10	0,6	0,7
1 950		1	0,0	0,1
2 000		46	2,6	3,3
2 030		1	0,0	0,1
2 089		0	0,0	0,0
2 100		3	0,2	0,2
2 131		1	0,0	0,1
2 144		1	0,0	0,1
2 200		1	0,0	0,1
2 250		1	0,1	0,1
2 300		3	0,2	0,2
2 350		1	0,0	0,1
2 400		3	0,1	0,2
2 450		0	0,0	0,0
2 500		15	0,8	1,1
2 700		1	0,1	0,1
2 800		2	0,1	0,1
2 900		2	0,1	0,2
3 000		12	0,7	0,8
3 400		1	0,0	0,1
3 500		5	0,3	0,4
3 800		1	0,1	0,1
4 000		6	0,3	0,4
4 800		0	0,0	0,0
5 000		4	0,2	0,3
6 000		1	0,1	0,1
6 500		1	0,1	0,1
7 000		1	0,0	0,1
8 000		2	0,1	0,1
10 000		1	0,1	0,1
13 000		1	0,0	0,0
28 004		1	0,0	0,1
40 000		1	0,0	0,1
99997 don't know		29	1,6	2,1
Total		1 394	77,7	100,0
Missing	99998 refused	92	5,1	
	99999 not applicable	308	17,1	
Total		400	22,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

iinc4342 M31. farmers - average monthly income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 no income	74	4,1	20,1
	23	1	0,1	0,4
	30	1	0,0	0,2
	50	2	0,1	0,4
	100	1	0,0	0,2
	150	4	0,2	1,0
	200	3	0,2	0,8
	240	1	0,1	0,4
	250	1	0,1	0,4
	300	9	0,5	2,4
	350	2	0,1	0,5
	360	1	0,0	0,2
	370	0	0,0	0,1
	380	2	0,1	0,6
	400	11	0,6	3,1
	415	1	0,1	0,3
	420	2	0,1	0,7
	430	3	0,1	0,7
	440	2	0,1	0,4
	450	4	0,2	1,1
	470	2	0,1	0,6
	487	1	0,0	0,2
	490	2	0,1	0,6
	500	10	0,5	2,7
	520	0	0,0	0,1
	530	2	0,1	0,6
	540	1	0,0	0,2
	543	1	0,1	0,2
	547	1	0,1	0,3
	550	1	0,0	0,2
	560	2	0,1	0,5
	580	1	0,0	0,2
	590	2	0,1	0,4
	600	25	1,4	6,9
	606	0	0,0	0,1
	620	0	0,0	0,1
	630	1	0,0	0,2
	640	2	0,1	0,5
	642	1	0,1	0,3
	650	2	0,1	0,6
	666	0	0,0	0,1
	670	0	0,0	0,1
	680	0	0,0	0,1
	685	0	0,0	0,1
	700	17	1,0	4,6
	720	2	0,1	0,6
	725	1	0,0	0,2
	740	1	0,1	0,2
	750	4	0,2	1,0
	760	1	0,0	0,2
	765	0	0,0	0,1
	780	1	0,0	0,2
	792	1	0,0	0,2
	800	13	0,7	3,6
	814	0	0,0	0,1
	820	1	0,0	0,2
	830	0	0,0	0,1
	840	2	0,1	0,6
	850	3	0,2	0,7
	860	0	0,0	0,1
	890	1	0,0	0,2

	900	4	0,2	1,1
	950	3	0,2	0,9
	960	0	0,0	0,1
	990	1	0,0	0,2
	1 000	16	0,9	4,4
	1 050	1	0,0	0,2
	1 100	4	0,2	1,1
	1 200	11	0,6	3,0
	1 300	9	0,5	2,5
	1 350	1	0,0	0,2
	1 400	2	0,1	0,6
	1 450	1	0,1	0,3
	1 453	0	0,0	0,1
	1 500	9	0,5	2,6
	1 540	1	0,0	0,2
	1 546	0	0,0	0,1
	1 600	4	0,2	1,1
	1 700	7	0,4	1,8
	1 760	1	0,1	0,2
	1 800	1	0,1	0,4
	1 900	1	0,1	0,3
	2 000	11	0,6	2,9
	2 100	0	0,0	0,1
	2 200	1	0,1	0,3
	2 300	1	0,1	0,3
	2 500	2	0,1	0,4
	2 800	1	0,1	0,3
	3 000	4	0,2	1,1
	3 600	1	0,1	0,3
	5 000	1	0,1	0,2
	5 300	1	0,0	0,2
	6 000	1	0,0	0,2
	9 600	0	0,0	0,1
	14 400	2	0,1	0,4
	15 000	1	0,0	0,2
	50 000	1	0,0	0,2
	99997 don't know	31	1,7	8,3
	Total	369	20,6	100,0
Missing	99998 refused	33	1,8	
	99999 not applicable	1 392	77,6	
	Total	1 425	79,4	
Total		1 794	100,0	

hhinc343 M32. household income - monthly

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	20	1	0,1	0,1
	41	1	0,1	0,1
	60	0	0,0	0,0
	76	2	0,1	0,1
	100	2	0,1	0,1
	160	1	0,1	0,1
	200	8	0,4	0,5
	260	1	0,0	0,0
	280	1	0,1	0,1
	300	9	0,5	0,6
	310	1	0,0	0,0
	350	0	0,0	0,0
	360	2	0,1	0,1
	370	1	0,1	0,1
	384	0	0,0	0,0
	400	18	1,0	1,1
	410	1	0,1	0,1
	415	2	0,1	0,1

430	1	0,0	0,1
440	0	0,0	0,0
445	0	0,0	0,0
447	1	0,0	0,0
450	7	0,4	0,4
460	1	0,1	0,1
470	4	0,2	0,3
471	0	0,0	0,0
479	0	0,0	0,0
480	3	0,2	0,2
486	2	0,1	0,1
490	2	0,1	0,1
500	21	1,2	1,3
520	1	0,1	0,1
530	4	0,2	0,2
535	0	0,0	0,0
540	1	0,0	0,0
541	1	0,1	0,1
550	0	0,0	0,0
560	4	0,2	0,2
570	5	0,3	0,3
580	2	0,1	0,1
583	1	0,1	0,1
590	1	0,0	0,0
595	1	0,0	0,0
600	25	1,4	1,6
603	0	0,0	0,0
606	0	0,0	0,0
607	1	0,1	0,1
608	1	0,1	0,1
610	1	0,0	0,0
619	0	0,0	0,0
620	2	0,1	0,1
621	1	0,0	0,1
630	3	0,2	0,2
640	3	0,1	0,2
650	5	0,3	0,3
660	1	0,0	0,1
670	3	0,1	0,2
680	2	0,1	0,1
683	1	0,0	0,1
690	1	0,1	0,1
700	34	1,9	2,1
710	1	0,0	0,0
717	0	0,0	0,0
720	5	0,3	0,3
725	0	0,0	0,0
730	0	0,0	0,0
745	0	0,0	0,0
750	5	0,3	0,3
760	3	0,2	0,2
765	0	0,0	0,0
770	0	0,0	0,0
780	3	0,1	0,2
790	0	0,0	0,0
792	1	0,0	0,0
795	1	0,0	0,0
800	38	2,1	2,3
810	1	0,1	0,1
820	1	0,1	0,1
824	1	0,0	0,0
830	2	0,1	0,1
840	2	0,1	0,1

850	5	0,3	0,3
860	1	0,1	0,1
869	0	0,0	0,0
870	2	0,1	0,1
873	1	0,1	0,1
880	1	0,1	0,1
890	1	0,1	0,1
900	21	1,2	1,3
911	1	0,0	0,0
940	2	0,1	0,1
950	6	0,3	0,4
960	3	0,2	0,2
961	1	0,0	0,0
962	0	0,0	0,0
970	1	0,1	0,1
980	1	0,1	0,1
990	1	0,0	0,0
1 000	104	5,8	6,4
1 015	0	0,0	0,0
1 020	1	0,1	0,1
1 025	0	0,0	0,0
1 030	2	0,1	0,1
1 040	1	0,1	0,1
1 050	2	0,1	0,1
1 058	0	0,0	0,0
1 060	1	0,1	0,1
1 070	1	0,1	0,1
1 080	0	0,0	0,0
1 090	1	0,0	0,0
1 100	41	2,3	2,5
1 120	1	0,0	0,0
1 140	2	0,1	0,1
1 150	0	0,0	0,0
1 160	2	0,1	0,1
1 170	2	0,1	0,1
1 190	1	0,0	0,0
1 200	78	4,4	4,8
1 220	1	0,1	0,1
1 229	1	0,1	0,1
1 230	3	0,1	0,2
1 250	6	0,3	0,4
1 260	0	0,0	0,0
1 276	1	0,0	0,1
1 296	0	0,0	0,0
1 300	47	2,6	2,9
1 340	1	0,1	0,1
1 350	3	0,1	0,2
1 370	1	0,0	0,0
1 380	2	0,1	0,1
1 390	1	0,0	0,1
1 400	43	2,4	2,6
1 428	1	0,0	0,1
1 430	0	0,0	0,0
1 450	2	0,1	0,1
1 452	1	0,0	0,0
1 453	0	0,0	0,0
1 470	1	0,1	0,1
1 480	1	0,1	0,1
1 490	2	0,1	0,1
1 500	96	5,3	5,8
1 505	0	0,0	0,0
1 526	1	0,1	0,1
1 540	1	0,0	0,0

1 546	0	0,0	0,0
1 600	43	2,4	2,6
1 644	1	0,1	0,1
1 650	3	0,2	0,2
1 660	1	0,0	0,0
1 670	2	0,1	0,1
1 696	1	0,0	0,1
1 700	38	2,1	2,3
1 710	1	0,0	0,0
1 719	0	0,0	0,0
1 720	1	0,1	0,1
1 730	1	0,1	0,1
1 740	1	0,0	0,0
1 750	2	0,1	0,1
1 760	1	0,1	0,1
1 770	1	0,0	0,1
1 790	1	0,1	0,1
1 800	57	3,2	3,5
1 830	1	0,1	0,1
1 854	0	0,0	0,0
1 900	23	1,3	1,4
1 950	3	0,2	0,2
1 980	2	0,1	0,1
2 000	107	6,0	6,5
2 050	1	0,1	0,1
2 089	0	0,0	0,0
2 090	1	0,1	0,1
2 100	20	1,1	1,2
2 144	1	0,0	0,1
2 150	1	0,0	0,0
2 200	15	0,8	0,9
2 300	11	0,6	0,7
2 335	2	0,1	0,1
2 350	1	0,0	0,0
2 360	2	0,1	0,1
2 400	12	0,7	0,7
2 404	1	0,0	0,1
2 450	4	0,2	0,2
2 480	1	0,1	0,1
2 500	58	3,2	3,5
2 600	20	1,1	1,2
2 650	2	0,1	0,1
2 700	16	0,9	1,0
2 800	8	0,4	0,5
2 900	13	0,7	0,8
2 950	1	0,0	0,0
3 000	77	4,3	4,7
3 100	1	0,1	0,1
3 200	4	0,2	0,2
3 300	4	0,2	0,3
3 500	16	0,9	0,9
3 600	3	0,2	0,2
3 700	1	0,1	0,1
3 731	1	0,0	0,0
3 800	2	0,1	0,1
3 890	2	0,1	0,1
4 000	36	2,0	2,2
4 100	1	0,1	0,1
4 200	3	0,1	0,2
4 400	1	0,1	0,1
4 500	4	0,2	0,3
4 800	0	0,0	0,0
5 000	13	0,7	0,8

	5 300	1	0,1	0,1
	5 400	1	0,0	0,0
	5 500	1	0,1	0,1
	5 600	1	0,1	0,1
	6 000	5	0,3	0,3
	7 000	6	0,3	0,3
	7 200	1	0,0	0,0
	8 000	2	0,1	0,1
	8 200	1	0,0	0,1
	9 000	1	0,1	0,1
	10 000	2	0,1	0,1
	10 700	1	0,1	0,1
	11 000	2	0,1	0,1
	14 000	1	0,1	0,1
	20 000	2	0,1	0,1
	60 000	1	0,0	0,0
	99997 don't know	184	10,3	11,3
	Total	1 637	91,3	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,3	
	99998 refused	102	5,7	
	99999 not applicable	49	2,7	
	Total	157	8,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

marit344 M33. marital status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 married, cohabitation	1 173	65,4	65,4
	2 widow(er)	177	9,8	9,8
	3 divorced, separated	56	3,1	3,1
	4 single	389	21,7	21,7
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

hhnum345 M34. number of people in household

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 person	101	5,6	5,6
	2 persons	323	18,0	18,0
	3 persons	394	21,9	21,9
	4 persons	408	22,7	22,7
	5 persons	266	14,8	14,8
	6 persons	155	8,6	8,6
	7 persons	80	4,5	4,5
	8 persons	40	2,2	2,2
	9 persons	16	0,9	0,9
	10 persons	8	0,4	0,4
	11 persons	1	0,1	0,1
	12 persons	3	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

hho18346 M35. number of adults live in flat/house

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 person	124	6,9	6,9
	2 persons	632	35,3	35,3
	3 persons	477	26,6	26,6
	4 persons	361	20,1	20,1
	5 persons	146	8,2	8,2
	6 persons	33	1,9	1,9
	7 persons	14	0,8	0,8
	8 persons	3	0,2	0,2
	9 persons	3	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

hhu18347 M36. number of children under the age 18

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0 missing children under the age 18	898	50,1	50,1
	1 under age 18	426	23,7	23,7
	2 under age 18	293	16,3	16,3
	3 under age 18	118	6,6	6,6
	4 under age 18	42	2,3	2,3
	5 under age 18	12	0,7	0,7
	6 under age 18	2	0,1	0,1
	7 under age 18	2	0,1	0,1
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

hhsqm348 M37. sq. meters per household member

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	4	13	0,7	0,8
	5	12	0,7	0,7
	6	22	1,2	1,2
	7	20	1,1	1,1
	8	50	2,8	2,8
	9	31	1,7	1,7
	10	110	6,1	6,2
	11	30	1,7	1,7
	12	113	6,3	6,3
	13	53	3,0	3,0
	14	50	2,8	2,8
	15	184	10,3	10,3
	16	89	4,9	5,0
	17	45	2,5	2,5
	18	65	3,6	3,7
	19	12	0,7	0,7
	20	176	9,8	9,8
	21	16	0,9	0,9
	22	26	1,4	1,4
	23	21	1,2	1,2
	24	24	1,3	1,3
	25	104	5,8	5,8
	26	20	1,1	1,1
	27	18	1,0	1,0
	28	7	0,4	0,4
	29	9	0,5	0,5
	30	84	4,7	4,7
	31	6	0,3	0,3
	32	15	0,8	0,9
	33	12	0,7	0,7
	34	4	0,2	0,2
	35	27	1,5	1,5
	36	13	0,8	0,8
	37	8	0,4	0,4
	38	5	0,3	0,3
	39	0	0,0	0,0
	40	43	2,4	2,4
	41	2	0,1	0,1
	42	5	0,3	0,3
	43	5	0,3	0,3
	44	3	0,2	0,2
	45	14	0,8	0,8
	46	7	0,4	0,4
	47	4	0,2	0,2
	48	14	0,8	0,8
	49	3	0,2	0,2
	50	34	1,9	1,9
	51	3	0,2	0,2
	52	4	0,2	0,2

53		5	0,3	0,3
54		4	0,2	0,2
55		5	0,3	0,3
56		2	0,1	0,1
57		2	0,1	0,1
58		2	0,1	0,1
60		10	0,6	0,6
61		0	0,0	0,0
62		4	0,2	0,2
63		1	0,0	0,0
64		3	0,2	0,2
65		5	0,3	0,3
66		0	0,0	0,0
67		1	0,1	0,1
69		0	0,0	0,0
70		7	0,4	0,4
72		1	0,1	0,1
75		2	0,1	0,1
76		0	0,0	0,0
78		1	0,1	0,1
80		10	0,6	0,6
84		0	0,0	0,0
85		2	0,1	0,1
86		2	0,1	0,1
87		1	0,1	0,1
90		2	0,1	0,1
92		2	0,1	0,1
95		1	0,0	0,0
97		0	0,0	0,0
98		2	0,1	0,1
100		13	0,7	0,7
102		0	0,0	0,0
105		1	0,1	0,1
108		0	0,0	0,0
110		1	0,0	0,0
118		2	0,1	0,1
120		9	0,5	0,5
122		2	0,1	0,1
125		1	0,0	0,0
130		1	0,1	0,1
145		1	0,1	0,1
150		5	0,3	0,3
185		2	0,1	0,1
300		1	0,1	0,1
360		2	0,1	0,1
997 don't know		31	1,7	1,7
Total		1 786	99,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	6	0,4	
	998 refused	2	0,1	
	Total	8	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

dwelI349 M38. ownership status of flat/house

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 own house	664	37,0	37,0
	2 own flat	389	21,7	21,7
	3 cooperative flat	205	11,4	11,4
	4 municipal	241	13,4	13,4
	5 house/flat of family	201	11,2	11,2
	6 rented flat	43	2,4	2,4
	7 other	51	2,9	2,9
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

resid350 M39. residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 village	659	36,7	36,7
	2 town below 20 000 inhabitants	240	13,4	13,4
	3 20 - 50 000 inhabit.	157	8,7	8,7
	4 50 - 100 000 inhabit.	199	11,1	11,1
	5 city 100 - 500 000 inhabit.	363	20,2	20,2
	6 city over 500 000 inhabit.	177	9,9	9,9
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

local351 M40. connection with locality/are

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 grandparents already lived here	357	19,9	19,9
	2 parents already lived here	504	28,1	28,1
	3 respondent moved here	933	52,0	52,0
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	0	0,0	
Total		1 794	100,0	

lcase352 M40. age-when resp. moved

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1	5	0,3	0,6
	2	11	0,6	1,2
	3	9	0,5	0,9
	4	2	0,1	0,3
	5	9	0,5	1,0
	6	9	0,5	1,0
	7	11	0,6	1,2
	8	9	0,5	1,0
	9	4	0,2	0,4
	10	14	0,8	1,5
	11	8	0,4	0,8
	12	7	0,4	0,7
	13	6	0,3	0,6
	14	7	0,4	0,8
	15	11	0,6	1,2
	16	4	0,2	0,4
	17	10	0,5	1,0
	18	16	0,9	1,8
	19	34	1,9	3,6
	20	33	1,8	3,6
	21	43	2,4	4,6
	22	32	1,8	3,5
	23	50	2,8	5,4
	24	43	2,4	4,7
	25	52	2,9	5,6
	26	39	2,2	4,2
	27	30	1,7	3,3
	28	40	2,2	4,3
	29	19	1,1	2,0
	30	62	3,5	6,7
	31	19	1,1	2,1
	32	22	1,2	2,4
	33	21	1,2	2,2
	34	16	0,9	1,8
	35	27	1,5	2,9
	36	14	0,8	1,5
	37	11	0,6	1,2
	38	14	0,8	1,5
	39	14	0,8	1,5
	40	17	0,9	1,8
	41	7	0,4	0,8

42	11	0,6	1,1
43	7	0,4	0,7
44	8	0,5	0,9
45	14	0,8	1,5
46	8	0,5	0,9
47	8	0,4	0,8
48	4	0,2	0,5
49	4	0,2	0,4
50	10	0,6	1,1
51	3	0,2	0,3
52	2	0,1	0,3
53	1	0,1	0,1
54	1	0,1	0,1
55	4	0,2	0,5
57	2	0,1	0,3
58	3	0,2	0,3
59	2	0,1	0,3
60	3	0,2	0,3
61	3	0,2	0,3
62	5	0,3	0,6
63	1	0,1	0,1
64	1	0,1	0,1
65	2	0,1	0,2
66	2	0,1	0,2
67	2	0,1	0,2
71	0	0,0	0,0
75	1	0,1	0,1
78	1	0,0	0,1
81	1	0,0	0,1
82	2	0,1	0,2
Total	931	51,9	100,0
Missing			
0 no answer	2	0,1	
99 not applicable	861	48,0	
Total	863	48,1	
Total	1 794	100,0	

cpmem353 M41. membership of communist (PZPR) or satellite party (ZSL,SD)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	167	9,3	9,4
	2 no	1 621	90,4	90,6
	Total	1 788	99,7	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	8 refused	3	0,2	
	Total	6	0,3	
Total		1 794	100,0	

prmem354 M42. current membership of political party, club, etc.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	29	1,6	1,6
	2 no	1 765	98,4	98,4
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

whpar355 M42. name of the party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 SLD Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej	7	0,4	27,5
	2 AWSP Akcja Wyborcza Solidarnosc Prawicy	1	0,1	3,6
	6 PSL Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	8	0,4	29,2
	7 PO Platforma Obywatelska	1	0,0	2,6
	11 MN Mniejszosc Niemiecka	1	0,0	2,4
	14 UP Unia Pracy	1	0,0	2,1
	17 PL-D Partia Ludowo-Demokratyczna	1	0,1	3,8
	22 UPR Unia Polityki Realnej	4	0,2	13,1
	41 KPEiR RP Krajowe Porozum. Emerytow i Rencistow RP	1	0,0	3,2
	91 Solidarność (bez nazwy partii)	1	0,1	4,3
	95 other party	2	0,1	8,3
	Total	27	1,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	2	0,1	
	99 not applicable	1 765	98,4	
	Total	1 767	98,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

tum81356 M43. membership of trade union in 1980/81

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Solidarity trade union	249	13,9	16,8
	2 branch trade union	215	12,0	14,5
	3 ZNP - Union of Polish Teachers	27	1,5	1,8
	4 other trade unions	27	1,5	1,8
	5 anyone of above	967	53,9	65,1
	Total	1 486	82,8	100,0
Missing	8 refused	1	0,1	
	9 not applicable	307	17,1	
	Total	308	17,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

tum01357 M44. current membership of trade union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Solidarity trade union	57	3,1	3,1
	2 OPZZ trade unions	39	2,2	2,2
	3 other trade unions	86	4,8	4,8
	4 anyone of above	1 613	89,9	89,9
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

tumhh358 M45. household member in a trade union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	112	6,2	6,2
	2 no	1 510	84,2	84,6
	3 don't know	164	9,1	9,2
	Total	1 785	99,5	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	9 not applicable	2	0,1	
	Total	9	0,5	
Total		1 794	100,0	

orbus359 M46A. member of a business or employer's association

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	4	0,2	0,2
	2 no	1 790	99,8	99,8
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

orfrm360 M46B. member of farmer's association

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	3	0,2	0,2
	2 no	1 791	99,8	99,8
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

orasc361 M46C. member of professional association

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 yes	43	2,4	2,4
	2 no	1 751	97,6	97,6
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

devot362 M47. attitude towards religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 atheist	43	2,4	2,4
	2 agnostic	134	7,5	7,5
	3 believer	1 389	77,4	77,5
	4 devout believer	217	12,1	12,1
	7 don't know	10	0,6	0,6
	Total	1 793	99,9	100,0
Missing	8 refused	1	0,1	
Total		1 794	100,0	

denom363 M48. religious denomination

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Roman-Catholic	1 724	96,1	96,1
	2 Orthodox	11	0,6	0,6
	3 Lutheram	2	0,1	0,1
	4 Independent-Fundamentalist	2	0,1	0,1
	5 other denomination	15	0,8	0,8
	6 Atheist	29	1,6	1,6
	7 no answer	11	0,6	0,6
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

denm2364 M48. other denomination

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 Greek-Catholic	6	0,3	39,1
	3 Protestant (no denomination given)	1	0,1	9,2
	4 Adventist	2	0,1	16,4
	5 Baptist	1	0,1	6,8
	6 Anabaptist	2	0,1	15,5
	14 Jehovah witnesses	1	0,1	7,5
	15 Polish-Catholic	1	0,0	5,6
	Total	15	0,8	100,0
Missing	99 not applicable	1 779	99,2	
Total		1 794	100,0	

chrat365 M49. attendance at religious service

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 never	65	3,6	3,6
	2 less frequently than once a year	50	2,8	2,8
	3 once a year (more or less)	50	2,8	2,8
	4 several times a year	337	18,8	18,9
	5 once a month	122	6,8	6,9
	6 two or three times a month	267	14,9	15,0
	7 once a week	789	44,0	44,3
	8 several times a week	96	5,3	5,4
	97 don't know	6	0,3	0,3
	Total	1 781	99,3	100,0
Missing	98 refused	13	0,7	
Total		1 794	100,0	

endho366 hour of interview conclusion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	6	0,4	0,4
	8	3	0,1	0,1
	9	14	0,8	0,8
	10	67	3,7	3,7
	11	115	6,4	6,4
	12	144	8,0	8,0
	13	131	7,3	7,3
	14	167	9,3	9,3
	15	155	8,6	8,6
	16	172	9,6	9,6
	17	240	13,4	13,4
	18	206	11,5	11,5
	19	185	10,3	10,3
	20	124	6,9	6,9
	21	64	3,5	3,5
	22	3	0,2	0,2
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

endmn367 minute of interview conclusion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	246	13,7	13,7
	1	1	0,1	0,1
	2	3	0,2	0,2
	3	2	0,1	0,1
	4	3	0,2	0,2
	5	69	3,9	3,9
	6	6	0,3	0,3
	7	2	0,1	0,1
	8	2	0,1	0,1
	9	1	0,1	0,1
	10	146	8,1	8,1
	12	3	0,2	0,2
	14	1	0,1	0,1
	15	98	5,5	5,5
	16	2	0,1	0,1
	18	8	0,4	0,4
	19	0	0,0	0,0
	20	157	8,7	8,7
	21	2	0,1	0,1
	22	2	0,1	0,1
	23	4	0,2	0,2
	25	66	3,7	3,7
	26	2	0,1	0,1
	28	0	0,0	0,0
	29	1	0,0	0,0
	30	236	13,2	13,2
	31	2	0,1	0,1
	32	2	0,1	0,1
	34	1	0,1	0,1
	35	89	4,9	4,9
	36	3	0,2	0,2
	37	5	0,3	0,3
	38	4	0,2	0,2
	39	2	0,1	0,1
	40	187	10,4	10,4
	41	1	0,1	0,1
	42	1	0,0	0,0
	43	1	0,1	0,1
	44	2	0,1	0,1
	45	118	6,6	6,6
	46	1	0,0	0,0
	48	5	0,3	0,3
	49	2	0,1	0,1
	50	187	10,4	10,4
	51	1	0,1	0,1
	53	3	0,2	0,2
	54	3	0,2	0,2
	55	101	5,6	5,6
	56	3	0,2	0,2
	57	0	0,0	0,0
	58	3	0,2	0,2
	59	4	0,2	0,2
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

p1reg368 region of residence				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 former Russian partition - Congress Kingdom	573	32,0	32,0
	2 former Austrian partition - Galicia	274	15,3	15,3
	3 former Prussian partition	408	22,8	22,8
	4 territories regained after World War II	539	30,0	30,0
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

dstsj369 A2. SEJM: respondent's electoral district				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 LEGNICA	60	3,3	3,3
	2 WALBRZYCH	30	1,7	1,7
	3 WROCLAW	51	2,9	2,9
	4 BYDGOSZCZ	32	1,8	1,8
	5 TORUN	58	3,2	3,2
	6 LUBLIN	60	3,4	3,4
	7 CHELM	51	2,9	2,9
	8 ZIELONA GORA	49	2,7	2,7
	9 LODZ	51	2,9	2,9
	10 PIOTRKOW TRYBUNALSKI	27	1,5	1,5
	11 SIERADZ	44	2,5	2,5
	12 CHRZANOW	21	1,2	1,2
	13 KRAKOW	28	1,5	1,5
	14 NOWY SACZ	40	2,2	2,2
	15 TARNOW	41	2,3	2,3
	16 PLOCK	52	2,9	2,9
	17 RADOM	8	0,4	0,4
	18 SIEDLCE	59	3,3	3,3
	19 WARSZAWA	51	2,8	2,8
	20 WARSZAWA II	26	1,5	1,5
	21 OPOLE	50	2,8	2,8
	22 KROSNO	54	3,0	3,0
	23 RZESZOW	49	2,7	2,7
	24 BIALYSTOK	62	3,5	3,5
	25 GDANSK	52	2,9	2,9
	26 GDYNIA	41	2,3	2,3
	27 BIELSKO-BIALA	37	2,1	2,1
	28 CZESTOCHOWA	28	1,6	1,6
	29 GLIWICE	39	2,2	2,2
	30 RYBNIK	61	3,4	3,4
	31 KATOWICE	53	3,0	3,0
	32 SOSNOWIEC	40	2,2	2,2
	33 KIELCE	88	4,9	4,9
	34 ELBLAG	30	1,7	1,7
	35 OLSZTYN	39	2,2	2,2
	36 KALISZ	25	1,4	1,4
	37 KONIN	34	1,9	1,9
	38 PILA	72	4,0	4,0
	39 POZNAN	24	1,4	1,4
	40 KOSZALIN	18	1,0	1,0
	41 SZCZECIN	58	3,2	3,2
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

ivsex370 A3. interviewers sex				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 male	788	43,9	43,9
	2 female	1 006	56,1	56,1
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

date_371 A4. date: day, month of the interview

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	928	1	0,1	0,1
	929	132	7,3	7,3
	930	181	10,1	10,1
	1 000	14	0,8	0,8
	1 001	106	5,9	5,9
	1 002	203	11,3	11,3
	1 003	178	9,9	9,9
	1 004	197	11,0	11,0
	1 005	181	10,1	10,1
	1 006	244	13,6	13,6
	1 007	181	10,1	10,1
	1 008	91	5,1	5,1
	1 009	60	3,4	3,4
	1 010	18	1,0	1,0
	1 011	6	0,3	0,3
	1 012	1	0,0	0,0
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

rsprl372 A5. respondents attitude towards interview

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 definitely well-disposed	828	46,1	46,3
	2 rather well-disposed	602	33,6	33,7
	3 indifferent	283	15,8	15,8
	4 rather ill-disposed	63	3,5	3,5
	5 definitely ill-disposed	12	0,6	0,6
	Total	1 787	99,6	100,0
Missing	0 no answer	7	0,4	
	Total	1 794	100,0	

ank_373 Ank.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	2	0,1	0,1
	1 094	10	0,6	0,6
	1 216	2	0,1	0,1
	1 224	0	0,0	0,0
	1 312	2	0,1	0,1
	1 314	2	0,1	0,1
	1 338	3	0,2	0,2
	1 466	3	0,2	0,2
	1 467	7	0,4	0,4
	1 481	3	0,2	0,2
	1 485	5	0,3	0,3
	1 486	8	0,5	0,5
	1 490	2	0,1	0,1
	1 510	7	0,4	0,4
	1 523	1	0,1	0,1
	1 535	6	0,3	0,3
	1 561	8	0,4	0,4
	1 566	1	0,1	0,1
	1 571	2	0,1	0,1
	1 572	6	0,3	0,3
	1 575	2	0,1	0,1
	1 578	1	0,0	0,0
	1 580	0	0,0	0,0
	1 645	9	0,5	0,5
	3 010	9	0,5	0,5
	3 045	1	0,1	0,1
	3 046	9	0,5	0,5
	3 065	6	0,3	0,3
	3 067	9	0,5	0,5

3 068	9	0,5	0,5
3 081	4	0,2	0,2
5 001	10	0,5	0,5
5 005	13	0,7	0,7
5 007	16	0,9	0,9
5 009	13	0,7	0,7
5 014	13	0,7	0,7
5 043	7	0,4	0,4
5 048	19	1,0	1,0
5 075	9	0,5	0,5
5 098	15	0,8	0,8
5 099	9	0,5	0,5
7 001	12	0,7	0,7
10 013	5	0,3	0,3
10 016	5	0,3	0,3
10 034	4	0,2	0,2
10 046	8	0,5	0,5
10 049	2	0,1	0,1
10 073	10	0,6	0,6
10 077	7	0,4	0,4
10 080	4	0,2	0,2
10 086	8	0,4	0,4
10 089	3	0,2	0,2
10 098	3	0,2	0,2
10 107	1	0,1	0,1
10 111	6	0,3	0,3
10 115	2	0,1	0,1
10 123	11	0,6	0,6
10 676	2	0,1	0,1
11 001	4	0,2	0,2
11 008	17	0,9	0,9
11 011	17	0,9	0,9
11 037	6	0,4	0,4
11 042	5	0,3	0,3
14 009	14	0,8	0,8
14 019	14	0,8	0,8
14 124	6	0,3	0,3
14 134	5	0,3	0,3
14 138	6	0,3	0,3
14 140	11	0,6	0,6
14 153	6	0,3	0,3
14 162	15	0,8	0,8
14 168	3	0,1	0,1
14 182	8	0,5	0,5
14 184	15	0,8	0,8
14 187	9	0,5	0,5
14 195	8	0,5	0,5
14 200	18	1,0	1,0
14 207	17	0,9	0,9
14 210	8	0,4	0,4
14 213	5	0,3	0,3
14 519	6	0,3	0,3
14 520	7	0,4	0,4
14 603	15	0,8	0,8
14 621	4	0,2	0,2
14 625	2	0,1	0,1
14 626	3	0,2	0,2
14 647	7	0,4	0,4
14 670	13	0,7	0,7
14 675	5	0,3	0,3
14 676	8	0,4	0,4
14 687	4	0,2	0,2
14 688	8	0,4	0,4

14 689	1	0,0	0,0
14 709	6	0,4	0,4
15 000	1	0,0	0,0
15 005	14	0,8	0,8
15 009	15	0,8	0,8
15 025	10	0,5	0,5
15 032	5	0,3	0,3
15 035	12	0,7	0,7
15 037	9	0,5	0,5
15 038	7	0,4	0,4
15 040	12	0,7	0,7
15 041	4	0,2	0,2
17 001	5	0,3	0,3
17 008	9	0,5	0,5
17 024	7	0,4	0,4
17 031	6	0,4	0,4
17 037	3	0,2	0,2
18 006	5	0,3	0,3
18 020	10	0,6	0,6
18 039	10	0,6	0,6
18 041	7	0,4	0,4
18 050	3	0,2	0,2
18 054	21	1,2	1,2
18 074	7	0,4	0,4
18 075	11	0,6	0,6
18 078	8	0,5	0,5
18 080	5	0,3	0,3
21 002	7	0,4	0,4
21 004	8	0,4	0,4
21 034	4	0,2	0,2
21 035	6	0,4	0,4
22 002	12	0,6	0,6
22 006	6	0,3	0,3
22 025	9	0,5	0,5
22 037	13	0,7	0,7
22 039	2	0,1	0,1
22 040	6	0,4	0,4
22 052	1	0,1	0,1
22 053	7	0,4	0,4
22 054	8	0,5	0,5
22 062	11	0,6	0,6
22 067	4	0,2	0,2
22 068	4	0,2	0,2
24 020	15	0,8	0,8
24 048	13	0,7	0,7
24 050	1	0,1	0,1
24 064	1	0,0	0,0
24 069	5	0,3	0,3
24 070	12	0,7	0,7
24 071	10	0,5	0,5
24 080	4	0,2	0,2
24 083	4	0,2	0,2
24 084	7	0,4	0,4
24 085	13	0,7	0,7
24 086	14	0,8	0,8
24 089	11	0,6	0,6
24 090	13	0,7	0,7
26 001	3	0,2	0,2
26 002	11	0,6	0,6
26 003	7	0,4	0,4
26 013	7	0,4	0,4
26 017	6	0,3	0,3
26 021	9	0,5	0,5

26 025	8	0,4	0,4
26 026	8	0,5	0,5
26 028	2	0,1	0,1
26 034	6	0,3	0,3
28 004	5	0,3	0,3
28 009	6	0,3	0,3
28 025	5	0,3	0,3
32 020	1	0,0	0,0
32 025	9	0,5	0,5
32 084	10	0,6	0,6
32 088	15	0,8	0,8
32 097	12	0,7	0,7
32 169	9	0,5	0,5
32 180	3	0,2	0,2
32 182	8	0,4	0,4
32 186	3	0,2	0,2
32 189	4	0,2	0,2
32 192	5	0,3	0,3
32 193	2	0,1	0,1
34 001	3	0,1	0,1
34 002	1	0,0	0,0
34 009	3	0,2	0,2
34 012	1	0,0	0,0
35 002	20	1,1	1,1
35 007	11	0,6	0,6
35 042	17	1,0	1,0
35 053	7	0,4	0,4
35 062	6	0,3	0,3
35 063	18	1,0	1,0
35 072	14	0,8	0,8
35 073	9	0,5	0,5
36 001	10	0,5	0,5
36 004	11	0,6	0,6
36 014	8	0,4	0,4
36 027	5	0,3	0,3
36 034	7	0,4	0,4
36 040	5	0,3	0,3
36 047	6	0,3	0,3
36 048	10	0,5	0,5
41 006	7	0,4	0,4
41 022	10	0,6	0,6
41 031	6	0,3	0,3
41 040	8	0,4	0,4
41 043	12	0,7	0,7
41 048	5	0,3	0,3
41 049	5	0,3	0,3
41 052	5	0,3	0,3
43 009	13	0,7	0,7
43 010	24	1,3	1,3
43 019	5	0,3	0,3
45 001	7	0,4	0,4
45 003	9	0,5	0,5
45 004	9	0,5	0,5
45 005	6	0,4	0,4
45 006	5	0,3	0,3
45 007	11	0,6	0,6
45 013	7	0,4	0,4
45 021	12	0,7	0,7
46 001	7	0,4	0,4
46 003	11	0,6	0,6
46 012	8	0,5	0,5
46 027	9	0,5	0,5
46 028	7	0,4	0,4

46 031	13	0,7	0,7
47 003	3	0,2	0,2
47 004	5	0,3	0,3
47 006	10	0,5	0,5
47 012	7	0,4	0,4
47 013	4	0,2	0,2
47 015	5	0,3	0,3
47 018	1	0,1	0,1
47 020	11	0,6	0,6
47 022	9	0,5	0,5
47 026	6	0,3	0,3
47 030	7	0,4	0,4
47 032	7	0,4	0,4
47 033	6	0,3	0,3
47 034	7	0,4	0,4
47 035	7	0,4	0,4
47 036	8	0,4	0,4
47 045	2	0,1	0,1
47 046	8	0,4	0,4
47 051	9	0,5	0,5
47 054	4	0,2	0,2
48 014	5	0,3	0,3
48 017	11	0,6	0,6
Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

kod_374 Kod.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	0	8	0,4	0,4
	1	53	2,9	2,9
	3	54	3,0	3,0
	4	55	3,1	3,1
	5	48	2,7	2,7
	6	53	2,9	2,9
	7	54	3,0	3,0
	8	52	2,9	2,9
	10	54	3,0	3,0
	11	2	0,1	0,1
	12	53	2,9	2,9
	13	59	3,3	3,3
	14	52	2,9	2,9
	15	54	3,0	3,0
	16	52	2,9	2,9
	17	53	2,9	2,9
	18	51	2,8	2,8
	19	49	2,7	2,7
	21	56	3,1	3,1
	22	42	2,4	2,4
	23	48	2,7	2,7
	25	52	2,9	2,9
	26	56	3,1	3,1
	27	50	2,8	2,8
	29	50	2,8	2,8
	30	53	3,0	3,0
	31	53	2,9	2,9
	32	53	3,0	3,0
	33	55	3,1	3,1
	34	53	2,9	2,9
	35	48	2,7	2,7
	51	62	3,4	3,4
	61	1	0,1	0,1
	88	51	2,8	2,8
	89	52	2,9	2,9
	90	49	2,7	2,7
	92	54	3,0	3,0
	99	2	0,1	0,1
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

mndsjnum number of mandates (SEJM) in respondent's district

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	7	50	2,8	2,8
	8	89	4,9	4,9
	9	388	21,6	21,6
	10	142	7,9	7,9
	11	105	5,9	5,9
	12	372	20,7	20,7
	13	219	12,2	12,2
	14	120	6,7	6,7
	15	172	9,6	9,6
	16	88	4,9	4,9
	19	51	2,8	2,8
Total		1 794	100,0	100,0

dstsenat SENAT: respondent's electoral district

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	1 LEGNICA	60	3,3	3,3
	2 WALBRZYCH	30	1,7	1,7
	3 WROCLAW	51	2,9	2,9
	4 BYDGOSZCZ	32	1,8	1,8
	5 TORUN	58	3,2	3,2
	6 LUBLIN	60	3,4	3,4
	7 CHELM	51	2,9	2,9
	8 ZIELONA GORA	49	2,7	2,7
	9 LODZ	51	2,9	2,9
	10 PIOTRKOW TRYBUNALSKI	27	1,5	1,5
	11 SIERADZ	44	2,5	2,5
	12 KRAKOW	49	2,7	2,7
	13 NOWY SACZ	40	2,2	2,2
	14 TARNOW	41	2,3	2,3
	15 PLOCK	52	2,9	2,9
	16 RADOM	8	0,4	0,4
	17 SIEDLCE	59	3,3	3,3
	18 WARSZAWA	51	2,8	2,8
	19 WARSZAWA II	26	1,5	1,5
	20 OPOLE	50	2,8	2,8
	21 KROSNO	54	3,0	3,0
	22 RZESZOW	49	2,7	2,7
	23 BIALYSTOK	62	3,5	3,5
	24 GDANSK	52	2,9	2,9
	25 GDYNIA	41	2,3	2,3
	26 BIELSKO-BIALA	37	2,1	2,1
	27 CZESTOCHOWA	28	1,6	1,6
	28 GLIWICE	39	2,2	2,2
	29 RYBNIK	61	3,4	3,4
	30 KATOWICE	53	3,0	3,0
	31 SOSNOWIEC	40	2,2	2,2
	32 KIELCE	88	4,9	4,9
	33 ELBLAG	30	1,7	1,7
	34 OLSZTYN	39	2,2	2,2
	35 KALISZ	25	1,4	1,4
	36 KONIN	34	1,9	1,9
	37 PILA	72	4,0	4,0
	38 POZNAN	24	1,4	1,4
	39 KOSZALIN	18	1,0	1,0
	40 SZCZECIN	58	3,2	3,2
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

mndsnnum number of mandates (SENAT) in respondent's district

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Valid	2	842	46,9	46,9
	3	853	47,5	47,5
	4	100	5,6	5,6
	Total	1 794	100,0	100,0

PGSW 2001
Polskie Generalne Studium Wyborcze 2001

PNES 2001
Polish National Election Study 2001
[English language translation]

voievodship	[1x2]
address	[1x3]
respondent	[1x1]

Please indicate the initiation time of the interview (hour.... minute....) [2x2]

*Hello, I'm and I represent the Public Opinion Research Center. We conduct surveys regarding a variety of issues.
Our interviewees are selected from the national household directory. The responses to the questions are confidential and will be used in a form of a collective track record only.*

In the case you have any problems with giving an answer to a question, for instance it will be difficult for you to choose any of the indicated answers, you will not know the answer, or for some reasons you would rather refrain from answering a particular question, please, tell me about it.

J1. On the whole do you think that the things in our country go in the right or in the wrong direction?

- 1 'right
- 2 'wrong
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

P 2. Which of the following statements comes closest to your opinion:

- 1 'laws in our country favor the rich over the poor
- 2 'laws in our country treat the rich and the poor equally
- 3 'laws in our country favor the poor over the rich
- 7 „don't know , hard to say”

P 3. (INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 1)

Please choose among the following statements the one you agree with most:

- 1 'our political system is sound and needs no changes
- 2 'our political system is generally sound, although it needs minor changes
- 3 'our political system is performing poorly and needs lots of changes
- 4 'our political system is malfunctioning and needs crucial change
- 7 „don't know, hard to say“

P 4. How would you assess your interest in politics? Is your interest in politics:

- 1 'extremely high -- you carefully follow almost everything going on in politics
- 2 'high -- you follow most important political events
- 3 'medium -- you follow only some of the main political events
- 4 'marginal -- you hardly follow even the most important events
- 5 'none -- practically you are not interested in politics at all
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

C 5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. Which if any, did you do during the most recent election:

...talked to other people to persuade them to vote for a particular party or candidate?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 7 'hard to say (→ C. 7)

C 6. [IF YES] How often did you do this? Would you say...

- 1 Frequently
- 2 Occasionally
- 3 Rarely
- 7 'hard to say

C 7. ...showed your support for a for a particular party or candidate by, for example, attending a meeting, putting up a poster, or in some other way?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No

7 'hard to say (→ C. 7)

C 8. [IF YES] How often did you do this? Would you say...

- 1 Frequently
- 2 Occasionally
- 3 Rarely

7 'hard to say

C 9. During the last election campaign did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no

C 10. As it often happens during the parliamentary elections, many people - due to different considerations - did not participate in the elections of September 23, 2001. Please tell me, did you vote?

- 1 'yes, I did vote
- 2 "no, I didn't vote"
- 3 'I am not eligible
- 8 'refusal to answer

C 11. (INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 2 and write down party or coalition number)

Candidate of which party or coalition did you vote for in the Sejm elections?

.....

C.12. Simultaneously to the Sejm elections, Senate elections took place. Each of the Senate candidates was supported by a particular electoral committee. Which parties or coalitions were supporting the candidates for Senators you voted for?

ATTENTION: in some constituencies one could vote for two, three or four candidates to Senate. In the positions 1-4 please complete as many names of electoral committees as the respondent was supposed to vote for. For instance, if someone voted for four candidates coming from "Blok Senat 2001" in Warsaw then you need to complete "Blok Senat 2001" four times.

- 1 '.....
- 2 '.....
- 3 '.....
- 4 '.....

C 13. What do you think has been the most important issue facing Poland over the last 4 years?

..... OPEN-ENDED QUESTION

C 14. And thinking about that issue, how good or bad a job do you think the government has done over the past 4 ears. Has it done a.....

- 1 'Very good job
- 2 'Good job
- 3 'Bad job
- 4 'Very bad job
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

C 15. Now thinking about the performance of the government in general, how good or bad a job do you think it done over the past 4 years? Has it done a...

- 1 'Very good job
- 2 'Good job
- 3 'Bad job
- 4 'Very bad job
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

C 16. On the whole are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Poland.

- 1 'Very satisfied
- 2 'Fairly satisfied
- 3 'Not very satisfied
- 4 'Not at all satisfied'
- 7 “don't know, hard to say”

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 3)

C 17. Some people say it makes a big difference who is in power. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Using the scale on this card, (where **ONE** means that it makes a big difference who is in power and **FIVE** means that is doesn't make any difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?

- 1 'It makes a big difference who is in power
- 5 'It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 4)

C 18. Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using the scale on this card, (where **ONE** means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and **FIVE** means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

- 1 'Who people vote for won't make any difference'
- 5 'Who people vote for can make a big difference'
- 7 „don't know, hard to say”

C 19. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statement.
Democracy may have problems but it's better than any other form of government. (Do you agree strongly, agree, disagree, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1 'agree strongly
- 2 'agree
- 3 'disagree
- 4 'disagree strongly
- 7 "don't know, hard to say"

C 20. Did you vote in the parliamentary election in September 1997?

- 1 'yes, I did vote
- 2 "no, I didn't vote"
- 3 'I was not eligible'
- 7 "I don't remember"
- 8 'refusal to answer'

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 5)

C 21. Candidate of which party or coalition did you vote for?

.....
97 "I don't remember; hard to say; I don't know"

C 22. How well did the party you voted for then perform over the past 4 years?
Has it done a...

- 1 'very good job
- 2 'good job
- 3 'bad job
- 4 'very bad job
- 7 'hard to say

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 5)

C 23. Simultaneously to the Sejm elections in September 1997, Senate elections took place. Each of the Senate candidates was supported by a particular electoral committee. Which parties or coalitions were supporting the candidates for Senators you voted for?

ATTENTION: In Warsaw and Katowice respondent was eligible to vote for up to three candidates to the Senate; elsewhere -- only up to two)

- 1 '.....
- 2 '.....
- 3 '.....
- 9). Independent candidate
- 97) "I don't remember; hard to say; I don't know"

C 24. Thinking about how elections in Poland work in practice, how well do elections ensure that the views of voters are represented by MPs - very well, quite well, not very well, or not well at all?

- 1 'very well
- 2 'quite well
- 3 'not very well
- 4 'not well at all
- 7 *'hard to say*

C 25. Would you say that any of the parties in [country] represents your views reasonably well?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no'
- 7 *„don't know”*

C 26. [If YES at C 25.] Which party represents your views best?

.....

C 27. Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say that any of the individual party leaders represents your views reasonably well?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no
- 7 *„don't know”*

C 28. [If YES at C27] Which party leader represents your views best?

.....

C 29. Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular political party?

- 1 'yes'
- 2 'no'
- 7 *„don't know”*

C 30. Which PARTY is that? (RECORD ALL PARTIES, response categories range 0-96)

First PARTY mentioned:

IF ONLY ONE PARTY BLOCK IS MENTIONED [→ C 31]

IF ONLY ONE PARTY (WITHIN BLOCK) IS MENTIONED [→ C 35]

Second PARTY (if volunteered):

IF MORE THAN ONE PARTY IS MENTIONED [→ C 32]

Third PARTY (if volunteered):

IF MORE THAN TWO PARTIES MENTIONED [→ C 32]

97 „don't know, no party mentioned”

C 31. Which party in [NAME OF BLOCK] do you feel close to?

First PARTY IN BLOCK mentioned:

IF ONLY BLOCK OR 1 PARTY IN BLOCK MENTIONED

Second PARTY IN BLOCK (if volunteered):

Third PARTY IN BLOCK (if volunteered):

97 „don't know”

C 32. Which party do you feel closest to?

Party identified:

97 'No party identified [→ C 36]

C 33. Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

1 'YES [→ C 34]

2 'NO [→ C 36]

7 „don't know”

C 34. Which party is that?

Party identified:

C 35. Do you feel very close to this (party/party block ' , somewhat close, or not very close?

1 'very close

2 'somewhat close

3 'not very close


7 „don't know”

C 36. (INTERVIEWER: PLEASE SHOW RESPONDENT Card 6)

I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where **0** means you strongly dislike that party and **10** means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is SLD:

Strongly dislikes

Strongly likes



96 "Haven't heard of it"

97 'Hard to say'


- A) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej (SLD)
- B) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarność Prawicy (AWSP)
- C) Unia Wolności (UW)
- D) „Samoobrona” Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej (SRP)
- E) Prawo i Sprawiedliwość (PiS)
- F) Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (PSL)
- G) Platforma Obywatelska (PO)
- H) Liga Polskich Rodzin (LPR)

C 37. (PLEASE SHOW RESPONDENT Card 7)

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place particular parties on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

LEFT

RIGHT



96 'Haven't heard of it

97 'Hard to say'

- A) Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej (SLD)
- B) Akcja Wyborcza Solidarność Prawicy (AWSP)
- C) Unia Wolności (UW)
- D) „Samoobrona” Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej (SRP)
- E) Prawo i Sprawiedliwość (PiS)
- F) Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (PSL)
- G) Platforma Obywatelska (PO)
- H) Liga Polskich Rodzin (LPR)

C 38. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

a. contacted a politician or government official either in person, or in writing, or some other way?

1 'Yes

2 'No

97 '*Hard to say*

b. taken part in a protest, march or demonstration?

1 'Yes

2 'No

97 '*Hard to say*

c. worked together with people who shared the same concern

1 'Yes

2 'No

97 '*Hard to say*

C 39. How much respect is there for individual freedom and human rights nowadays in Poland? Do you feel there is:

1 'A lot of respect for individual freedom

2 'Some respect

3 'Not much respect, or

4 'No respect at all

97 '*Hard to say*

C 40. How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is amongst politicians in Poland?


- 1 'Very widespread
- 2 'Quite widespread
- 3 'Not very widespread
- 4 'It hardly happens at all
- 97 'Hard to say

C 41. (PLEASE SHOW RESPONDENT Card 7)

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

LEFT

RIGHT



Let's return to the last elections

INTERVIEWER: QUESTIONS P42 TO P46 SHOULD BE ASKED ONLY TO PEOPLE WHO CHOOSE ANSWER 1 IN QUESTION C10 THAT MEANS PARTICIPATED IN THE LAST ELECTIONS.

P 42. Why did you vote for the candidate of this particular party?
(the most important reasons)

.....
99 'not applicable

P 43. When indicating on your ballot-paper the name of this particular candidate was it - mainly - because of his/her traits and personality or because of the party s/he represents?

- 1 'because of him/her personally
- 2 'because of the party s/he represents
- 7 hard to say
- 9 not applicable

R44. Was the candidate you voted for ranked first on the list?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no
- 6 'don't remember
- 7 'hard to say
- 9 'not applicable

P46. How did your closest social milieu -- family, collaborators, friends -- behave during the election. Did they vote for the candidates of the same party(ies) you voted for or different ones?

- 1 'all of them voted for the candidates I did
- 2 'most of them voted for the candidates I did
- 3 'most of them did not vote for candidates I voted for
- 4 'all of them did not vote for candidates I voted for
- 7 „don't know”
- 9 'irrelevant question

INTERVIEWER: after the question P46 please continue with the question P49

INTERVIEWER: questions P47 to R48 should be asked only to the respondents who chose answer “2” in question C10, it means didn't take part in the last elections.

P 47. Why did you refrain from voting? (the most important reasons)

.....

R 48. In some democratic countries like Belgium or Australia there is a duty to take part in the parliamentary elections under the penalty of fine. If it was so in Poland, which party would you vote for?

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 2)

.....

- 92 'I wouldn't vote irrespective of the penalty
- 93 'I would pass a spoilt ballot paper
- 97 'Hard to say

Question should be asked to all respondents.

R 49. Would you prefer SLD/UP run the government by themselves or create a coalition with some other party/parties.

(INTERVIEWER: Please show CARD 7A)

- 1 'I would prefer SLD/UP to rule by themselves
- 2 'I would prefer SLD/UP to create a coalition with other parties.

If answer 2 chosen, which parties?.....

97 'Hard to say

R 50. And would you like the idea that the government was formed by all the other parties present in the Parliament – without SLD/UP.

- 1 'Yes
- 2 'No
- 97 'Hard to say

P 51. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 8)

Lately there's been a lot of talk about goals which our country should pursue in the next few years. On the CARD you see some of these goals, considered by many to be the most important (priority ones). Please tell me, which one would you consider the most important? Please name only ONE

- 1 'maintaining order in the nation
- 2 'giving people more say in the decisions of government
- 3 'fighting rising prices
- 4 'protecting freedom of speech
- 7 'Hard to say

P 52. (INTERVIEWER: continue using CARD 8)

And which of these goals seem to you to be second in importance?

- 1 'maintaining order in the nation
- 2 'giving people more say in the decisions of government
- 3 'fighting rising prices
- 4 'protecting freedom of speech
- 7 'Hard to say

P 53. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 9)


In all countries there exist unsolved problems and conflicting issues. What is the relative importance of solving each of the following issues as compared to the remaining ones?

- 0** -- indicates an issue to be definitely less important than the other issues;
5 -- indicates an issue to be equally important - just like any other;
10 -- indicates an issue of extreme importance as compared to other issues.

(INTERVIEWER: Respondent should first read the whole list of issues and only then start evaluating each issues' importance in relation to the other ones. Respondent should be encouraged to use the whole -- 11-point -- scale, when evaluating.)

an issue definitely less important than others

a priority one



A) crime [1x2]

B) privatization of state owned enterprises [1x2]

C) role of the Church and religion in public life [1x2]

D) former ‘communist nomenclatura’ problem [1x2]

E) unemployment [1x2]

F) level of tax burdens (tax policy) [1x2]

G) Poland joining EU [1x2]

H) scope of state’s social safety responsibilities [1x2]

I) state subsidies to agriculture [1x2]

J) inflow of foreign capital to Poland [1x2]

P 54. A variety of solutions and policies aimed at solving the above mentioned issues are conceivable. On subsequent CARDS we present opposite solutions to each issue. Please read them carefully and tell me, where would you place your own opinions and stances. In doing so, please use the 11-point scale, where:

0 -- means full acceptance of the statement (solution) proposed on the left side of the CARD,


10 -- means full acceptance of the statement (solution) -- on the right side,

5 -- means that you favor solutions lying in between both opposite ones, and the remaining scale points indicate different levels of acceptance of each of those opposite statements.

(INTERVIEWER: Subsequently show CARDS 10 A through 10 J; At each point in time the respondent should have only one card. Please code answers according to the scale)

full acceptance
of the statement
on the left side

full acceptance
of the statement
on the right side



A/

00 ‘Crime policies should be „tough” even if it restricts basic freedoms of average citizens

10 ‘Crime ought to be fought against, but the policies should not restrict basic freedoms of average citizens

97 ‘DK [1x2]

B/

00 ‘State owned enterprises should be privatized quickly; the inefficient ones should be liquidated

10 ‘Enterprises should remain state property and their modernization financed from the state budget

97 ‘DK [1x2]

C/

00 'Church should be completely separated from the state and should not interfere with politics

10 'Church should exert influence over politics and state policies

97 'DK [1x2]

D/

00 'Individuals occupying high positions under communism ('nomenclatura') should now be forbidden to perform responsible state functions

10 'These individuals ('nomenclatura') should have the same rights as all others in competing for public offices and state positions

97 'DK [1x2]

E/

00 'Fighting unemployment should be an absolute policy priority of the government, even if it leads to higher spending and inflation

10 'Many other - more important than unemployment - issues should be governmental priority, i.e balanced budget, fighting inflation, etc.

97 'DK [1x2]

F/

00 'The higher one's income the higher share of it should be taxed

10 'Everyone should be taxed the same percentage of his/her income, irrespective of the income level

97 'DK [1x2]

G/

00 'Our foreign policy should pursue joining NATO and EU as soon as possible

10 'Polish foreign policy should not pursue joining NATO and EU, instead should protect our political and economic sovereignty

97 'DK [1x2]

H/

1. Agriculture should be subsidized from the state budget to preserve many farms from bankruptcy

2. Agriculture shouldn't be subsidized from the state budget because no social group should live at the cost of the rest of the society

97 'DK [1x2]

I/

00 'The state should grant its citizens widest possible social safety net, i.e. health care, social welfare, free education, etc.

10 'Citizens should take care and responsibility of their health, self-help, children's education, etc on their own

97 'DK [1x2]

J/

00 'It should not matter whether the capital is Polish or foreign, as long as it boosts investment, production and creates new employment opportunities

10 'Inflow of foreign capital should be deliberately limited as it makes Polish economy dependent upon aliens

97 'DK [1x2]

P 55. (INTERVIEWER: respondent uses CARDS 10 -B,- C, -F, -G)

Let's now go back to some of the issues mentioned in the previous part, this time relating them to particular political parties.

When answering, please use the „answer scale” used in the previous question.

In your opinion, does SLD's programmatic stance concerning the issue of PRIVATIZATION come closest to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

INTERVIEWER:

(1) the answer concerning PRIVATIZATION please code in box „B”

(2) code-boxes B, C, F, G correspond respectively to the same issues as in question P 54

(3) after coding the answer to issue (B) - PRIVATIZATION, please continue asking the same question in relation to the remaining issues: (C) - ROLE OF THE CHURCH, (F) - TAXES, and (G) POLAND JOINING EU

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

P 56. (INTERVIEWER: continue using the same CARDS 10 -B, C,F,G used in question P 55)

Now let's talk about programmatic stances of PSL, concerning the same (as above) issues.

Lets start - just as we did previously - with the issue of PRIVATIZATION. In your opinion, is PSL's position closer to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

(INTERVIEWER: remarks 1-3 of question P 55, applicable here as well)

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

P 57. (INTERVIEWER: continue using the four CARDS used in question P 55)

Now let's talk about programmatic stances of Platforma Obywatelska (PO), concerning the same (as above) issues. Lets start - just as we did previously - with the issue of

PRIVATIZATION. In your opinion, is PO's position closer to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

(INTERVIEWER: remarks 1-3 of question P 55, applicable here as well)

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

P 58. (INTERVIEWER: continue using the four CARDS used in question P 55)

Now let's talk about programmatic stances of Prawo i Sprawiedliwość (PiS), concerning the same (as above) issues. Let's start - just as we did previously - with the issue of PRIVATIZATION. In your opinion, is PiS's position closer to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

(INTERVIEWER: remarks 1-3 of question P 55, applicable here as well)

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

P 59. (INTERVIEWER: continue using the four CARDS used in question P 55)

Now let's talk about programmatic stances of 'SAMOOBRONA' concerning the same (as above) issues. Let's start - just as we did before - with the issue of PRIVATIZATION. In your opinion, is SAMOOBRONA's position closer to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

(INTERVIEWER: remarks 1-3 of question P 55, applicable here as well)

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

P 60. (INTERVIEWER: continue using the four CARDS used in question P 55)

Now let's talk about programmatic stances of Liga Polskich Rodzin (LPR) concerning the same (as above) issues. Let's start - just as we did previously - with the issue of PRIVATIZATION. In your opinion, is LPR's position closer to the one expressed at point 0 or 10, or is it located somewhere in between; if so, where exactly?

(INTERVIEWER: remarks 1-3 question P 55, applicable here as well)

B	C	F	G
[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]	[1x2]

D 61. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 11)

Some people say that political parties in Poland care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Poland don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale on this card, where ONE means that political parties care about what ordinary people think, and FIVE means that they don't care what ordinary people think, where would you place your opinion?

1 'Political parties care about people'

5 "Political parties don't care about people"

7 'hard to say'

D 62. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 12)

Some people say that political parties are necessary to make political system work in Poland. Others think that political parties are not needed in Poland. Using the scale on this card, where ONE means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and FIVE means that political parties are not needed in Poland, where would you place your opinion?

1 'Political parties are necessary to make our political system work '

5 'Political parties are not needed in Poland'

7 'hard to say

D 63. Have you contacted in any form with a Parliament member/ deputy or a senator in the last 12 months?

1. yes

2. no, I didn't have any contact with a deputy or a senator


7. Don't remember, Hard to say

J64. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 13)

In your opinion, are there any significant programmatic differences among political parties? Using the scale on this card (where ONE means that there are significant programmatic differences among political parties and FIVE means that there are no significant programmatic differences among political parties), where would you place your point of view?

there are significant
programmatic differences
among political parties

there are no significant
programmatic differences
among political parties



7 "don't know"

J65. In your opinion, are the elections an effective way to evaluate political leaders and political parties for their actions, as well as rewarding some and rejecting others?

1 'Definitely yes'

2 'Yes'

3 'No'

4 'Definitely no'

7 "Don't know"

J66. Now, when the results of the last election are known, do you think that the parties received more or less as much votes as they deserve? To put it differently, was the level of support for particular parties fair or not?

- 1 'Definitely fair'
- 2 'Rather fair'
- 3 'Rather not fair'
- 4 'Definitely not fair'
- 7 "Don't know"
- 8 'Refused to answer'

INTERVIEWER: Please hand the respondent CHART 2.

J67. (*INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 2*) In your opinion, has any party or coalition received **fewer** votes that it deserves? If yes, which one was it?

- 94 'None'
- 97 "Don't know"

(INTERVIEWER: Please let the respondent to continue to use CARD 2.)

J68. And, in your opinion, has any party received **more** votes that it deserves? If yes, which one was it?

.....

- 94 'None'
- 97 „Don't know"

R69. Please tell me to which extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

- 1 definitely agree
- 2 rather agree
- 3 rather disagree
- 4 definitely disagree
- 7 hard to say

A. Politician promise much more then they can give and they know about it very well

B. Generally speaking, people who are elected to the Parliament lose their contact with the public very soon

C. Politicians mind their own business mostly

D. election to the Parliament depends more on connections and having friends in politics rather than the candidate's knowledge and skills

E. people like me don't have any impact on the government's activities

R 70. Is there any party you feel reluctant to or that makes you angry? If YES, please give its name

Party's name.....

96 there is no such a party [go to the question Z72]

97 hard to say [go to the question Z72]

INTERVIEWER: if respondent didn't mention any party, please go to the question Z72

R71. Do you think that its activity should be forbidden by the law?

1 yes

2 no

7 hard to say

Let's go back to the Parliamentary Elections

Z72. In Poland in the last 10 years there were 4 parliamentary elections. Does it, generally speaking, make a difference for you, who wins the election?

1 'an enormous difference

2 'a great difference

3 'a small difference

4 'no difference

7 'hard to say

Z73. Sometimes the election in the constituency is decided by single votes. In your opinion, what are the chances that your vote could have a decisive impact on the election results?

1 'very high

2 'somewhat high

3 'somewhat low

4 'very low

5 'no chances

7 'hard to say

Z74. For you personally, is it very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, very difficult to go to vote?

1 'very easy

2 'somewhat easy

3 'somewhat difficult

4 'very difficult

7 'hard to say

Z75. Do you agree with the statement that voting is a duty of every citizen?

- 1 Agree Strongly
- 2 Agree Somewhat
- 3 Disagree Somewhat
- 4 Disagree Strongly
- 7 „don't know”

P 76. Lets focus now on our economy. In your opinion, does, and if yes to what extent, the condition of our economy depend on decisions and policies implemented by the government?

- 1 'considerably
- 2 'moderately
- 3 'insignificantly
- 4 'does not depend at all; governmental policies have no impact on economy
- 7 'Hard to say

P 77. To what extent -- in your opinion -- does the financial situation of your household depend upon governmental policies of the recent period?

- 1 'depends extremely highly
- 2 'depends considerably
- 3 'depends moderately
- 4 'is totally independent
- 7 'Hard to say

(INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 15)

E 78. Do you think that in the last year.....

A. political situation in Poland

B. economic situation in Poland

C. financial situation in your household

- 1 strongly improved
- 2 rather improved
- 3 not changed
- 4 rather worsened
- 5 strongly worsened
- 7 hard to say

(INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 16)

E 79. What do you think about current..... [read the item from the list]. Do you think it is:

- A. political situation in Poland
- B. economic situation in Poland
- C. financial situation in your household

- 1 very good
- 2 good
- 3 neither good nor bad
- 4 bad
- 5 very bad
- 7 hard to say

(INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 17)

E80. Do you think in the next 12 months..... [read the item from the list]

- A. political situation in Poland
- B. economic situation in Poland
- C. financial situation in your household

- 1 will strongly improve
- 2 will rather improve
- 3 will not change
- 4 will rather worsen
- 5 will strongly worsen
- 7 hard to say

P 81. And now please compare the former regime - i.e. the one that existed before 1989 - with the current one. Would you say that the current is much better, slightly better, neither better nor worse, slightly worse, or much worse?

- 1 'current regime is much better than the previous one
- 2 'slightly better
- 3 'nor better, nor worse
- 4 'slightly worse
- 5 'current regime is much worse than the previous one
- 7 'hard to say

J82. Does Poland's economy work now better or worse than in the times of the old regime, that is before 1989?

- 1 'Now Poland's economy works much better then before 1989
- 2 'Somewhat better
- 3 'Neither better nor worse
- 4 'Somewhat worse
- 5 'Now Poland's economy works much worse then before 1989
- 7 „don't know”

J83. And looking at the standards of living of you and your family, are you better off or worse off than in the times before 1989?

- 1 'Now my family and I are much better off then before 1989
- 2 'Somewhat better
- 3 'Neither better nor worse
- 4 'Somewhat worse
- 5 'Now my family and I are much worse off then before 1989
- 7 „don't know”

P 84. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 18)

In contemporary Poland part of society is considered to occupy a high social position, the other part -- low. Think of your position, and please indicate where you would place yourself on the below presented four „ladders”, bearing in mind that the lowest rung indicates lowest social position, the highest rung -- highest.

A. Wealth		B. Influence		C. Prestige		D. Prospects
wealthiest people		the most influential people		the most prestigious		people with best prospects (for the future)
10		10		10		10
9		9		9		9
8		8		8		8
7		7		7		7
6		6		6		6
5		5		5		5
4		4		4		4
3		3		3		3
2		2		2		2
1		1		1		1
poorest people		least influential people		the least prestigious		people with worst prospects (for the future)

P85. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 19)

Please think again about the four dimensions evaluated in the previous question and tell me whether you may say that in the last 8 years (since 1989) substantial changes occurred in your life in these domains, and were they for better or worse?

- 1 'definite improvement
- 2 'moderate improvement
- 3 'no change
- 4 'moderate worsening
- 5 'definite worsening
- 7 'hard to say

A/ your wealth [1x1]

B/ your influence over your immediate milieu [1x1]

C/ prestige you enjoy [1x1]

D/ prospects for the future [1x1]

P86. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 20)

Is there, and if YES, how strong, a conflict between the following social groups in Poland:

- 1 'very strong
- 2 'rather strong
- 3 'moderate
- 4 'no conflict at all
- 7 'DK

A/ between the poor and the rich [1x1]

B/ between the working and the middle classes [1x1]

C/ between the farmers and the urban population [1x1]

D/ between the believers and atheists [1x1]

E/ between those positively evaluating the socialist Poland and those critical of it [1x1]

F/ between educated and uneducated people [1x1]

S87. Thinking about the **new government** that has just been elected, on the whole, would you say that, generally speaking, you...

- 1 'support the new government and its policies
- 2 'oppose the new government and its policies
- 8 'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S88. And thinking back to the **former government** between 1997 and 2001, on the whole, would you say that you...

- 1 'supported that 1997-2001 government and its policies
- 2 'opposed that 1997-2001 government and its policies
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S89. Now please compare the politicians who formed the old 1997-2001 government with the politicians who are forming the new government. Which would you describe as:

- 1 'the old government
- 2 'the new government
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

- A) more united?
- B) more likely to keep their promises?
- C) more able and willing to stand up for Poland abroad?
- D) more able and willing to combat corruption amongst government officials?
- E) better at bargaining with the European Union?

S90. In July this year the President of Polish Republic officially asked forgiveness for the mass execution of Jewish citizens in Jedwabne village during the World War II. What do you think of his act? Was the President's act:

- 1. positive
- 2. negative
- 3. not very negative, but it was unnecessary and inappropriate

S91. Which do you think would generally be better for Poland?

- 1 'to have a government formed by one political party on its own
- 2 'to have a government formed by two or more political parties together, in coalition?
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S92. Taking account of the number of people in your family, how adequate is your family income? Is it...

- 1 'not really enough to survive on
- 2 'only just enough to survive on
- 3 'enough for a fair standard of living
- 4 'enough for a good standard of living
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S93. Which comes closer to your view? Poland should...

- 1 'join the European Union now
- 2 'join the European Union at some more favorable time in the future
- 3 'stay out of the European Union
- 8 '*mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S94. Please suppose that you were voting today in a referendum on whether Poland should join the EU. Would you vote...

- 1 'for joining the EU
- 2 'against joining the EU
- 8 '*would not vote (DO NOT READ OUT) [→ S96]*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)) [→ S96]*

INTERVIEWER: ask the question only to the people who have chosen "1" or "2" in question S94.

S95. Would you vote that way mainly because of...

- 1 'the effect of EU entry on our economy
- 2 'the effect of EU entry on our political and cultural development
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S96. And if there really was a referendum on joining the EU would you really be...

- 1 'certain to go out and vote
- 2 'very likely to vote
- 3 'not very likely to vote
- 4 'certain not to vote
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S97. Which comes closer to your view? Poland ...

- 1 'should be a member of NATO
- 2 'should not have joined NATO but it is too difficult to withdraw now
- 3 'should leave NATO
- 8 '*mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S98. Here are three statements about the future of the zloty if we join the EU. Which one comes closest to your view? Poland should...

- 1 'give up the zloty and use only the Euro (the EU currency)
- 2 'use both the zloty and the Euro currency
- 3 'keep the zloty as the only currency in Poland
- 8 '*mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S99. If we join the European Union - do you think that ...

- 1 'will benefit
- 2 'will be damaged
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

- A) Polish agriculture...
- B) state industries in Poland...
- C) Polish-owned private industries...
- D) that foreign-owned industries in Poland...
- E) Your family – the standard of living

S100. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 21)

Do you agree or disagree with each of the following, and how strongly? If we join the European Union...

- 1 'strongly agree
- 2 'agree
- 3 'disagree
- 4 'strongly disagree
- 8 '*neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*
- 9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

A) Poles should have a right to take jobs in other EU countries?

B) foreigners from the EU should have a right to take jobs in Poland?

C) foreigners from the EU should have a right to own land in Poland?

S101. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 22)

How much do you think

A) ... joining NATO is affecting Poland's independence
and distinctive culture?

B) How much do you think Poland joining NATO is affecting the
thinking and behavior of NATO?

C) How much do you think joining the EU would affect Poland's
independence and distinctive culture?

D) How much do you think Poland joining the EU would affect
the thinking and behavior of peoples in the rest of the EU?

1 'a great deal

2 'quite a lot

3 'only a little

4 'not much at all

8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)

9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S102. Joining the EU requires new laws on immigration, visas and border control – which
will make it easier for Poles and for foreigners to cross our western borders. But
harder for them to cross our eastern borders. On balance, do you think that is...

1 'good for Poland

2 'bad for Poland

8 'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)

9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S103. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 21)

Do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements, and how strongly?

1 'strongly agree

2 'agree

3 'disagree

4 'strongly disagree

8 'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)

9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

A) Whenever there is a really difficult decision to take, the EU will always pay more attention to the interests of west European countries than to the interests of Poland?

B) In a real crisis, NATO would never take risks to defend Poland?

S104. On the whole, would you say the European Union...

1	2	neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)	DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)
1. is good for Poland	2. is bad for Poland	8	9
1. the EU treats East and West European countries equally	2. the EU treats West European countries better	8	9
1. the EU will increase Poland's economic growth	2. the EU will reduce Poland's economic growth	8	9
1. the EU defends freedom in central Europe	2. the EU threatens freedom in central Europe	8	9
1. the EU protects Poland's independence and culture	2. the EU threatens Poland's independence and culture	8	9
1. EU politicians and officials in Brussels are corrupt and wasteful	2. EU politicians and officials in Brussels are honest and efficient	8	9
1. EU politicians and officials in Brussels understand ordinary people	2. EU politicians and officials in Brussels do not understand ordinary people	8	9

S105. On the whole, would you say NATO...

		<i>neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)</i>	<i>DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)</i>
1. is good for Poland	2. is bad for Poland	8	9
1. NATO is aggressive	2. NATO is defensive	8	9
1. NATO defends freedom in central Europe	2. NATO threatens freedom in central Europe	8	9
1. NATO protects Poland's independence	2. NATO restricts Poland's independence	8	9

S106. Taking everything into account, which do you feel will be the greater threat to Poland's sovereignty and independence in the future?

1 'Russia

2 'EU

3 'NATO

6 *I do not see such a threat (DO NOT READ OUT)*

7 *'both Russia and EU/NATO equally (DO NOT READ OUT)*

8 *'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 *'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S107. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 21)

Do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements, and how strongly?

1 'strongly agree

2 'agree

3 'disagree

4 'strongly disagree

8 *'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 *'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

A) Poland has a lot to learn from other countries in running its affairs?

B) I would rather be a citizen of Poland today than of any other country in the world?

C) There are some things about Poland today that make me ashamed to be Polish?

D) People in Poland are too ready to criticize their country?

E) Poland should co-operate with other countries, even if it means giving up some independence?

S108. If you had a choice, where would you prefer to work?

- 1 'only in Poland
- 2 'in another EU country, at least for a few years
 - 6 'somewhere else – including the USA (DO NOT READ OUT)
 - 7 'do not wish to work (DO NOT READ OUT)
 - 8 'mixed /depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
 - 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S109. Assume that Poland is the EU member and as a result of that the number of people from other countries and cultures who come to live and work in a region where you live has extremely increased. Generally speaking, do you think that your place of living because of that will be:

- 1. a better place to live
- 2. a worse place to live
 - 8. (don't read) it depends, neither better nor worse, hard to say
 - 9. (don't read) don't know, missing data

S110. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 23)

Which, if any, of the following best describes how you see yourself?

- 1 'only Polish, not at all European
- 2 'very much more Polish than European
- 3 'more Polish than European
- 4 'equally European and Polish
- 5 'more European than Polish
 - 6 'other description (DO NOT READ OUT)
 - 8 'mixed /depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
 - 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S111. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 24)

How strong a sense of sympathy and belonging do you feel towards each of the following?

	Very strong	Strong	Weak	Very weak / none	mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)	DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)
	1	2	3	4	8	9
your family...						
a political party of any kind...						
a religion of any kind...						
a class of any kind...						
the voivoidship where you live...						
Europe...						
Poland...						

S112. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 25)

Do you generally trust or distrust each of the following, and how much?

1 'trust a lot

2 'trust a little

3 'distrust a little

4 'distrust a lot

8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)

9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

A) Most ordinary people you meet in everyday life

B) The Church

C) Judges and courts

D) Officials in state and local government offices

E) The kinds of people that have been elected to Polish parliaments since 1989

F) Elected members of local councils – those elected to gmina, powiat

G) President Kwasniewski

H) The new government that is being formed

I) The former government from 1997-2001

J) Police

K) Military

L) National Bank of Poland (sprawdzone i taka jest poprawna nazwa)

- M) Public TV
- N) Trade Unions
- O) the European Union
- P) NATO

INTERVIEWER: RESPONDENT STILL USES CARD 25.

S113. Now we'd like to ask about your opinion on politics carried out by the countries mentioned below.

Do you generally trust or distrust each of the following, and how much?

- 1 'trust a lot
- 2 'trust a little
- 3 'distrust a little
- 4 'distrust a lot
- 8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

- A) the USA
- B) Britain
- C) Russia
- D) Ukraine
- E) Germany

H114. How much are you proud to be a citizen of Poland? Are you:

- 1. Very proud
- 2. Somewhat proud
- 3. Somewhat not proud
- 4. Not proud at all
- 7. Hard to say

H 115 (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 26)

People associate democracy with different phenomena. Please, respond whether and to what extent you associate the word “democracy” with the following issues:

1. I associate it at a great extent
2. I associate it a little
3. I little associate it
4. I don't associate it at all
7. *hard to say*

- A. political freedom, eg. the freedom of speech and association
- B. more extended social equality
- C. less corruption and political earnings abuse
- D. equality towards the law
- E. equal rights for women and men
- F. more job opportunities, less unemployment
- G. improvement of the financial life conditions
- H. multi-party system
- I. civil right to participate in the social and political life

J116. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

- A. In certain situations, an undemocratic government can be preferable to a democratic one.
- B. For people like me it doesn't really matter if the government is democratic or undemocratic.

1. 'Strongly agree
- 2 'Agree
- 3 'Disagree
- 4 'Strongly disagree
- 7 *“Don't know”*

J117. (*INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 27*)

In your opinion, is (*INTERVIEWER: read consecutive items of the question*) currently working better or worse than before the reforms?

A. Health care

B. Local government (commune, county)

C. Education

D. Social security (pensions) system

- 1 'much better
- 2 'somewhat better
- 3 'neither better nor worse
- 4 'somewhat worse
- 5 'much worse
- 7 "*Don't know*"

K118. On a different subject, would you say that you are very aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or haven't you heard of the Constitutional Court?

- 1 'Very aware
- 2 'Somewhat aware
- 3 'Not very aware
- 4 'Have never heard
- 7 „*don't know*"

K119. How well do you think the Constitutional Court does its main job in government?
Would you say it does a...

- 1 'Great job
- 2 'Pretty good job
- 3 'Not very good job
- 4 'A poor job
- 7 "*Don't know*"

K120. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 28)

Would you say that you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1 'Agree Strongly
- 2 'Agree Somewhat
- 3 'Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- 4 'Disagree Somewhat
- 5 'Disagree Strongly
- 7 „don't know”

- A) If the Constitutional Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, it might be better to do away with the Constitutional Court altogether.
- B) The decisions of the Constitutional Court favor some groups more than others.
- C) The Constitutional Court gets too mixed up in politics.
- D) People should obey the Constitutional Court even when they disagree with its decisions.
- E) The Constitution is just like any other law; if the majority wants to change it, it should be changed.

S121. 79. There's a lot of talk in Poland about the role of religion in public life. Please tell me, do you feel displeased or not with the following situations and phenomena:

- 1 'I feel displeased
- 2 "I don't feel displeased"
- 7 'Hard to say

- A. Crosses on the walls of public buildings, i.e. schools, public offices [1x1]
- B. Religious instruction at schools [1x1]
- C. Church taking official stands on legislation passed by the parliament [1x1]

S122. Suppose there were some problems you had to take to a government office – for example, a problem about tax or housing. Do you think you would be treated fairly by the officials in that office?

- 1 'Yes
- 2 'No
- 7 'only by using connections or bribes (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 8 'mixed / depends – but no mention of using connections or bribes (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S123. Governments in many European countries say they want to combat corruption. Do you think that the old 1997-2001 government in Poland really made a strong and sincere effort to combat corruption...

1 'Yes

2 'No

8 '*neither/ mixed/ depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

A. Amongst politicians and top government officials...

B. Amongst officials who deal with ordinary people...

S124. Do you feel officials in government offices in Poland are:

1 'more corrupt than officials in most European Union (EU) countries

2 'less corrupt than officials in most European Union (EU) countries?

8 '*neither / mixed /depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S125. Would you say that your feelings about the behavior of officials in Poland are based more on...

1 'What you have read in newspapers and heard on television or radio

2 'What people generally say about officials

3 'Your personal experiences

8 '*mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

Now I want you to think about your own or your family's personal experiences of dealing with officials. I mean officials like those you meet in tax, housing, or social security offices; in the health and education services – including doctors and teachers; officials in passport offices, customs, the police including traffic police, courts or the army; and other similar officials.

S126. On the whole, were these personal experiences of dealing with officials in the last few years...

1 'satisfactory

2 'unsatisfactory

8 '*neither / mixed /depends (DO NOT READ OUT)*

9 '*DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)*

S127. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 29)

How often did these officials...

- 1 'Usually
- 2 'Sometimes
- 3 'Rarely
- 4 'Never
- 8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

A. Give you or your family fair treatment

B. Make unnecessary problems for you or your family, in order to get money or a present for solving them

S128. In these last few years, did an official ever:

- 1 'Ask you or your family directly for money or a present
- 2 'Seem to expect something, even though they did not ask directly?
- 6 'Neither (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S129. Which comes closest to your view about the use of money, presents, favors or contacts to influence officials?

- 1 'it is bad for Poland and for those involved
- 2 'it is bad for Poland, but unavoidable for people who have to live here
- 3 'I prefer it that way because, when you need a favor from an official, you can get it
- 7 'it never happens in Poland (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S130. If you had an important problem, and an official asked you directly for money to solve it, would you...

- 1 'Pay, if you could afford it
- 2 'Refuse to pay, even if you could afford it
- 8 'neither / mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

S131. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 29)

Thinking over these personal experiences of dealing with officials in the last few years, did you or your family usually, sometimes, rarely, or never have to.....

- 1 'Usually
- 2 'Sometimes
- 3 'Rarely
- 4 'Never
- 8 'mixed / depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 9 'DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

A) Approach an official through a contact...

B) Offer a small present...

C) Offer money or an expensive present...

S132. Which comes closer to your view? If an international organization refused to provide aid or investment for Poland unless our government took strong action against corruption, would that be:

- 1 'unacceptable interference in Poland's internal affairs
- 2 'a good way to reduce corruption in Poland
- 8 'neither / mixed /depends (DO NOT READ OUT)
- 7 DK/NA (DO NOT READ OUT)

P133. Did you participate in the parliamentary election of September 1993?

- 1 'Yes
- 2 'No [→ P135]
- 3 'At that time I was less than 18 years old [→ P135]
- 7 „don't remember”
- 8 'refusal'

P134. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 30)

Which party list did you vote for then?

.....
(INTERVIEWER: code party or coalition number; if respondent says: „DK”, code -- '97')

P135. Did you participate in the last presidential election of October 2000?

- 1 'Yes'
- 2 'No'
- 3 'At that time I was less than 18 years old'
- 7 „don't remember”
- 8 'refusal'

P136. (INTERVIEWER: Show CARD 31)

Whom did you vote?

.....

G137. Do you, and if yes, how often, watch TV:

A/ TV News (Wiadomosci, Panorama)

B/ TV political programmes, debates on salient public issues (i.e. Sprawa dla Reportera, Polityczne Graffiti, Linia Specjalna etc)

- 1 'everyday
- 2 'several times a week
- 3 'several times a month
- 4 'more seldom
- 5 'never
- 7 'hard to say (DK)

G138. Please name one daily title you read most frequently:

.....

99 "I don't read dailies"

G139. Please name one title of a weekly or journal you read most frequently:

.....

999 "I don't read weeklies or journals"

G140. Recently our TV was broadcasting election programmes produced by particular electoral committees. Did you see them?

- 1 'Yes, all of them
- 2 'Yes, most of them
- 3 'Yes, only some of them
- 4 'No
- 7 'hard to say (DK)

P141. In your opinion, is it good or not for Poland that such parties as Unia Wolnosci and Solidarity Election Action are absent from the Parliament and such parties as Samooobrona (Self-defense) and Liga Polskich Rodzin (The League of Polish Families) are present?

- 1. it is good for Poland
- 2. It doesn't matter for Poland
- 3. it is bad for Poland
- 7. hard to say

P142. Assuming that the Parliamentary Elections are repeated. Would you now, when you know the results, take part in the elections?

1. yes
2. no [→ C144]
 7. *hard to say* [→ C144]
 - 8 *answer refusal* [→ C144]

INTERVIEWER: show CARD 2. Write in the number of selected party or coalition.

P143. For a candidate of which election committee (party) would you vote in the Sejm election?

.....

Now, we'd like to ask about some facts from political life. Though, many people are not interested in politics. So, if some of the facts we ask about are not known to you, please just tell us about that.

C144. Who is the head/ leader of Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej (SLD)?

INTERVIEWER: Right answer: Leszek Miller. Treat as a correct answer if surname was only given.

1. (don't read) correct answer
2. (don't read) incorrect answer
7. (don't read) hard to say

C145. Who is the President of Russia?

INTERVIEWER: Right answer: Vladimir Putin. Treat as a correct answer if surname was only given.

1. (don't read) correct answer
2. (don't read) incorrect answer
7. (don't read) hard to say

C146. Which military alliance is Poland a member of?

INTERVIEWER: Right answer: "NATO" or "North Atlantic Treaty Organization".

1. (don't read) correct answer
2. (don't read) incorrect answer
7. (don't read) hard to say

P147. Please return to the afternoon when TV ceased it's usual transmission and showed the tragedy in N.Y. Many people felt shocked at the moment. Were you at that time terrified that something very bad was coming - something which could affect you personally?

1. I was really terrified
2. I wasn't terrified but I was very afraid
3. I felt explicit anxiety
4. I didn't feel any direct fears
7. *hard to say*

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC, BACKGROUND VARIABLES

M1. Your year of birth:

M2. Sex:

- 1 'male
- 2 'female

M3. Your educational attainment:

- 01 'no formal education
- 02 'elementary uncompleted
- 03 'elementary
- 04 'vocational
- 05 'secondary uncompleted
- 06 'secondary – technical
- 07 'secondary - gymnasium
- 08 'post-secondary
- 09 'university uncompleted (6 semesters or more)
- 10 'licentiate or three year vocational college
- 11 'university

M4. What is (was) your father's education? (or father substitute's if there was no father)

- 01 'no formal education
- 02 'elementary uncompleted
- 03 'elementary
- 04 'vocational
- 05 'secondary uncompleted
- 06 'secondary – technical
- 07 'secondary - gymnasium
- 08 'post-secondary
- 09 'university uncompleted (6 semesters or more)
- 10 'licentiate or three year vocational college
- 11 'university

M5. Do you currently:

- 1 'have only one job; work for only one employer/institution
- 2 'apart from the main job, perform another work regularly contributing to additional income
- 3 'have no permanent job, work only on an incidental (temporary) basis
- 4 'have no job, out of labor market

M6. From the list please choose everything which concerns you personally.

INTERVIEWER: Read the list and mark all mentioned categories.

Are you currently:

- 1 'employed -- full time (32 hours a week or more)
- 2 'employed -- part time (15-32 hours a week)
- 3 'employed -- less than 15 hours a week
- 4 'helping family member (in small business, agriculture)
- 5 'unemployed
- 6 'university student or high school student
- 7 'retired
- 8 'person performing home duties
- 9 'disability pensioner (1-st or 2-nd group of formally qualified disability)
- 10 'disabled, with limited ability to work, currently not working
- 11 'others

(INTERVIEWER: If the respondent is currently not in the labor force, ask about last employment/occupation. If the respondent never was in the labor force, move to M21) Please consequently use present or past tense.

IF FOR A QUESTION M6 ANSWER 2 OR 3 WAS GIVEN, MARK THAT IT CONCERNS THE MAIN JOB – IT MEANS THAT ONE WHICH ABSORBS THE MOST OF TIME.

M7. Where are (were) you currently (last time) employed? What is the name of this profession/ job?

.....
(INTERVIEWER: In case of farmers, go to M 12)

M8. What do (did) you do in this work? What are (were) your main duties and responsibilities?

.....

M9. What is (was) the name/title of your position/job function?

.....

M10. What institution do (did) you work for? Please indicate the name of this institution:

.....

M11. What is (was) the ownership status of the institution/firm you work(ed) for?

- 1 'state office or institution financed by the state budget
- 2 'state owned company/enterprise
- 3 'company/enterprise partly owned by the state treasury
- 4 'self-employment
- 5 'private company/enterprise, but not self-employment
- 6 'communal (local) owned company/enterprise
- 7 'cooperative
- 8 'individual (private) farm
- 97 'hard to say, DK

M12. Are you (were you) the owner of this company (enterprise, farm) or hired employee?

- 1 'owner or co-owner
- 2 'hired employee
- 3 'other status (describe)

M13. What year was this firm (institution) established?

1. before the Second World War
2. right after the Second World War or in the 50's
3. between 1960-1979
4. between 1980-1988
5. in 1989 or later
- (which year exactly? in..... year)
7. don't know, don't remember

M14. And which year did you start to work in that firm (institution)? Please give the year and month.

1. year when started to work.....
- 9997 don't remember

2. month when started to work.....

CODE: 01-January, 02-February, 97 don't remember

M15. How many people happened to be employed in this company (enterprise, farm), save yourself? If the enterprise consisted of many independent units, please name the number of people employed in the unit/section you (used to) work?

(INTERVIEWER: write down the number of people:)

.....

99997 'hard to say, don't know

M16. (INTERVIEWER: *In case of individual (private) farmers ask about the specializational profile and its size (in ha)*)

What is (was) the profile of the company/enterprise you work(ed) for? What does (did) it produce, creates?

.....

M17. Does (did) your professional duties include supervision of others' work or commissioning/ordering work to others?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no [**→ M21**]

M18. Does (did) any of your subordinates has his/her own subordinates?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no

M19. How many individuals could be considered your subordinates, taking into account all levels below your own?

(INTERVIEWER: *write down the number of people:*)

.....

M20. CODE:

SKZ:

ISCO:

Spouse work. If the respondent doesn't have a spouse, please go to M25

M21. Please tell me what category best describes the economic status of your husband/wife:

- 1 'employed -- full time (32 hours a week or more)
- 2 'employed -- part time (15-32 hours a week)
- 3 'employed -- less than 15 hours a week
- 4 'helping family member (in small business, agriculture)
- 5 'unemployed
- 6 'university student or high school student
- 7 'retired
- 8 'person performing home duties
- 9 'disability pensioner (1-st or 2-nd group of formally qualified disability)
- 10 'disabled, with limited ability to work, currently not working
- 11 'others

M22. What was the ownership status of your spouse company/enterprise/farm?

- 1 'institution financed from the state budget or governmental office
- 2 'state owned company/enterprise
- 3 'company/enterprise partly owned by the state treasury
- 4 'self-employment
- 5 'private company/enterprise, but not self-employment
- 6 'municipal company/enterprise
- 7 'cooperative
- 8 'individual (private) farm
- 97 'DK

(INTERVIEWER: In case of individual (private) farmers ask about the specializational profile and its size [in ha])

M23. What is (was) the profile of the company/enterprise he/she work(ed) for? What does (did) it produce, creates?

.....

M24. What does (did) your spouse do in his/her work? What are (were) is the name of his/her profession or speciality? Please tell us, if your spouse was a self-employed person or was employed by someone else?

(INTERVIEWER: If the spouse of the respondent lately is out of labor force, ask about her/his last job. If the spouse never was in the labor force, write : „non-working”)

.....

CODE:

SKZ:

ISCO:

M25. Please try to think about the time when you were 14 years old.

What was your father (custodian) do as his work when you were aged 14? Please name his profession or job speciality and his position/job function ?

Please tell us, if he was a self-employed person or was he employed by someone else?

(INTERVIEWER: If the father or custodian of the respondent did not work at the time, ask about his last job. If the father never was in the labor force, write : „non-working”)

.....

We are interested as well in the changes in your professional situation that occurred in the last years. Please recall now June 1989.

M26. What type of work were you performing then? Please name your 1989 profession or job speciality:

.....

94 'irrelevant, nothing has changed [--> **M30**]

99 'irrelevant, in 1989 did not work [--> **M30**]

M27. What was the ownership status of the company/enterprise/farm you worked for then?

1 'institution financed from the state budget or governmental office

2 'state owned company/enterprise

3 'company/enterprise partly owned by the state treasury

4 'self-employment

5 'private company/enterprise, but not self-employment

6 'municipal company/enterprise

7 'cooperative

8 'individual (private) farm

97 'hard to say, DK

M28. What is (was) the profile of the company/enterprise he/she work(ed) for? What does (did) it produce, creates?

(INTERVIEWER: In case of individual (private) farmers ask about the specializational profile and its size [in ha])

.....

M29. CODE:

SKZ:

ISCO:

(INTERVIEWER: question answered only by respondents receiving regular salaries in their workplaces or pensioners and retirees as well as regular welfare benefits (i.e. unemployment) respondents running their own (private) companies and self-employed)

M30. What was your income last month, including your main job/employment wages as well as additional job incomes?

..... new Polish zloty

M31. (INTERVIEWER: question answered only by respondents who own or lease farms)
What was your average monthly income last year?

..... new Polish zloty

M32. What is the common monthly income of your household?

..... new Polish zloty

M33. What is your marital status?

- 1 'married or remaining in permanent relationship, cohabitation
- 2 'widow (er)
- 3 'divorced, separated
- 4 'single

M34. How many members, including yourself, your household is comprised of ?

..... persons

M35. How many adults, that is individuals over 18, live permanently in this flat/house? We mean adults that live here, independently of whether they run one household or not. Please include yourself as well.

..... persons

M36. How many members of your household are aged 18 and below?

..... persons

M37. Please assess the ratio of sq. meters per household member in your household?

..... sq. meters

M38. Do you live in:

- 01 'own house
- 02 'own flat
- 03 'cooperative flat
- 04 'municipal
- 05 'house/flat of my family
- 06 'rented flat
- 07 'other (what?.....)

M39. Is the locality you live in permanently a:

- 1 'village
- 2 'town below 20 thousand inhabitants
- 3 'town between 20 and 50 thousand
- 4 'town between 50 and 100 thousand
- 5 'city between 100 and 500 thousand
- 6 'city over 500 thousand

M40. For how long does your family live in this locality/area

- 1 'my grandparents already lived here
- 2 'my parents already lived here
- 3 'I moved here when I was

(INTERVIEWER: Please code the number of years in the box)

M41. Did you ever happen to be a member of PZPR, ZSL or SD?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no

M42. Are you currently a member of any political party, political club or political association?

- 1 'yes (name it please:)
- 2 'no

M43. Did you happen to be a member of a trade union in 1980-81?

- 1 'yes, "Solidarity" trade union
- 2 'yes, branch trade unions
- 3 'yes, ZNP (Union of Polish Teachers)
- 4 'yes, other trade unions
- 5 'no, at the time I did not happen to be a member of any of above organizations
- 9 'irrelevant

M44. Are you currently a member of a trade union?

- 1 'yes, "Solidarity" trade union
- 2 'yes, OPZZ trade unions
- 3 'yes, other trade unions
- 4 'no, I am not a member of any trade union

M45. Is anybody from your household a member of a trade union?

- 1 'yes
- 2 'no
- 3 „don't know

M46. Are you currently a member?

- 1. yes
- 2. no
- 7. hard to say

A. a business organization, employers' association

B. farmers' organization

C. professional association

M47. How would you describe your attitude towards religion? Are you a:

- 1 'atheist
- 2 'agnostic
- 3 'believer
- 4 'devout believer
- 7 'DK

M48. Are you:

- 1 Roman-Catholic
- 2 Orthodox
- 3 Lutheran
- 4 Calvinist
- 5 other (.....)
- 6 'atheist
- 7 'no answer

M49. How frequently do you attend church

- 01 'never
- 02 'less frequently than once a year
- 03 'more or less once a year
- 04 'several times a year
- 05 'once a month
- 06 'two to three times a month
- 07 'once a week
- 08 'several times a week
- 97 'DK
- 98 'refusal

Please indicate the time of interview conclusion: hour..... minute

ANNEX FOR THE INTERVIEWER

A1) Please code the region respondent lives in:

- 1 'Former Russian partition - territory of the Congress Kingdom
- 2 'Former Austrian partition - territory of Galicia
- 3 'Former Prussian partition
- 4 'Territories regained after World War II
- 5 'DK
- 6 'No data

A2) (INTERVIEWER: Please write down the electoral district).

.....

A3) Interviewers sex:

- 1 'male
- 2 'female

A4) Exact data of the interview (day, month)

(Please code as follows: in the first two boxes -- month (.i.e. 05) in the next two boxes -- day (.i.e. 01)

.....

A5) Respondents attitude towards the interview:

- 1 'definitely well-disposed
- 2 'rather well-disposed
- 3 'indifferent
- 4 'rather ill-disposed
- 5 'definitely ill-disposed

questionnaire # [1x5] code # [1x2]

Gmina, Locality

First and family name of the interviewer

Hereby I declare that the interview was conducted -- under the indicated address -- by me personally

.....
signature